

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[Proteon Therapeutics, Inc.](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(4)
Registration Nos. 333-198777 and 333-199511

PROSPECTUS



6,110,000 Shares

Common Stock

\$10.00 per share

This is the initial public offering of Proteon Therapeutics, Inc. We are offering 6,110,000 shares of our common stock. Prior to this offering, there has been no public market for our common stock.

Our common stock has been approved for listing on The NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol "PRTO."

We are an "emerging growth company" as that term is used in the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012 and, as such, we have elected to comply with certain reduced public company reporting requirements for this prospectus and future filings.

Investing in our common stock involves risks. See "Risk Factors" beginning on page 12.

	Per Share	Total
Initial public offering price	\$ 10.00	\$ 61,100,000
Underwriting discount and commissions(1)	\$ 0.70	\$ 4,277,000
Proceeds, before expenses, to us	\$ 9.30	\$ 56,823,000

(1) We have agreed to reimburse the underwriters for certain expenses. See "Underwriting."

We have granted the underwriters a 30-day option to purchase up to a total of 916,500 additional shares of common stock on the same terms and conditions set forth above.

The underwriters expect to deliver the shares of common stock to purchasers on October 27, 2014.

Certain of our existing stockholders and their affiliated entities, including holders of more than 5% of our common stock, have agreed to purchase an aggregate of approximately \$30.5 million in shares of our common stock in this offering at the initial public offering price. The underwriters will receive the same underwriting discount on the shares purchased by these stockholders as they will on the shares sold to the public in this offering.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

Stifel

Baird

JMP Securities

Oppenheimer & Co.

The date of this prospectus is October 21, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Prospectus Summary	1
Risk Factors	12
Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements	55
Use of Proceeds	56
Dividend Policy	56
Capitalization	57
Dilution	59
Selected Financial Data	61
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	63
Business	77
Management	110
Executive and Director Compensation	117
Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions	132
Principal Stockholders	135
Description of Capital Stock	139
Shares Eligible For Future Sale	144
Material United States Federal Income Tax Consequences to Non-U.S. Holders of Our Common Stock	147
Underwriting	151
Legal Matters	158
Experts	158
Where You Can Find More Information	158
Index to Financial Statements	F-1

Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this prospectus to "Proteon," "the Company," "we," "us" and "our" refer to Proteon Therapeutics, Inc.

In this prospectus, we refer to our subsidiary Proteon Therapeutics Limited as "Proteon UK."

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus or contained in any free writing prospectus filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Neither we nor the underwriters have authorized anyone to provide you with additional information or information different from that contained in this prospectus or in any free writing prospectus filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We are offering to sell, and seeking offers to buy, our common stock only in jurisdictions where offers and sales are permitted. The information contained in this prospectus is accurate only as of the date of this prospectus, regardless of the time of delivery of this prospectus, or of any sale of our common stock.

Through and including November 15, 2014 (the 25th day after the date of this prospectus), all dealers that effect transactions in these securities, whether or not participating in this offering, may be required to deliver a prospectus. This is in addition to the dealers' obligation to deliver a prospectus when acting as underwriters and with respect to their unsold allotments or subscriptions.

For investors outside the United States: Neither we nor any of the underwriters have done anything that would permit this offering or possession or distribution of this prospectus in any jurisdiction where action for that purpose is required, other than in the United States. You are required to inform yourselves about and to observe any restrictions relating to this offering and the distribution of this prospectus outside of the United States.

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this prospectus and does not contain all the information that you should consider in making your investment decision. You should read the entire prospectus, including our financial statements and related notes and the information set forth in the sections titled "Risk Factors," "Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" before deciding whether to purchase shares of our common stock. Unless the context otherwise requires, we use the terms "Proteon," "our company," "we," "us" and "our" in this prospectus to refer to Proteon Therapeutics, Inc.

Company Overview

We are a late-stage biopharmaceutical company focused on the development of novel, first-in-class pharmaceuticals to address the needs of patients with renal and vascular disease. Our product candidate, PRT-201, is a recombinant human elastase that we are developing to reduce vascular access failure in patients with chronic kidney disease undergoing or preparing for hemodialysis, a lifesaving treatment that cannot be conducted without a functioning vascular access. We believe the data from our completed Phase 2 trial of PRT-201 in patients undergoing creation of an arteriovenous fistula, or AVF, support that a one-time, local application of PRT-201 during AVF surgical placement reduces AVF failure, thereby improving patient outcomes and reducing the burden on patients and the healthcare system. We are not aware of any approved preventative treatments to reduce the failure rate of AVFs.

In May 2014, following the results from our Phase 2 trial and to fund our first Phase 3 trial, we closed on the \$25.0 million first tranche of a \$45.0 million total financing. The financing was led by Abingworth, Deerfield and Pharmstandard and included investments from our existing venture investors. We initiated the first of two Phase 3 trials for PRT-201 in radiocephalic AVFs, our initial indication, in the third quarter of 2014 and expect to initiate the second Phase 3 trial in the first half of 2015. PRT-201 has received fast track designation which is designed to facilitate the development and expedite the review of drugs to treat serious conditions and fill an unmet medical need, from the United States Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, and orphan drug designation in the United States and European Union, for hemodialysis vascular access indications.

We retain worldwide commercial rights to PRT-201. If approved by regulatory authorities, we intend to commercialize this product in the United States ourselves with a special hospital sales force, focused primarily on vascular surgeons, and intend to seek one or more collaborators to commercialize the product in additional markets. Our patents include claims covering formulations, methods of manufacturing and uses of elastases, providing protection in the United States through mid 2029 and the European Union through 2028, and with potential extension through 2032 in the United States and the European Union.

Established Medical Need

The need to improve vascular access outcomes is well established in the hemodialysis community. A 2014 publication estimated the total cost of managing hemodialysis vascular access dysfunction in the United States to be approximately \$2.9 billion annually. AVFs are the gold standard of vascular access for hemodialysis, as they are associated with few complications and reduced rates of hospitalization. However AVFs have a greater than 50% failure rate in their first year after surgical placement, resulting in frequent surgical and interventional procedures and a high rate of abandonment, leading to increased morbidity, mortality and costs of care.

We estimate there are approximately 130,000 AVFs created in the United States annually. In an AVF procedure a surgeon transects a vein and sutures it to the side of a nearby artery, typically in the arm. There are a limited number of potential artery-vein combinations in the arm that can be used by surgeons to create an AVF. The medical community endorses radiocephalic AVFs, in which the cephalic vein is sutured to the radial artery in the wrist, as the optimal form of vascular access and the recommended first

choice for new hemodialysis patients. A radiocephalic AVF as compared to other forms of vascular access preserves the potential future use of other access further up in the arm, simpler to create and is less likely to result in serious complications, including heart failure, central stenosis, which is the narrowing of blood vessels in shoulder or chest, and reduce blood flow to the hand. Unfortunately, radiocephalic AVFs suffer from high failure rates, with up to 70% failing within 12 months after their surgical placement. We estimate approximately 40% of all AVF placements are radiocephalic.

AVF failure can usually be restored via an intervention such as balloon angioplasty, which is dilation of a blood vessel with a balloon, or a surgical revision. However, these procedures are costly, invasive, painful, and associated with a number of complications and often need to be repeated. AVF patients in the United States on average require greater than 1.5 procedures per year. Procedures to restore function typically cost Medicare between \$5,000 and \$13,000 per procedure. A recent publication indicates that maintaining radiocephalic AVF can cost on average more than \$17,000 in the first year after surgical placement.

PRT-201

We demonstrated that PRT-201, a recombinant human elastase, generates fragments of elastin, a protein present in blood vessel walls. We believe the fragments of elastin inhibit formation of neointimal hyperplasia, which is the growth of tissue inside vessels that narrows AVFs and reduces blood flow. We believe that a one-time, local application of PRT-201 to the external surface of the vessels during AVF surgical placement can modify the injury response, or scarring, resulting from surgery and thereby reduce the severity of neointimal hyperplasia and AVF failure following surgery. During the AVF placement surgery, the surgeon administers drops of PRT-201 onto the surface of the artery and vein of the AVF for 1 minute followed by a saline irrigation. We believe that, if our Phase 3 clinical program is successful, PRT-201 will potentially become the standard of care for patients with chronic kidney disease who are undergoing surgical placement of a radiocephalic AVF.

Phase 2 AVF Trial Results

In 2013, we completed a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase 2 trial of PRT-201, which treated 151 patients with chronic kidney disease undergoing surgical creation of a radiocephalic AVF (n=67) or brachiocephalic AVF (n=84), which is performed by suturing the brachial artery to the cephalic vein at the elbow. Of these 151 patients, 51 patients received placebo, 51 patients received a dose of 10 micrograms of PRT-201, and 49 patients received a dose of 30 micrograms of PRT-201. The primary efficacy endpoint was AVF primary unassisted patency, defined as the time from surgical creation of the AVF to occurrence of a thrombosis or an intervention, such as angioplasty to restore or maintain patency, or function. Other efficacy endpoints included unassisted maturation, which is defined as increased vessel diameter and blood flow at the AVF without the need for an intervention such as angioplasty, average rate of procedures to restore or maintain AVF patency, secondary patency, which is defined as abandonment of the AVF and the need for creation of a new vascular access, use for hemodialysis and hemodynamically significant stenosis, or narrowing of blood vessels.

Primary Endpoint

Both doses of PRT-201 showed a trend toward efficacy, although neither dose met the primary endpoint with statistical significance. Median patency, the time at which 50% of patients in a group lost primary unassisted patency, was 224 days in the placebo group and greater than 365 days in each of the PRT-201 treatment groups indicating that PRT-201 prolonged primary unassisted patency. The risk of primary unassisted patency loss was reduced by 31% for the 10 microgram dose group and by 33% for the 30 microgram dose group versus placebo.

An analysis of the primary endpoint data revealed an uneven distribution in primary unassisted patency loss events due to central stenosis, which occur remote from the site of AVF. Central stenoses commonly exist prior to AVF placement and are unmasked following placement of brachiocephalic AVFs, which have higher blood flow than radiocephalic AVFs. These stenoses are unrelated to treatment with PRT-201. To correct for this uneven distribution, we conducted a non-prespecified analysis of the primary endpoint that excluded patency loss events due to central stenoses. In that analysis, the risk of primary unassisted patency loss was reduced by 31% for the 10 microgram dose group and by 48% for the 30 microgram dose group versus placebo. The comparison of the 30 microgram dose versus placebo was significant from a statistical point of view.

The benefit of PRT-201 on primary unassisted patency was most pronounced in the subset of patients undergoing placement of a radiocephalic AVF. Recent publications indicate that radiocephalic AVFs suffer from higher rates of patency loss and maturation failure, with up to 70% of AVFs in the wrist being subject to patency loss within 12 months after the surgical placement. The subset analysis of this endpoint was not prespecified. The risk of primary unassisted patency loss was reduced by 41% for the 10 microgram dose group and by 63% for the 30 microgram dose group versus placebo. Median patency was 125 days in the placebo group and 377 days in the 30 microgram group (in some cases the 12 month follow up occurred after day 365 due to patient schedules), indicating an improvement in primary unassisted patency that was significant from a statistical point of view.

Secondary Endpoints

In one of our prespecified secondary endpoints, unassisted maturation, which is defined as adequate vessel diameter and blood flow without the need for an intervention such as angioplasty, PRT-201 showed a significant benefit from a statistical point of view at three months in the 30 microgram dose using the two commonly accepted measures of maturation namely, the Robbin criteria and the Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative, or KDOQI, criteria.

The effect of PRT-201 on maturation was more pronounced in the subset of patients who underwent creation of a radiocephalic AVF. For the 30 microgram dose of PRT-201 unassisted maturation of the radiocephalic AVFs, a prespecified analysis, showed an increase in the percentage of patients with mature AVFs compared with placebo using the Robbin criteria (93% versus 47%) which is significant from a statistical point of view and a trend toward improvement using the KDOQI criteria (57% versus 24%).

Safety and Tolerability

In the trial, patients treated with PRT-201 reported adverse events comparable to placebo. These events were consistent with the medical events experienced by chronic kidney disease patients undergoing AVF placement surgery. The most common adverse events were AVF incision pain, venous stenosis, AVF thrombosis, steal syndrome and hypoesthesia. Serious adverse events, or SAEs, reported by the investigator as possibly drug-related occurred in two 10 microgram PRT-201 patients, both AVF thrombosis, and two 30 microgram patients (one chest pain and one swelling at the surgical incision). There were no SAEs reported by the investigator as possibly drug-related in the placebo group. There was one SAE reported by the investigator to be drug-related in the 10 microgram PRT-201 group, AVF maturation failure, and there were none in the other treatment groups.

Phase 3 Trial Design

In April 2013, we held an end of Phase 2 meeting with the FDA during which we confirmed elements of our Phase 3 development plan, including the primary endpoint. We plan to perform two Phase 3 trials of PRT-201 using a 30 microgram dose, enrolling patients undergoing surgical placement of a radiocephalic AVF. In our Phase 2 trial, PRT-201 showed the greatest benefit in radiocephalic AVFs.

The Phase 3 trials will use the same primary endpoint, primary unassisted patency over 12 months, used in our Phase 2 trial. In our end of Phase 2 meeting with the FDA, the FDA agreed that primary unassisted patency could be used as the primary endpoint. Our secondary endpoint, secondary patency over 12 months, and tertiary endpoints, unassisted maturation, use for hemodialysis and average procedure rates, in our Phase 3 trials were all endpoints in our Phase 2 trial.

We began enrolling patients in our first 300 patient Phase 3 clinical trial in the third quarter of 2014, and anticipate that results will be available in the first quarter of 2017. The Phase 3 clinical trial includes two groups, one receiving PRT-201 (n=200) and the other receiving placebo (n=100). We expect to initiate the second, substantially similar, Phase 3 clinical trial in the first half of 2015. If the results of the first Phase 3 trial are sufficiently compelling, we intend to meet with the FDA to discuss the possibility of submitting a Biologics License Application, or BLA, supported by the single Phase 3 trial and may decide to submit a BLA to the FDA prior to completing the second Phase 3 trial.

Additional PRT-201 Indications

We believe that PRT-201 has potential benefits for hemodialysis patients undergoing other types of vascular access procedures. In 2013, we completed a successful Phase 1/2 trial in patients undergoing surgical placement of an arteriovenous graft, or AVG, which is a synthetic tube a surgeon uses to connect a vein and an artery. We may develop PRT-201 for additional hemodialysis indications including AVGs or brachiocephalic AVFs.

We believe PRT-201 also has the potential to treat a number of renal and vascular diseases for which therapeutic options are limited. We are currently enrolling patients with symptomatic peripheral artery disease, or PAD, in a Phase 1 dose-escalation trial in which patients are treated with PRT-201 via a drug delivery catheter following balloon angioplasty.

Commercial Opportunity

We estimate approximately 130,000 AVFs are created in the United States annually, of which 40% are radiocephalic. We believe that the number of radiocephalic AVFs created annually may rise significantly if PRT-201 gains FDA approval, as this would allow surgeons to place radiocephalic AVFs in patients that they previously considered at an unacceptably high risk of AVF failure.

If approved, PRT-201 will be administered primarily by vascular surgeons, who we believe are acutely aware of the clinical need and are receptive to new therapies. We believe PRT-201 will be reimbursed appropriately as costs related to AVF surgical placement, which is typically performed in the hospital outpatient setting, are not included in the end stage renal disease, or ESRD, bundle, the single bundled payment from Medicare for a number of the costs of hemodialysis treatments, medications, labs and supplies for patients with end stage renal disease. We believe that PRT-201 adoption will be supported by key stakeholders, including referring nephrologists, patient advocacy groups, large dialysis organizations and payors. We plan to target our marketing and sales efforts to vascular surgeons who create AVFs. There are approximately 2,800 vascular surgeons in the United States. We believe a specialty hospital sales force of approximately 75-100 representatives will enable us to call on the approximately 1,300 hospitals that account for more than 90% of the AVF surgical placements performed in the United States annually.

Our Strengths

We believe our company and PRT-201 possess the following attributes that increase the likelihood that we will be successful in developing and commercializing PRT-201:

- *Entering Phase 3 trials for radiocephalic AVF placement.* We are conducting our first Phase 3 clinical trial and plan to conduct our second Phase 3 clinical trial for radiocephalic AVFs using a 30

microgram dose of PRT-201, the population and dose in which, in a non-prespecified analysis, we observed an improvement in primary unassisted patency with PRT-201 in our Phase 2 trial.

- *Phase 3 endpoints same as our Phase 2 trial.* The primary endpoint in our Phase 3 trials, primary unassisted patency, will be the same as that used in our Phase 2 trial. In April 2013, we held an end of Phase 2 meeting with the FDA during which we confirmed elements of our Phase 3 development plan including the primary endpoint.
- *Safety profile supports approval.* Based on results from our clinical trials and preclinical studies, we believe PRT-201, which is administered once and only locally, has demonstrated a safety profile that will support approval if our planned Phase 3 clinical program is successful. At our end of Phase 2 meeting with the FDA, we confirmed that we do not need to conduct any additional preclinical studies to support a BLA filing.
- *Unmet medical need.* A 2014 publication estimated the total cost of managing hemodialysis vascular access dysfunction in the United States to be approximately \$2.9 billion annually. We are not aware of any approved preventative treatments to reduce the AVF failure rate. PRT-201 has received fast track designation from the FDA, which is designed to facilitate the development and expedite the review of drugs to treat serious conditions and fill an unmet medical need.
- *Substantial and readily-addressable market opportunity.* We estimate a sales force of approximately 75-100 representatives will enable us to call on the approximately 1,300 hospitals that account for more than 90% of the AVF surgical placements performed in the United States annually. We believe PRT-201 will be reimbursed adequately as costs related to AVF surgical placement, which is typically performed in the hospital outpatient setting, are not included in the ESRD bundle.
- *Experienced team.* Both our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Medical Officer were senior executives at GelTex, a biopharmaceutical company, where they played leading roles in the development and commercialization of Renagel, a treatment for hemodialysis patients that led to Genzyme's acquisition of GelTex for more than \$1 billion.

Our Strategy

Our strategy is to develop and commercialize PRT-201 for patients suffering from renal and vascular diseases, beginning with patients undergoing surgical creation of radiocephalic AVF. Key elements of our strategy include our plans to:

- complete clinical development of PRT-201 and seek regulatory approval in its lead indication in the United States;
- commercialize PRT-201 directly in the United States;
- undertake clinical development of PRT-201 in Europe and establish partnerships for commercialization of PRT-201 in all or parts of Europe;
- pursue additional indications for PRT-201;
- establish partnerships for development and commercialization of PRT-201 in Japan and other Asian countries; and
- in-license or acquire additional product opportunities.

Risks Associated with Our Business

Our business is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including those highlighted in the section entitled "Risk Factors" immediately following this prospectus summary. These risks include, among others, the following:

- we have a limited operating history and have incurred significant losses since our inception and we anticipate that we will continue to incur losses for the foreseeable future;
- we will require substantial additional financing to achieve our goals, and failure to obtain this necessary capital when needed on acceptable terms, or at all, could force us to delay, limit, reduce or terminate our product development, any commercialization efforts or other operations;

- we are substantially dependent on the success of our current product candidate, PRT-201, and cannot guarantee that this product candidate will successfully complete Phase 3 clinical trials, receive regulatory approval or be successfully commercialized;
- in our Phase 2 AVF trial, neither dose of PRT-201 met the primary endpoint with statistical significance;
- PRT-201 may not have favorable results in later clinical trials or receive regulatory approval;
- the denial or delay of regulatory approval of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates would prevent or delay commercialization and have a material adverse effect on our potential to generate revenue, our business and our results of operations;
- if we are unable to establish effective marketing and sales capabilities or enter into agreements with third parties to market and sell our product candidates, if they are approved, we may be unable to generate product revenues;
- even if PRT-201 or any additional product candidates receive regulatory approval, they may fail to achieve the broad degree of physician adoption necessary for commercial success;
- PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, if approved, may face significant competition and our failure to effectively compete may prevent us from achieving significant market penetration and expansion;
- we and our contract manufacturers are subject to significant regulation with respect to manufacturing our product candidates. The manufacturing facilities on which we rely may not continue to meet regulatory requirements and have limited capacity; and
- if our efforts to protect our intellectual property related to PRT-201 or any additional product candidates are not adequate, we may not be able to compete effectively in our market.

Corporate Information

Proteon was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware in March 2006, and at that time, acquired Proteon Therapeutics, LLC, our predecessor, which was formed in June 2001. Our executive offices are located at 200 West Street, Waltham, Massachusetts 02451, and our telephone number is (781) 890-0102. Our website address is www.ProteonTherapeutics.com. The information contained on, or accessible through, our website does not constitute part of this prospectus. We have included our website address in this prospectus solely as an inactive textual reference.

Implications of Being an Emerging Growth Company

We are an "emerging growth company" as defined in the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012. We will remain an emerging growth company until the earlier of (1) the last day of the fiscal year (a) following the fifth anniversary of the completion of this offering, (b) in which we have total annual gross revenue of at least \$1.0 billion, or (c) in which we are deemed to be a large accelerated filer, which means the market value of our common stock that is held by non-affiliates exceeds \$700 million as of the prior June 30th, or (2) the date on which we have issued more than \$1.0 billion in non-convertible debt securities during the prior three-year period. We refer to the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012 in this prospectus as the "JOBS Act," and references in this prospectus to "emerging growth company" shall have the meaning ascribed to it in the JOBS Act.

As an emerging growth company, we may take advantage of specified reduced disclosure and other requirements that are otherwise applicable, in general, to public companies that are not emerging growth companies. These provisions include:

- reduced disclosure about our executive compensation arrangements;
- exemption from the non-binding shareholder advisory votes on executive compensation or golden parachute arrangements;
- exemption from the auditor attestation requirement in the assessment of our internal control over financial reporting; and

- reduced disclosure of financial information in this prospectus, such as being permitted to include only two years of audited financial information and two years of selected financial information in addition to any required unaudited interim financial statements, with correspondingly reduced "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" disclosure.

We may choose to take advantage of some, but not all, of the available exemptions. We have taken advantage of some reduced reporting burdens in this prospectus. Accordingly, the information contained herein may be different than the information you receive from other public companies in which you hold stock. The JOBS Act permits an emerging growth company to take advantage of an extended transition period to comply with new or revised accounting standards applicable to public companies. We have irrevocably elected not to avail ourselves of this extended transition period and, as a result, we will adopt new or revised accounting standards on the relevant dates on which adoption of such standards is required for non-emerging growth companies.

THE OFFERING

Common stock we are offering	6,110,000 shares
Common stock outstanding after giving effect to this offering	14,993,903 shares
Option to purchase additional shares	The underwriters have a 30-day option to purchase a total of 916,500 additional shares of common stock.
Use of proceeds	The net proceeds from this offering will be approximately \$54.2 million, or approximately \$62.7 million if the underwriters exercise their option to purchase additional shares in full, after deducting estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us. We expect to use the net proceeds of this offering to accelerate the commencement of our second Phase 3 clinical trial of PRT-201, to accelerate our chemistry and manufacturing controls activities, to fund additional research and development activities and for other general corporate purposes. See "Use of Proceeds."
Risk factors	See "Risk Factors" beginning on page 12 and the other information included in this prospectus for a discussion of factors you should carefully consider before deciding to invest in our common stock.
Proposed NASDAQ Global Market Symbol	"PRTO"

Certain of our existing stockholders and their affiliated entities, including holders of more than 5% of our common stock, have agreed to purchase an aggregate of approximately \$30.5 million in shares of our common stock in this offering at the initial public offering price, or approximately 3,052,886 of the 6,110,000 shares in this offering.

In this prospectus, unless otherwise indicated, the number of shares of common stock outstanding and the other information based thereon is based on 8,883,903 shares of common stock outstanding as of September 30, 2014 and does not reflect:

- 1,133,052 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options outstanding as of September 30, 2014 at a weighted-average exercise price of \$3.45 per share;
- 659,806 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants with a weighted-average exercise price of \$4.60 per share that will be exercised, in whole or part, prior to the closing of this offering;
- 1,156 shares of common stock reserved for issuance pursuant to future equity awards under our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan;
- 704,000 shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan, which became effective immediately prior to effectiveness of this offering; and
- 140,500 shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under 2014 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, which will become effective upon the closing of this offering.

Unless otherwise indicated, all information in this prospectus reflects or assumes the following:

- the amendment and restatement of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, which will occur immediately prior to the closing of this offering;

- the conversion of all of our outstanding shares of our preferred stock into 8,642,344 shares of common stock, which will occur automatically upon the closing of the offering;
- a one-for-15.87 reverse stock split of our common stock that we effected on October 6, 2014;
- no exercise of stock options on or after September 30, 2014; and
- no exercise by the underwriters of their option to purchase up to a total of 916,500 additional shares of common stock in this offering.

SUMMARY FINANCIAL DATA

The following summary financial data for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013 have been derived from our audited financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The selected statement of operations data for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 and the selected balance sheet data as of June 30, 2014 were derived from our unaudited financial statements appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. These unaudited financial statements have been prepared on a basis consistent with our financial statements and, in our opinion, contain all adjustments, consisting only of normal and recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of such financial data. You should read this data together with our audited financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus and the information under the captions "Selected Financial Data" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations." Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of our future results, and our operating results for the six-month period ended June 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014 or any other interim periods or any future year or period.

	Proteon Therapeutics, Inc.			
	Years Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2013	2013	2014
	(unaudited)			
	(in thousands except share and per share data)			
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	\$ 5,907	\$ 3,994	\$ 2,003	\$ 2,785
General and administrative	2,089	3,128	1,417	1,656
Acquired in-process research and development	—	—	—	—
Total operating expenses	<u>7,996</u>	<u>7,122</u>	<u>3,420</u>	<u>4,441</u>
Loss from operations	(7,996)	(7,122)	(3,420)	(4,441)
Other income (expense):				
Investment income	20	4	3	3
Interest expense	—	(861)	—	(857)
Other income (expense)	6	67	5	(99)
Total other income (expense)	<u>26</u>	<u>(790)</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>(953)</u>
Net loss	<u>\$ (7,970)</u>	<u>\$ (7,912)</u>	<u>\$ (3,412)</u>	<u>\$ (5,394)</u>
Unrealized (loss) gain on available-for-sale investments	(5)	(1)	—	(23)
Comprehensive loss	<u>\$ (7,975)</u>	<u>\$ (7,913)</u>	<u>\$ (3,412)</u>	<u>\$ (5,417)</u>
Reconciliation of net loss to net loss attributable to common stockholders				
Net loss	\$ (7,970)	\$ (7,912)	\$ (3,412)	\$ (5,394)
Accretion of redeemable convertible preferred stock to redemption value	(6,133)	(6,119)	(3,039)	(3,409)
Extinguishment of Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock	—	—	—	—
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	<u>\$ (14,103)</u>	<u>\$ (14,031)</u>	<u>\$ (6,451)</u>	<u>\$ (8,803)</u>
Net loss per share attributable to common stockholders—basic and diluted(1)(2)	<u>\$ (61.16)</u>	<u>\$ (59.66)</u>	<u>\$ (27.97)</u>	<u>\$ (36.64)</u>
Weighted-average number of common shares used in net loss per share attributable to common stockholders—basic and diluted	<u>230,607</u>	<u>235,184</u>	<u>230,607</u>	<u>240,254</u>
Pro forma net loss per share attributable to common stockholders—basic and diluted (unaudited)(1)(2)		<u>\$ (1.54)</u>		<u>\$ (0.66)</u>
Pro forma weighted-average number of common shares used in net loss per share attributable to common stockholders—basic and diluted (unaudited)		<u>4,565,620</u>		<u>6,763,218</u>

	As of June 30, 2014		
	Actual	Pro Forma(2)	Pro Forma As Adjusted(3)
		(unaudited)	
		(in thousands)	
Balance Sheet Data:			
Cash, cash equivalents and available-for-sale investments	\$ 25,416	\$ 25,416	\$ 79,914
Working capital	19,915	19,915	75,325
Total assets	27,142	27,142	80,446
Preferred stock	123,904	—	—
Common stock and additional paid in capital	0	123,904	178,121
Total stockholders' (deficit) equity	(109,290)	21,194	75,411

- (1) See Note 2 within the notes to our financial statements appearing elsewhere in this prospectus for a description of the method used to calculate basic and diluted net loss per common share and pro forma basic and diluted net loss per common share.
- (2) Pro forma to reflect the conversion of all outstanding shares of our preferred stock into shares of common stock upon the closing of this offering and the extinguishment of the liability related to the Series D investors' purchase rights.
- (3) Pro forma as adjusted to reflect the pro forma adjustments described in (2) above, and to further reflect (i) the filing and effectiveness of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, which will occur immediately prior to the closing of this offering and (ii) the sale of shares of our common stock offered in this offering, at an initial public offering price of \$10.00 per share, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us.

RISK FACTORS

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below, together with all of the other information in this prospectus, including our financial statements and related notes, before deciding whether to purchase shares of our common stock. If any of the following risks are realized, our business, operating results and prospects could be materially and adversely affected. In that event, the price of our common stock could decline, and you could lose part or all of your investment. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently believe to be immaterial may also adversely affect our business.

Risks Related to Our Financial Condition and Need for Additional Capital

We have a limited operating history and have incurred significant losses since our inception and we anticipate that we will continue to incur losses for the foreseeable future.

We are a clinical-stage biotechnology company, and we have not commercialized any products or generated any revenues from the sale of products. We have incurred losses from operations in each year since our inception, and our net losses were \$8.0 million and \$7.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013, respectively, and \$3.4 million and \$5.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014, respectively. As of June 30, 2014, we had an accumulated deficit of \$109.3 million. We do not expect to generate any product revenues in the foreseeable future. We do not know whether or when we will generate revenue or become profitable.

We have devoted most of our financial resources to research and development, including our clinical and preclinical development activities. To date, we have financed our operations primarily through the sale of equity securities and convertible debt. Our current product candidate, PRT-201, is in clinical trials and we have no commercial sales, which, together with our limited operating history, make it difficult to assess our future viability. The amount of our future net losses will depend, in part, on the rate of our future expenditures and our ability to obtain funding through equity or debt financings or strategic collaborations. We have not completed pivotal clinical trials for any product candidate and it will be several years, if ever, before we have PRT-201 or any future product candidates ready for commercialization. Even if we obtain regulatory approval to market PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, our future revenues will depend upon the size of any markets in which PRT-201 or any additional product candidates have received approval, our ability to achieve sufficient market acceptance, reimbursement from third-party payors and other factors.

We expect to continue to incur significant expenses and increasing operating losses for the foreseeable future. We anticipate that our expenses will increase substantially if and as we:

- continue our clinical development and seek regulatory approval of PRT-201, particularly with respect to its lead indication of radiocephalic AVFs;
- commercialize PRT-201 directly in the United States;
- undertake clinical development of PRT-201 in Europe and establish partnerships for commercialization of PRT-201 in all or parts of Europe;
- pursue additional indications for PRT-201 including clinical development of PRT-201 for brachiocephalic AVFs, patients requiring placement of an AVG and peripheral artery disease, or PAD;
- in-license or acquire additional product opportunities and make milestone or other payments under any in-license agreements;
- establish a sales, marketing and distribution infrastructure to commercialize any products for which we may obtain marketing approval;
- maintain, protect and expand our intellectual property portfolio;
- attract and retain skilled personnel;

- create additional infrastructure to support our operations as a public company and our product development and planned future; and
- experience any delays or encounter issues with any of the above.

The net losses we incur may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year, such that a period-to-period comparison of our results of operations may not be a good indication of our future performance. In any particular quarter or quarters, our operating results could be below the expectations of securities analysts or investors, which could cause our stock price to decline.

We will require substantial additional financing to achieve our goals, and a failure to obtain this necessary capital when needed on acceptable terms, or at all, could force us to delay, limit, reduce or terminate our product development, any commercialization efforts or other operations.

Our operations have consumed substantial amounts of cash since inception. As of June 30, 2014, our cash, cash equivalents and investments were \$25.4 million. Our research and development expenses were \$5.9 million and \$4.0 million for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013, respectively, and \$2.0 million and \$2.8 million for the six-months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2014, respectively. We believe that we will continue to expend substantial resources for the foreseeable future developing PRT-201 and any additional product candidates. These expenditures will include costs associated with research and development, potentially acquiring new technologies, potentially obtaining regulatory approvals and manufacturing products, as well as marketing and selling products approved for sale, if any. In addition, other unanticipated costs may arise. Because the outcome of our planned and anticipated clinical trials is highly uncertain, we cannot reasonably estimate the actual amounts necessary to successfully complete the development and commercialization of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates.

We began enrolling patients in our first Phase 3 clinical trial of PRT-201 during the third quarter of 2014 for patients undergoing placement of radiocephalic AVFs. Prior to completing enrollment in our first Phase 3 trial, we expect to initiate the second Phase 3 trial. Based on our current operating plan, and absent any future financings or strategic partnerships, we believe that the net proceeds we receive from this offering, and our existing cash and cash equivalents and investments will be sufficient to fund our projected operating expenses and capital expenditure requirements through the end of 2017, allowing us to obtain results from our first Phase 3 clinical trial of PRT-201 in radiocephalic AVFs. This period could be shortened if there are any significant increases beyond our expectations in spending on development programs or more rapid progress of development programs than anticipated. We do not expect our existing capital resources, including the net proceeds from this offering, to be sufficient to enable us to complete our second Phase 3 trial. Moreover, we do not expect to be able to initiate any other trials, including those for other indications of PRT-201, prior to receiving and reviewing data from our first Phase 3 clinical trial. Furthermore, our operating plan may change as a result of many factors currently unknown to us, and we may need to seek additional funds sooner than planned, through public or private equity or debt financings, government or other third-party funding, marketing and distribution arrangements and other collaborations, strategic alliances and licensing arrangements, or a combination of these approaches. Even if we believe we have sufficient funds for our current or future operating plans, we may seek additional capital if market conditions are favorable or if we have specific strategic considerations.

Any additional fundraising efforts may divert our management from their day-to-day activities, which may adversely affect our ability to develop and commercialize PRT-201 or any additional product candidates. In addition, we cannot guarantee that future financing will be available in sufficient amounts or on terms acceptable to us, or at all. We could also be required to seek funds through arrangements with collaborative partners or otherwise at an earlier stage than would otherwise be ideal and we may be required to relinquish rights to PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, or otherwise agree to terms unfavorable to us, any of which may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and prospects.

If we are unable to obtain funding on a timely basis, we may be required to significantly curtail, delay or discontinue one or more of our research or development programs or the commercialization of any approved products or be unable to expand our operations or otherwise capitalize on our business opportunities, as desired, which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We have never generated any revenue from product sales and may never be profitable.

As a company, we have never obtained regulatory approval for, or commercialized, any product candidate. Our ability to generate substantial revenue and achieve profitability depends on our ability, alone or with strategic collaboration partners, to successfully complete the development of, and obtain the regulatory approvals necessary to commercialize PRT-201 or any additional product candidates. We do not anticipate generating revenues from product sales for at least the next several years, if ever. If PRT-201 or any additional product candidates fail in clinical trials or do not gain regulatory approval, or if PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, if approved, fail to achieve market acceptance, we may never become profitable. Even if we achieve profitability in the future, we may not be able to sustain profitability in subsequent periods. Our ability to generate future revenues from product sales depends heavily on our success in:

- completing clinical development of PRT-201 for one or more indications and research and preclinical and clinical development of any additional product candidates;
- seeking and obtaining regulatory and marketing approvals for PRT-201 if and when we complete clinical trials;
- establishing and maintaining supply and manufacturing relationships with third parties that can provide adequate (in amount and quality) products and services to support clinical development and the market demand for PRT-201, if approved;
- launching and commercializing PRT-201 if we obtain regulatory and marketing approval, either by collaborating with a partner or, if launched independently, by establishing a sales, marketing and distribution infrastructure;
- obtaining and maintaining adequate coverage and reimbursement from third-party payors for PRT-201;
- obtaining market acceptance of PRT-201 as a viable treatment option;
- addressing any competing technological and market developments;
- implementing additional internal systems and infrastructure, as needed;
- identifying and validating new product candidates;
- negotiating favorable terms in any collaboration, licensing or other arrangements into which we may enter;
- maintaining, protecting and expanding our portfolio of intellectual property rights, including patents and know-how;
- developing PRT-201 such that, if approved, it can be commercialized without infringing the intellectual property rights of third parties; and
- attracting, hiring and retaining qualified personnel.

Even if PRT-201 or any additional product candidates that we may develop is approved for commercial sale, we anticipate incurring significant costs associated with commercializing any approved product candidate. Our expenses could increase beyond expectations if we are required by the FDA, the European Medicines Agency, or EMA, or other regulatory agencies, domestic or foreign, to perform clinical trials and other studies in addition to those that we currently anticipate. Even if we are able to generate revenues from the sale of any approved products, we may not become profitable and may need to obtain additional funding to continue operations. Our failure to become and remain profitable would depress the market price of our common stock and could impair our ability to raise capital, expand our

business, diversify our product offerings or continue our operations. A decline in the value of our company could also cause you to lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related to Clinical Development, Regulatory Review and Approval of Our Product

We are substantially dependent on the success of our current product candidate, PRT-201, and cannot guarantee that this product candidate will successfully complete Phase 3 clinical trials, receive regulatory approval or be successfully commercialized.

We currently have no products approved for commercial distribution. We have invested substantially all of our efforts and financial resources in the development of our current product candidate, PRT-201. Our business depends entirely on the successful development and commercialization of PRT-201, in vascular access or additional indications, which may never occur. Our ability to generate revenues in the near term is substantially dependent on our ability to develop, obtain regulatory approval for, and then successfully commercialize PRT-201. We currently generate no revenues from sales of any products, and we may never be able to develop or commercialize a marketable product.

PRT-201 will require additional clinical development, regulatory approval, commercial manufacturing arrangements, establishment of a commercial organization, significant marketing efforts and further investment before we generate any revenues from product sales. We are not permitted to market or promote PRT-201 for any indication before we receive regulatory approval from the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities, and we may never receive such regulatory approval for any of our product candidates. If we do not receive FDA approval for, and successfully commercialize, PRT-201, we will not be able to generate revenue from PRT-201 in the United States in the foreseeable future, or at all. Any significant delays in obtaining approval for and commercializing PRT-201 will have a substantial adverse impact on our business and financial condition.

We have not previously submitted a BLA to the FDA, or similar drug approval filings to comparable foreign authorities, for any product candidate, and we cannot be certain that PRT-201 or any additional product candidates will be successful in clinical trials or receive regulatory approval. In our Phase 2 clinical trial, our primary efficacy endpoint of primary unassisted patency did not show statistically significant benefit for the 30 microgram dose versus placebo with regard to primary unassisted patency. While statistical analyses of the subset of patients with radiocephalic AVFs suggested a clinically significant benefit over placebo for that patient subset, those analyses were not prespecified, and we cannot assure you that these results will be repeated in our Phase 3 trials. Following completion of the trial, we analyzed the data in a number of ways in addition to the analysis specified in the protocol for the Phase 2 clinical trial of PRT-201. For example, we analyzed the data from the subset of patients undergoing placement of a radiocephalic AVF. Analysis of data in a manner or from subsets that were not prespecified in the protocol is typically not sufficient to serve as the basis for regulatory approval and is generally not considered as reliable as analyses which were prespecified in the protocol. Even though our Phase 3 trials will enroll patients undergoing a surgical procedure to create a radiocephalic AVF (i.e., that subset of patients in which PRT-201 showed a greater benefit in our Phase 2 clinical trial), there are risks of failure inherent at any stage of product development, and we may not demonstrate efficacy with regard to the primary endpoint of our ongoing and planned Phase 3 clinical trials, or unexpected adverse events may appear. Further, PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, may not receive regulatory approval even if they are successful in clinical trials. If approved for marketing by applicable regulatory authorities, our ability to generate revenues from PRT-201 will depend on our ability to:

- create market demand for PRT-201 through our own marketing and sales organization, and any other arrangements to promote this product candidate we may otherwise establish;
- hire, train and deploy a specialty hospital sales force, focused primarily on vascular surgeons, to commercialize PRT-201 in the United States;

- manufacture PRT-201 in sufficient quantities and at acceptable quality and manufacturing cost to meet commercial demand at launch and thereafter and establish and maintain agreements with wholesalers, distributors and group purchasing organizations on commercially reasonable terms;
- create partnerships with third parties to promote and sell PRT-201 in any foreign markets where we receive marketing approval;
- maintain patent protection and regulatory exclusivity for PRT-201;
- launch commercial sales of PRT-201, whether alone or in collaboration with others;
- achieve appropriate reimbursement for PRT-201;
- effectively compete with other products; and
- maintain a continued acceptable safety profile of PRT-201 following launch.

As we continue to develop PRT-201 for other indications, including AVG, brachiocephalic AVF and PAD, or additional product candidates, we will face similar risks and challenges.

Clinical development is a lengthy and expensive process with an uncertain outcome due to many factors. Because the results of early clinical trials are not necessarily predictive of future results, PRT-201 may not have favorable results in later clinical trials or receive regulatory approval.

Clinical development is expensive, difficult to design and implement, takes many years to complete and its outcome is inherently uncertain. Failure can occur at any time during the clinical trial process and PRT-201 is subject to the risks of failure inherent in drug and biological development, including failure to demonstrate efficacy in a pivotal clinical trial or in the patient population we intend to enroll, the occurrence of severe or medically or commercially unacceptable adverse events, failure to comply with protocols or applicable regulatory requirements and determination by the FDA or any comparable foreign regulatory authority that a drug and biological product is not approvable. Trends and results observed in earlier stage clinical trials, particularly trends and results observed through analysis of the data which was not prespecified in the protocol, may not be replicated in later stage clinical trials. For example, as is common with Phase 2 trials, we explored a number of endpoints and analyzed the data from our Phase 2 clinical trial of PRT-201 in a number of ways, some of which were not prespecified. Product candidates such as PRT-201 in Phase 3 clinical trials may fail to demonstrate sufficient efficacy despite having progressed through initial clinical trials, even if certain non-prespecified analyses of primary or secondary endpoints in those early trials showed trends toward efficacy or, in some analyses, statistical significance. Companies frequently suffer significant setbacks in late-stage clinical trials due to lack of efficacy, manufacturing or formulation changes or adverse safety profiles, even after earlier clinical trials have shown promising results. During the course of our clinical development, we modified our PRT-201 finished product formulation for our Phase 3 trials and commercial launch in order to facilitate ease of administration and fill and finish of vials at our 30 microgram dose. Our formulation changes could adversely affect results in our clinical trials, requiring us to make further formulation changes. Additional changes could cause us to delay or repeat clinical trials, and we could incur unexpected costs that would have an adverse effect on our business, operating results and prospects.

The design of a clinical trial can determine whether its results will support approval of a product, and flaws in the design of a clinical trial may not become apparent until the clinical trial is well advanced or completed. Proteon has limited experience in designing clinical trials and may be unable to design and execute a clinical trial to support marketing approval. In addition, preclinical and clinical data are often susceptible to varying interpretations and analyses. Many companies that believed their product candidates performed satisfactorily in preclinical studies and clinical trials have nonetheless failed to obtain marketing approval for the product candidates. Even if we believe that the results of clinical trials for our product candidates warrant marketing approval, the FDA or comparable non-United States regulatory authorities may disagree and may not grant marketing approval of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates.

In some instances, there can be significant variability in safety or efficacy results between different clinical trials of the same product candidate due to numerous factors, including changes in trial procedures

set forth in protocols, differences in the size and type of the patient populations, changes in and adherence to the clinical trial protocols and the rate of dropout among clinical trial participants. Any Phase 3 or other clinical trial that we may conduct may not demonstrate the efficacy and safety necessary to obtain regulatory approval to market PRT-201 or any additional product candidate.

Any delay or failure in our clinical trials would delay our obtaining, or make us unable to obtain, applicable regulatory approvals, which would prevent us from commercializing PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, generating revenues and achieving and sustaining profitability.

If clinical trials of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates fail to demonstrate safety and efficacy to the satisfaction of the FDA and comparable non-United States regulators, we may incur additional costs or experience delays in completing, or ultimately be unable to complete, the development and commercialization of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates.

We are not permitted to commercialize, market, promote or sell any product candidate in the United States without obtaining marketing approval from the FDA. Comparable non-United States regulatory authorities, such as the EMA, impose similar restrictions. We may never receive such approvals. We must have completed extensive preclinical development and clinical trials to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of the product candidate in humans before we will be able to obtain these approvals. Clinical testing is expensive, difficult to design and implement, can take many years to complete and is inherently uncertain as to outcome.

Any inability to successfully complete clinical development could result in additional costs to us and impair our ability to generate revenues from product sales, regulatory and commercialization milestones and royalties. In addition, if (1) we are required to conduct additional clinical trials or other testing of PRT-201 beyond the trials and testing that we contemplate, (2) we are unable to successfully complete clinical trials of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates or other testing, (3) the results of these trials or tests are unfavorable, uncertain or are only modestly favorable or (4) there are unacceptable safety concerns associated with PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, we, in addition to incurring additional costs, may:

- be delayed in obtaining marketing approval for PRT-201 or any additional product candidates;
- not obtain marketing approval at all;
- obtain approval for indications or patient populations that are not as broad as we intended or desired;
- obtain approval with labeling that includes significant use or distribution restrictions or significant safety warnings, including boxed warnings;
- be subject to additional post-marketing testing or other requirements; or
- be required to remove the product from the market after obtaining marketing approval.

In general, the FDA requires two adequate and well-controlled clinical trials to demonstrate the effectiveness of a product candidate. If the results of our first Phase 3 clinical trial are sufficiently compelling, we intend to meet with the FDA to discuss the possibility of submitting a BLA supported by the single Phase 3 trial and may decide to submit a BLA to the FDA prior to completing the second Phase 3 trial. If we attempt to rely on a single Phase 3 trial to demonstrate the effectiveness of PRT-201, the usual demonstration of the statistical significance in the primary efficacy endpoint ($p=0.05$) is unlikely to be sufficient to obtain approval of PRT-201, and we would likely be required to demonstrate more robust statistical significance. Even with a robust p-value, the FDA may not consider the results of the single Phase 3 trial to be sufficient for BLA filing or approval, and may require that we conduct additional trials.

We may be unable to obtain regulatory approval for PRT-201 or any additional product candidates under applicable regulatory requirements. The denial or delay of any approvals would prevent or delay commercialization and have a material adverse effect on our potential to generate revenue, our business and our results of operations.

PRT-201 and any additional product candidates are subject to extensive governmental regulations relating to, among other things, research, clinical trials, approval, manufacturing, recordkeeping, labeling, storage, advertising, promotion, distribution, import, export and commercialization. In order to obtain regulatory approval for the commercial sale of any product candidate, we must demonstrate through extensive preclinical studies and clinical trials that the product candidate is safe and effective for use in each target indication. Failure to obtain marketing approval for a product candidate will prevent us from commercializing the product candidate. PRT-201 is still in development and is subject to the risks of failure inherent in drug or biologic development. We have not received approval to market any product candidate from regulatory authorities in any jurisdiction. Proteon has only limited experience in conducting and managing the clinical trials, and in submitting and supporting the applications necessary to gain marketing approvals, and we expect to rely on third-party clinical research organizations to assist us in this process. Securing marketing approval also requires the submission of information about the product manufacturing process to, and inspection of manufacturing facilities by, the regulatory authorities. PRT-201 may not be effective, may be only moderately effective or may prove to have undesirable or unintended side effects, toxicities or other characteristics that may preclude our obtaining marketing approval or prevent or limit commercial use. We may gain regulatory approval for PRT-201 or any additional product candidates in some but not all of the territories available or some but not all of the target indications, resulting in limited commercial opportunity for the product, or we may never obtain regulatory approval for PRT-201 or any additional product candidates in any jurisdiction.

The process of obtaining marketing approvals, both in the United States and abroad, is expensive, may take many years if additional clinical trials are required, if approval is obtained at all, and can vary substantially based upon a variety of factors, including the type, complexity and novelty of the product candidates involved. Changes in marketing approval policies during the development period, changes in or the enactment of additional statutes or regulations, or changes in regulatory review for each submitted product application, may cause delays in the approval or rejection of an application. The FDA and foreign regulatory authorities also have substantial discretion in the drug and biologics approval process. The number and types of preclinical studies and clinical trials that will be required for regulatory approval varies depending on the product candidate, the disease or condition that the product candidate is designed to address, and the regulations applicable to any particular product candidate. Approval policies, regulations or the type and amount of clinical data necessary to gain approval may change during the course of a product candidate's clinical development and may vary among jurisdictions, and there may be varying interpretations of data obtained from preclinical studies or clinical trials, either of which may cause delays or limitations in the approval or the decision not to approve an application. Regulatory agencies can delay, limit or deny approval of a product candidate for many reasons, including:

- the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may disagree with the design or implementation of our clinical trials;
- we may be unable to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities that a product candidate is safe and effective for its proposed indications;
- the results of later-stage clinical trials may not meet the level of statistical or clinical significance required by the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities for approval;
- the results of later-stage clinical trials may not confirm the positive results from earlier preclinical studies or clinical trials;
- we may be unable to demonstrate that a product candidate's clinical and other benefits outweigh its safety risks;
- the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may disagree with our interpretation of data from preclinical studies or clinical trials;

- the data collected from clinical trials of PRT-201 or any additional product candidate may not be sufficient to the satisfaction of the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities to support the submission of a BLA, or other comparable submission in foreign jurisdictions or to obtain regulatory approval in the United States or elsewhere;
- our manufacturing processes or facilities may not be adequate to support approval of our product candidates; or
- regulatory agencies may change their approval policies or adopt new regulations in a manner rendering our clinical data insufficient for approval.

It is possible that neither PRT-201 nor any product candidates we may seek to develop in the future will ever obtain the appropriate regulatory approvals necessary for us or any future collaborators to commence product sales. Any delay in obtaining, or failure to obtain, required approvals would materially adversely affect our ability to generate revenue from the particular product candidate, which likely would result in significant harm to our financial position and adversely impact our stock price.

We may face difficulty in enrolling patients for clinical trials.

We may find it difficult to enroll patients in our clinical trials, which could delay or prevent clinical trials of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates. We have never previously limited a trial to patients undergoing a surgical procedure to create a radiocephalic AVF, as we will do in our ongoing and planned Phase 3 trials. Identifying and qualifying patients to participate in clinical trials of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates are critical to our success. The timing of our clinical trials depends on the speed at which we can recruit patients to participate in testing product candidates. The enrollment timeline for radiocephalic AVF patients is lengthy and there are limited numbers of sites from which we can enroll pre-hemodialysis or hemodialysis patients. If patients are unwilling to participate in our trials because of negative publicity from adverse events or for other reasons, including competitive clinical trials for similar patient populations, the timeline for recruiting patients, conducting trials and obtaining regulatory approval of potential products may be delayed or prevented. These delays could result in increased costs, delays in advancing our product development, delays in testing the effectiveness of our technology or termination of the clinical trials altogether. We may not be able to identify, recruit and enroll a sufficient number of patients, or those with required or desired characteristics to achieve diversity in a trial, to complete our clinical trials in a timely manner. Patient enrollment is affected by factors including:

- severity of the disease under investigation;
- design of the trial protocol;
- size of the patient population;
- eligibility criteria for the trial in question;
- perceived risks and benefits of the product candidate under study;
- proximity and availability of clinical trial sites for prospective patients;
- availability of competing therapies and clinical trials;
- efforts to facilitate timely enrollment in clinical trials;
- patient referral practices of physicians; and
- ability to monitor patients adequately during and after treatment.

We may not be able to initiate or continue clinical trials if we cannot enroll a sufficient number of eligible patients to participate in the clinical trials required by regulatory agencies. If we have difficulty enrolling a sufficient number of patients to conduct our clinical trials as planned, we may need to delay, limit or terminate ongoing or planned clinical trials, any of which would have an adverse effect on our business.

If we experience any of a number of possible unforeseen events in connection with clinical trials of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, potential marketing approval or commercialization of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates could be delayed or prevented.

We may experience numerous unforeseen events during, or as a result of, clinical trials that could delay or prevent marketing approval of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, including:

- trials of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates may produce unfavorable or inconclusive results;
- we may decide, or regulators may require us, to conduct additional clinical trials or abandon product development programs;
- our third party contractors, including those manufacturing PRT-201 or any additional product candidates or components for commercial use or ingredients thereof or conducting clinical trials on our behalf, may fail to comply with regulatory requirements or meet their contractual obligations to us in a timely manner or at all;
- regulators or institutional review boards may not authorize us or our investigators to commence a clinical trial or conduct a clinical trial at a prospective trial site;
- we may have to suspend or terminate clinical trials of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates for various reasons, including a finding that the participants are being exposed to unacceptable health risks, undesirable side effects or other unexpected characteristics of a product candidate;
- regulators or institutional review boards may require that we or our investigators suspend or terminate clinical research for various reasons, including noncompliance with regulatory requirements or their respective standards of conduct, a finding that the participants are being exposed to unacceptable health risks, undesirable side effects or other unexpected characteristics of the product candidate or findings of undesirable effects caused by a chemically or mechanistically similar biologic or biologic candidate;
- we may experience delays in reaching or fail to reach agreement on acceptable clinical trial contracts or clinical trial protocols with prospective trial sites;
- patient enrollment in these clinical trials may be slower than we anticipate and is limited to a select number of sites, which could cause significant delays given the prolonged enrollment period;
- participants may drop out of these clinical trials at a higher rate than we anticipate and we may not be able to obtain the follow up data for the 12 month period planned in our Phase 3 trials;
- patients who enroll in a clinical trial may misrepresent their eligibility to do so or may otherwise not comply with the clinical trial protocol, resulting in the need to drop the patients from the clinical trial, increase the needed enrollment size for the clinical trial beyond the 300 proposed for each Phase 3 trial or may extend the clinical trial's duration;
- the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may disagree with our clinical trial design or our interpretation of data from preclinical studies and clinical trials;
- the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may fail to approve or subsequently find fault with the manufacturing processes or facilities of third party manufacturers with which we enter into agreements for clinical and commercial supplies;
- our finished product that has been manufactured for the PRT-201 Phase 3 trials may be inadequate, or the materials or manufactured product candidates necessary to conduct future clinical trials of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates may be insufficient, inadequate or not available at an acceptable cost, or we may experience interruptions in supply; and
- the approval policies or regulations of the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may significantly change in a manner rendering our clinical data insufficient to obtain marketing approval.

Product development costs for us will increase if we experience delays in testing or pursuing marketing approvals and we may be required to obtain additional funds to complete clinical trials and prepare for possible commercialization of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates. We do not know whether any

clinical trials will begin as planned, will need to be restructured or will be completed on schedule, or at all. Significant clinical trial delays also could shorten any periods during which we may have the exclusive right to commercialize PRT-201 or any additional product candidates or allow our competitors to bring products to market before we do and impair our ability to successfully commercialize PRT-201 or any additional product candidates and may harm our business and results of operations. In addition, many of the factors that cause, or lead to, clinical trial delays may ultimately lead to the denial of marketing approval of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates.

Any product for which we obtain FDA approval will be subject to extensive ongoing regulatory requirements, and Proteon may be subject to penalties if it fails to comply with regulatory requirements or if it experiences unanticipated problems with its products, when and if any of them are approved.

Any product for which we obtain marketing approval, along with the manufacturing processes, post-approval clinical research, labeling, advertising and promotional activities for such product, will be subject to continual requirements of, and review by, the FDA and comparable regulatory authorities. These requirements include submissions of safety and other post-marketing information and reports, registration and listing requirements, current good manufacturing practices, or cGMP, requirements relating to manufacturing, quality control, quality assurance and corresponding maintenance of records and documents, requirements regarding the distribution of samples to physicians and recordkeeping. We must also comply with requirements concerning advertising and promotion for PRT-201 or any additional product candidates for which we obtain marketing approval. Promotional communications with respect to prescription drugs, including biologics, are subject to a variety of legal and regulatory restrictions and must be consistent with the information in the product's approved labeling. Thus, we will not be able to promote any products we develop for indications or uses for which they are not approved.

Even if regulatory approval of a product is granted, the approval will be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the product may be marketed and may be subject to other conditions of approval. We and our contract manufacturers could be subject to periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA to monitor and ensure compliance with cGMPs. In addition, approval may contain requirements for costly post-marketing testing and surveillance to monitor the safety or efficacy of the product. Discovery after approval of previously unknown problems with any such products, manufacturers or manufacturing processes, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may result in actions such as:

- restrictions on our ability to conduct clinical trials, including full or partial clinical holds on ongoing or planned trials;
- restrictions on such products' manufacturing processes;
- restrictions on the marketing of a product;
- restrictions on product distribution;
- requirements to conduct post-marketing clinical trials;
- untitled or Warning Letters;
- withdrawal of the products from the market;
- refusal to approve pending applications or supplements to approved applications that we submit;
- recall of products;
- fines, restitution or disgorgement of profits or revenue;
- suspension or withdrawal of regulatory approvals;
- refusal to permit the import or export of our products;
- product seizure;
- injunctions; or
- imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

Accordingly, assuming we receive marketing approval for PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, we and our contract manufacturers will continue to expend time, money and effort in all areas of regulatory compliance, including manufacturing, production, product surveillance, post-marketing studies and quality control.

PRT-201 may cause undesirable side effects or have other properties that could delay or prevent their regulatory approval, limit the commercial profile of approved labeling, or result in significant negative consequences following any potential marketing approval.

As with many pharmaceutical and biological products, treatment with PRT-201 or any additional product candidates may produce undesirable side effects or adverse reactions or events. These adverse events may occur despite our belief, based on our preclinical and clinical trials to date, that PRT-201 has a safety profile that will support approval if we successfully complete our planned Phase 3 clinical program. For instance, PRT-201 shows a high degree of structural similarity with other human serine proteases, which are proteins that cut other proteins to activate, inactivate or degrade these other proteins, and it is theoretically possible that if anti-PRT-201 antibodies developed that they could cross-react with one or more of those other proteases because of the structural similarity, and prompt an adverse reaction. However, we have not seen any evidence of such cross-reactivity in our preclinical or clinical trials to date.

Based on our Phase 2 trial, adverse side effects that could occur with treatment with PRT-201 include AVF surgical incision pain, venous stenosis, AVF thrombosis, steal syndrome and hypoesthesia. If any of these adverse events occur in rates or severity exceeding placebo and unacceptable to regulatory authorities, if anti-PRT-201 antibodies develop and are associated with cross-reactivity to other proteases, or unknown serious events emerge, our clinical trials could be suspended or terminated and the FDA, the EMA or other foreign regulatory authorities could order us to cease further development of, or deny approval of, PRT-201 or any additional product candidates for any or all targeted indications, or they could require limitations or onerous warnings on the product label. The product-related side effects could affect patient recruitment or the ability of enrolled patients to complete the trial. If we elect or are required to delay, suspend or terminate any clinical trial of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, the commercial prospects of such product candidates will be harmed and our ability to generate product revenues from any of these product candidates will be delayed or eliminated. Any of these occurrences may harm our business, financial condition and prospects significantly.

We may not be able to maintain orphan drug designation or obtain or maintain orphan drug exclusivity for PRT-201.

We have obtained orphan drug designation from the FDA for PRT-201. In the United States, under the Orphan Drug Act, the FDA may designate a product as an orphan drug if it is intended to treat a rare disease or condition, which is generally defined as a patient population of fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States.

Orphan drug exclusivity may be lost if the FDA determines that the request for designation was materially defective or if the manufacturer is unable to assure sufficient quantity of the product to meet the needs of patients with the rare disease or condition.

Even if we obtain orphan drug exclusivity for PRT-201, that exclusivity may not effectively protect the product from competition because different products can be approved for the same condition. Even after an orphan product is approved, the FDA can subsequently approve the same product for the same condition if the FDA concludes that the later product is clinically superior in that it is shown to be safer, more effective or makes a major contribution to patient care.

We may expend our limited resources to pursue a particular product candidate or indication and fail to capitalize on product candidates or indications that may be more profitable or for which there is a greater likelihood of success.

Because we have limited financial and managerial resources, we have focused on developing one product candidate, PRT-201, and have focused on developing this product candidate for specific indications that we identify as most likely to succeed, in terms of both its regulatory approval and commercialization. As such, we are currently primarily focused on the development of PRT-201 for vascular access, and our Phase 3 trials will be limited to the application of PRT-201 in radiocephalic AVFs.

In the future we intend to pursue additional indications such as the application of PRT-201 in brachiocephalic AVF placement and/or patients undergoing placement of an AVG. As a result, we may forego or delay pursuit of opportunities with other product candidates or for other indications that may prove to have greater commercial potential. Our resource allocation decisions may cause us to fail to capitalize on viable commercial products or profitable market opportunities. Our spending on current and future research and development programs and product candidates for specific indications may not yield any commercially viable products. If we do not accurately evaluate the commercial potential or target market for a particular product candidate, we may relinquish valuable rights to that product candidate through collaboration, licensing or other royalty arrangements in cases in which it would have been more advantageous for us to retain sole development and commercialization rights to such product candidate.

Even if we obtain and maintain approval for PRT-201 or additional product candidates from the FDA, we may never obtain approval for PRT-201 or additional product candidates outside of the United States, which would limit our market opportunities and adversely affect our business.

Even if we obtain approval of a product candidate in the United States by the FDA, such approval does not ensure approval of such product candidate by regulatory authorities in other countries or jurisdictions, and approval by one foreign regulatory authority does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other foreign countries or by the FDA. Sales of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates outside of the United States will be subject to foreign regulatory requirements governing clinical trials and marketing approval. Even if the FDA grants marketing approval for a product candidate, comparable regulatory authorities of foreign countries must also approve the manufacturing and marketing of the product candidate in those countries. Approval procedures vary among jurisdictions and can involve requirements and administrative review periods different from, and greater than, those in the United States, including additional preclinical studies or clinical trials. In many countries outside the United States, a product candidate must be approved for reimbursement before it can be approved for sale in that country. In some cases, the price that we intend to charge for our products, if approved, is also subject to approval.

Based on additional data including the data from our Phase 3 clinical trials and assuming sufficient funds become available, we plan to commence a clinical trial of PRT-201 in Europe for patients undergoing placement of radiocephalic AVFs. Prior to enrolling our first patient in Europe, we plan to formally seek guidance from the EMA regarding its requirements for regulatory approval. We expect results from this trial to be available two to three years after the first patient is enrolled. If results of this European trial successfully meet its primary endpoint and depending on the guidance obtained from the EMA, we would expect to submit a Marketing Authorization Application, or MAA, following our receipt of the trial results. Obtaining an approval is a lengthy and expensive process and the EMA has its own procedures for approval of product candidates. Even if a product candidate is approved, the EMA may limit the indications for which the product may be marketed, require extensive warnings on the product labeling or require expensive and time-consuming clinical trials or reporting as conditions of approval. Regulatory authorities in countries outside of the United States and Europe also have requirements for approval of product candidates with which we must comply prior to marketing in those countries. Obtaining foreign regulatory approvals and compliance with foreign regulatory requirements could result in significant delays, difficulties and costs for us and could delay or prevent the introduction of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates in certain countries.

If we are found in violation of federal or state "fraud and abuse" laws or other healthcare laws and regulations, we may be required to pay a penalty and/or be suspended from participation in federal or state healthcare programs, which may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operation.

We may also be subject to various federal and state laws pertaining to healthcare "fraud and abuse," including anti-kickback laws and false claims laws. Anti-kickback laws make it illegal for a prescription

drug or biologic manufacturer to solicit, offer, receive or pay any remuneration in exchange for, or to induce, the referral of business, including the purchase or prescription of a particular drug or biologic. Due to the breadth of the statutory provisions, it is possible that our practices might be challenged under anti-kickback or other fraud and abuse laws. Moreover, recent healthcare reform legislation has strengthened these laws. For example, the recently enacted Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, or ACA, among other things, amends the intent requirement of the federal anti-kickback and criminal healthcare fraud statutes to clarify that a person or entity does not need to have actual knowledge of this statute or specific intent to violate it. In addition, the ACA clarifies that the government may assert that a claim that includes items or services resulting from a violation of the federal anti-kickback statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the false claims statutes. False claims laws prohibit anyone from knowingly and willingly presenting, or causing to be presented for payment, to government third-party payors (including Medicare and Medicaid) claims for reimbursed drugs, or biologics or services that are false or fraudulent, claims for items or services not provided as claimed, or claims for medically unnecessary items or services. Our activities relating to the sale and marketing of our products may be subject to scrutiny under these laws. Violations of fraud and abuse laws are punishable by criminal and civil sanctions, including fines and civil monetary penalties, the possibility of exclusion from federal healthcare programs (including Medicare and Medicaid) and corporate integrity agreements, which impose, among other things, rigorous operational and monitoring requirements on companies.

Given the significant penalties and fines that can be imposed on companies and individuals if convicted, allegations of violations often result in settlements even if the company or individual being investigated admits no wrongdoing. Settlements often include significant civil sanctions, including fines and civil monetary penalties, and corporate integrity agreements. If the government were to allege or convict us or our executive officers of violating these laws, our business could be harmed. In addition, private individuals have the ability to bring similar actions under the False Claims Act. Our activities could be subject to challenge for the reasons discussed above and due to the broad scope of these laws and the increasing attention being given to them by law enforcement authorities. Further, an increasing number of state laws require manufacturers to make reports to states on pricing and marketing information. Many of these laws contain ambiguities as to what is required to comply with the laws. Given the lack of clarity in laws and their implementation, our reporting actions could be subject to the penalty provisions of the pertinent state authorities.

Similar rigid restrictions are imposed on the promotion and marketing of medicinal products in the European Union and other countries. Laws (including those governing promotion, marketing and anti-kickback provisions), industry regulations and professional codes of conduct often are strictly enforced. Even in those countries where we are not directly responsible for the promotion and marketing of our products, inappropriate activity by our international distribution partners can have adverse implications for us.

We may not be able to comply with requirements of foreign jurisdictions in conducting trials outside of the United States.

To date, we have not conducted any clinical trials outside of the United States. Our ability to successfully initiate, enroll and complete a clinical trial in any foreign country, should we attempt to do so, is subject to numerous risks unique to conducting business in foreign countries, including:

- difficulty in establishing or managing relationships with contract research organizations, or CROs, and physicians;
- different standards for the conduct of clinical trials;
- our inability to locate qualified local consultants, physicians and partners;
- the potential burden of complying with a variety of foreign laws, medical standards and regulatory requirements, including the regulation of pharmaceutical and biotechnology products and treatment; and

- the acceptability of data obtained from trials conducted outside the United States to the FDA in support of a BLA.

Risks Related to Commercialization of Our Product

If we are unable to establish effective marketing and sales capabilities or enter into agreements with third parties to market and sell our product candidates, if they are approved, we may be unable to generate product revenues.

We currently do not have a commercial infrastructure for the marketing, sale and distribution of biological products. If approved, in order to commercialize our products, we must build our marketing, sales and distribution capabilities or make arrangements with third parties to perform these services. We may not be successful in doing so. If PRT-201 is approved by the FDA, we plan to build a specialty hospital sales force in the United States of approximately 75-100 representatives, supported by reimbursement specialists and a medical affairs team. We may seek to further penetrate the U.S. market in the future by expanding our sales force or through collaborations with other pharmaceutical or biotechnology companies or third party manufacturing and sales organizations. If approved for marketing outside the United States, we may commercialize outside the United States with our own specialty hospital sales force and/or with a commercial partner.

As a company we have no prior experience in the marketing, sale and distribution of biological products, and there are significant risks involved in the building and managing of a commercial infrastructure. The establishment and development of our own sales force and related compliance plans to market any products we may develop will be expensive and time consuming and could delay any product launch, and we may not be able to successfully develop this capability. We, or our future collaborators, will have to compete with other companies to recruit, hire, train, manage and retain marketing and sales personnel. In the event we are unable to develop a marketing and sales infrastructure, we may not be able to commercialize PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, which would limit our ability to generate product revenues. Our ability to generate product revenues would be impaired by:

- our inability to recruit, train, manage and retain adequate numbers of effective sales and marketing personnel;
- the inability of sales personnel to obtain access to vascular surgeons or persuade adequate numbers of vascular surgeons to use PRT-201 or any additional product candidates;
- our inability to effectively oversee a geographically dispersed sales and marketing team; and
- unforeseen costs and expenses associated with creating an independent sales and marketing organization.

Although our current plan is to hire most of our sales and marketing personnel only if PRT-201 is approved by the FDA, we will incur expenses prior to product launch in recruiting this sales force and developing a marketing and sales infrastructure. If the commercial launch of PRT-201 is delayed as a result of FDA requirements or other reasons, we would incur these expenses prior to being able to realize any revenue from sales of PRT-201. Even if we are able to effectively hire a sales force and develop a marketing and sales infrastructure, our sales force and marketing teams may not be successful in commercializing PRT-201 or any additional product candidates.

In the event we are unable to hire a sales force or collaborate with a third-party marketing and sales organization to commercialize any approved product candidates outside the United States, our ability to generate product revenues may be limited. To the extent we rely on third parties to commercialize any products for which we obtain regulatory approval, we may receive less revenues than if we commercialized these products ourselves. In addition, we would have less control over the sales efforts of any other third parties involved in our commercialization efforts.

Even if PRT-201 or any additional product candidates receive regulatory approval, they may fail to achieve the broad degree of physician adoption and use necessary for commercial success.

The commercial success of PRT-201 and any product candidates that we may develop will depend upon the degree of market acceptance by physicians, patients, healthcare payors and others in the medical community. Even if the FDA approves PRT-201 or one or more of our future product candidates, physicians and patients may not accept and use them. Acceptance and use of any of our products will depend upon a number of factors including:

- perceptions by members of the healthcare community, including physicians, about the safety and effectiveness of our products;
- cost-effectiveness of our products relative to any competing products;
- availability of coverage and reimbursement for our products from government or other healthcare payors; and
- effectiveness of marketing and distribution efforts by us and our licensees and distributors, if any.

Because we expect sales of PRT-201, if approved, to generate substantially all of our product revenues for the foreseeable future, the failure of PRT-201 to gain market acceptance would harm our business and would require us to seek additional financing.

PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, if approved, may face significant competition and our failure to effectively compete may prevent us from achieving significant market penetration and expansion.

The biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries are characterized by rapidly advancing technologies, intense competition and a strong emphasis on proprietary products. We face potential competition from many different sources, including major pharmaceutical, specialty pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, medical device companies, academic institutions, governmental agencies and public and private research institutions. While we believe that PRT-201's features, safety and efficacy, will differentiate it from any competitive products that may become available in the future, we expect to face potential competition from many different sources, including larger and better-funded pharmaceutical, specialty pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies and medical device companies, as well as from academic institutions and governmental agencies and public and private research institutions that may develop potentially competitive products or technologies.

Some of our competitors have significantly greater financial resources and expertise in research and development, manufacturing, preclinical testing, conducting clinical trials, obtaining regulatory approvals and marketing approved products than we do. Smaller or early stage companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through collaborative arrangements with large and established companies.

The key competitive factors affecting the success of PRT-201, if approved, are likely to be its efficacy, safety, convenience, price, and the availability of reimbursement from government and other third party payors. Our commercial opportunity could be reduced or eliminated if our competitors develop and commercialize products that are safer, more effective, more convenient or less expensive than any products that we may develop. Our competitors may also obtain FDA or other regulatory approval for their products more rapidly than we may obtain approval for ours.

We are not aware of any therapeutic products approved in the United States or Europe for the prevention of vascular access failure. We are aware of other therapies in development with companies including Vascular Therapies and Celladon. PRT-201 could face competition from companies developing vascular access technologies, including BioConnect Systems, Caymus Medical, Phraxis, CreatiVasc, and TVA Medical. Other potential competition includes new synthetic grafts, including those that may be developed by companies that currently compete in the graft market, such as W.L. Gore, C.R. Bard and Maquet, as well as tissue engineered grafts, including those in development by Cytograft and Humacyte.

Finally, PRT-201's commercial success could be affected by the development of technologies to improve the outcomes of interventions to restore patency, including stents, stent grafts and drug eluting balloons.

PRT-201 or any additional product candidates for which we intend to seek approval as biologic products may face competition sooner than anticipated.

With the enactment of the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009, or BPCIA, as part of the ACA, an abbreviated pathway for the approval of biosimilar and interchangeable biological products was created. The abbreviated regulatory pathway establishes legal authority for the FDA to review and approve biosimilar biologics, including the possible designation of a biosimilar as "interchangeable" based on its similarity to an existing brand product. Under the BPCIA, an application for a biosimilar product cannot be approved by the FDA until 12 years after the original branded product was approved under a BLA. The law is complex and is still being interpreted and implemented by the FDA. As a result, its ultimate impact, implementation, and meaning are subject to uncertainty. While it is uncertain when such processes intended to implement BPCIA may be fully adopted by the FDA, any such processes could have a material adverse effect on the future commercial prospects for our biological products.

We believe that PRT-201 or any additional product candidates approved as a biological product under a BLA should qualify for the 12-year period of exclusivity. However, there is a risk that the FDA will not consider PRT-201 or any additional product candidates to be reference products for competing products, potentially creating the opportunity for generic competition sooner than anticipated. Additionally, this period of regulatory exclusivity does not apply to companies pursuing regulatory approval via their own traditional BLA, rather than via the abbreviated pathway. Moreover, the extent to which a biosimilar, once approved, will be substituted for any one of our reference products in a way that is similar to traditional generic substitution for non-biological products is not yet clear, and will depend on a number of marketplace and regulatory factors that are still developing.

If the government or third-party payors fail to provide coverage and adequate coverage and payment rates for PRT-201 or any additional product candidates or if surgeons or hospitals choose not to use PRT-201, our revenue and prospects for profitability will be limited.

In both domestic and foreign markets, sales of our future products will depend in part upon the availability of coverage and reimbursement from third-party payors. The majority of incident and prevalent hemodialysis patients have Medicare coverage and other third-party payors include other government health programs such as Medicaid, managed care providers, private health insurers and other organizations. Coverage decisions may depend upon clinical and economic standards that disfavor new drug products when more established or lower cost therapeutic alternatives are already available or subsequently become available. Assuming coverage is approved, the resulting reimbursement payment rates might not be adequate. Accordingly, PRT-201 or any of our other product candidates, if approved, will face competition from other therapies and drugs for limited financial resources. We may need to conduct post-marketing studies in order to demonstrate the cost-effectiveness of any future products to the satisfaction of outpatient clinics, hospitals, other target customers and their third-party payors. Such studies might require us to commit a significant amount of management time and financial and other resources. Our future products might not ultimately be considered cost-effective. Adequate third-party coverage and reimbursement might not be available to enable us to maintain price levels sufficient to realize an appropriate return on investment in product development.

Third-party payors, whether foreign or domestic, or governmental or commercial, are developing increasingly sophisticated methods of controlling healthcare costs. In addition, in the United States, no uniform policy of coverage and reimbursement for drug products exists among third-party payors. Therefore, coverage and reimbursement for drug products can differ significantly from payor to payor. Further, we believe that future coverage and reimbursement will likely be subject to increased restrictions both in the United States and in international markets. Third-party coverage and reimbursement for our products or product candidates for which we receive regulatory approval may not be available or adequate in either the United States or international markets, which could have a negative effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

Risks Related to Dependence on Third Parties

We and our contract manufacturers are subject to significant regulation with respect to manufacturing our product candidates. The manufacturing facilities on which we rely may not continue to meet regulatory requirements and have limited capacity.

We currently have a relationship with only one supplier, Lonza AG, for the manufacturing of active pharmaceutical ingredient, or API, for PRT-201 for clinical testing purposes, and intend to continue to utilize Lonza as our sole or primary supplier in the future. We have used two companies, Jubilant HollisterStier and DSM Pharmaceuticals, to vial and make our PRT-201 finished product. We also expect to rely upon third parties to produce materials required for the commercial production of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates if we succeed in obtaining the necessary regulatory approvals.

All entities involved in the preparation of drugs or biologics for clinical trials or commercial sale, including our existing contract manufacturers, are subject to extensive regulation. Ingredients of a finished therapeutic biologic product approved for commercial sale or used in late-stage clinical trials must be manufactured in accordance with cGMP and equivalent foreign standards. These regulations govern manufacturing processes and procedures (including record keeping) and the implementation and operation of quality systems to control and assure the quality of investigational products and products approved for sale. Poor control of production processes can lead to the introduction of adventitious agents or other contaminants, or to inadvertent changes in the properties or stability of product candidate that may not be detectable in final product testing. We or our contract manufacturers must supply all necessary documentation in support of a BLA on a timely basis and must adhere to the FDA's good laboratory practices, or GLPs, and cGMP regulations enforced by the FDA through its facilities inspection program. Any failure by our third-party manufacturers to comply with cGMP or failure to scale-up manufacturing processes, including any failure to deliver sufficient quantities of product candidates in a timely manner for the process validation required in connection with a BLA filing, could lead to a delay in, or failure to obtain, regulatory approval of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates. For example, on November 27, 2013, our third-party supplier of finished biological product, Jubilant HollisterStier, received a Warning Letter from the FDA alleging that the company was not complying with cGMPs. We received a letter from the FDA on February 13, 2014, stating that the Warning Letter does not impact the batch of finished product we intend to use for our Phase 3 clinical trials. However, this third party or other third parties could encounter similar difficulties that could impede our clinical trials or commercialization. Our facilities and quality systems and the facilities and quality systems of some or all of our third-party contractors must also pass a pre-approval inspection for compliance with the applicable regulations as a condition of regulatory approval of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates. In addition, the regulatory authorities may, at any time, audit or inspect a manufacturing facility involved with the preparation of our product candidate or the associated quality systems for compliance with the regulations applicable to the activities being conducted. If these facilities and quality systems do not pass a pre-approval plant inspection from the FDA or a comparable foreign authority, approval of our product candidate by the FDA or the equivalent approvals in other jurisdictions will not be granted until the regulatory authority is satisfied that the facility complies with applicable regulations.

Regulatory authorities also may, at any time following approval of a product for sale, audit our manufacturing facilities or those of our third-party contractors. If any such inspection or audit identifies a failure to comply with applicable regulations or if a violation of our product specifications or applicable regulations occurs independent of such an inspection or audit, we or the relevant regulatory authority may require remedial measures that may be costly and/or time-consuming for us or a third party to implement and that may include the temporary or permanent suspension of a clinical trial or commercial sales or the temporary or permanent closure of a facility. Any such remedial measures imposed upon us or third parties with whom we contract could materially harm our business.

If we or any of our third-party manufacturers fail to maintain regulatory compliance, the FDA can impose regulatory sanctions including, among other things, refusal to approve a pending application for a new drug or biologic product or revocation of a pre-existing approval. If any such event occurs, our business, financial condition and results of operations may be materially harmed.

We rely on third parties to conduct some or all aspects of our product manufacturing, protocol development, research, and preclinical and clinical testing, and these third parties may not perform satisfactorily.

We do not currently, and do not expect in the future, to independently conduct all aspects of our product manufacturing, protocol development, research and monitoring and management of our clinical programs. PRT-201 API is produced by our contract manufacturer, Lonza. PRT-201 finished product is produced by our contract fill/finish provider, Jubilant HollisterStier. Release testing and stability for API and finished product is performed by PPD, Inc. We currently rely, and expect to continue to rely, on third parties with respect to these items. While we will have agreements governing their activities, we will have limited influence over their actual day-to-day performance. Nevertheless, we will be responsible for ensuring that the manufacturing is conducted in accordance with regulatory requirements such as cGMPs. Our reliance on the third parties does not relieve us of our regulatory responsibilities.

Any of these third parties may terminate their engagements with us under the terms of our agreements upon notice to us. If we need to enter into alternative arrangements, our product candidate development activities may be delayed. Our reliance on these third parties for research and development activities reduces our day-to-day control over these activities but does not relieve us of our responsibility to ensure compliance with all required legal, regulatory and scientific standards and any applicable trial protocols. For example, for PRT-201 or any additional product candidates that we develop and commercialize on our own, we will remain responsible for ensuring that each of our clinical trials is conducted in accordance with its protocol and analyzed in accordance with its statistical analysis plan for the clinical trial.

If these third parties do not successfully carry out their contractual duties, meet expected deadlines or conduct our studies in accordance with regulatory requirements or our protocols, we may be delayed in completing, or unable to complete, the clinical trials required to support future approval of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates.

We rely on our manufacturers to purchase from third-party suppliers the materials necessary to produce our product candidate, PRT-201, for our clinical trials. There are a small number of suppliers for certain raw materials that we use to manufacture PRT-201. These suppliers may not sell these raw materials to our manufacturers at the times we need them or on commercially reasonable terms. We do not have any control over the process or timing of the acquisition of these raw materials by our manufacturers. Moreover, we currently do not have any agreements for the commercial production of these raw materials. Although the API and the finished product for each of our Phase 3 trials has already been manufactured and is held in storage, we will need supply of finished product as part of the process validation and for any stability or other tests in connection with a BLA application and also to conduct additional clinical trials, for example for additional PRT-201 indications. Any significant delay in the supply of the ingredients thereof due to the need to replace a third-party manufacturer could considerably delay completion of our

clinical trials, product testing and potential regulatory approval of PRT-201 or any additional product candidate as we believe that replacing Lonza as the manufacturer of our API would take one to two years. If our manufacturers or we are unable to purchase these raw materials after regulatory approval has been obtained for our product candidate, our ability to commercially launch and/or generate revenues from the sale of any approved product would be impaired. Reliance on third-party manufacturers entails exposure to risks to which we would not be subject if we manufactured the product candidate ourselves, including:

- failure to negotiate manufacturing agreements with third parties under commercially reasonable terms;
- reduced day-to-day control over the manufacturing process for our product candidates as a result of using third-party manufacturers for all aspects of manufacturing activities;
- reduced control over the protection of our trade secrets and know-how from misappropriation or inadvertent disclosure;
- termination or nonrenewal of manufacturing agreements with third parties in a manner or at a time that may be costly or damaging to us or result in delays in the development or commercialization of our product candidates; and
- disruptions to the operations of our third-party manufacturers or suppliers caused by conditions unrelated to our business or operations, including the bankruptcy of the manufacturer or supplier.

Any of these events could lead to delays in the development of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, including delays in our clinical trials, or failure to obtain regulatory approval for our product candidates, or it could impact our ability to successfully commercialize PRT-201 or any additional product candidates. Some of these events could be the basis for FDA or other regulatory action, including warning letters, injunction, recall, seizure or total or partial suspension of production. Any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We rely on third parties to conduct, supervise and monitor our clinical trials. If these third parties do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or meet expected deadlines, we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for, or commercialize, PRT-201 or any additional product candidates and our business could be substantially harmed.

We rely on CROs and clinical trial sites to ensure our clinical trials are conducted properly and on time. While we will have agreements governing their activities, we will have limited influence over their actual day-to-day performance. Nevertheless, we will be responsible for ensuring that each of our clinical trials is conducted in accordance with the applicable protocol, and legal, regulatory and scientific standards and recognize that our reliance on the CROs does not relieve us of our regulatory responsibilities.

We and our CROs are required to comply with the FDA's good clinical practices, or GCPs, for conducting, recording and reporting the results of clinical trials to assure that the data and reported results are credible and accurate and that the rights, integrity and confidentiality of clinical trial participants are protected. The FDA and comparable foreign regulatory authorities enforce these GCPs through periodic inspections of trial sponsors, principal investigators and clinical trial sites. If we or our CROs fail to comply with applicable GCPs, the clinical data generated in our future clinical trials may be deemed unreliable and the FDA, the EMA, or other foreign regulatory authorities may require us to perform additional clinical trials before approving any marketing applications. Upon inspection, the FDA may determine that our clinical trials did not comply with GCPs. In addition, our future clinical trials will require a sufficient number of test subjects to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates. Accordingly, if our CROs fail to comply with these regulations or fail to recruit a sufficient number of patients, we may be required to repeat such clinical trials, which would delay the regulatory approval process.

Our CROs are not our employees, and we are therefore unable to monitor on a day-to-day basis whether or not they devote sufficient time and resources to our clinical and nonclinical programs. These CROs may also have relationships with other commercial entities, including our competitors, for whom

they may also be conducting clinical trials or other product development activities that could harm our competitive position. If our CROs do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or obligations, fail to meet expected deadlines, or if the quality or accuracy of the clinical data they obtain is compromised due to the failure to adhere to our clinical protocols or regulatory requirements, or for any other reasons, our clinical trials may be extended, delayed or terminated, and we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for, or successfully commercialize, PRT-201 or any additional product candidates. If any such event were to occur, our financial results and the commercial prospects for PRT-201 or any additional product candidates would be harmed, our costs could increase, and our ability to generate revenues could be delayed.

If any of our relationships with these third-party CROs terminates, we may not be able to enter into arrangements with alternative CROs or to do so on commercially reasonable terms. Further, switching or adding additional CROs involves additional costs and requires management time and focus. In addition, a transition period may be required when a new CRO commences work. As a result, delays may occur, which could materially impact our ability to meet our desired clinical development timelines. Though we carefully manage our relationships with our CROs, there can be no assurance that we will not encounter challenges or delays in the future or that these delays or challenges will not have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and prospects.

We also rely on other third parties to store and distribute our products for the clinical trials that we conduct. Any performance failure on the part of our distributors could delay clinical development or marketing approval of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates or commercialization of our product, if approved, producing additional losses and depriving us of potential product revenue.

We may seek to form partnerships in the future with respect to PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, and we may not realize the benefits of such partnerships.

We may form partnerships, create joint ventures or collaborations or enter into licensing arrangements with third parties for the development and commercialization of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates. We face significant competition in seeking appropriate strategic partners and the negotiation process is time-consuming and complex. Any delays in entering into new strategic partnership agreements related to our product candidates could delay the development and commercialization of our product candidates and reduce their competitiveness even if they reach the market. Moreover, we may not be successful in our efforts to establish a strategic partnership or other collaborative arrangement for any additional product candidates because the potential partner may consider that our research and development pipeline is insufficiently developed to justify a collaborative effort, or that PRT-201 or any additional product candidates and programs do not have the requisite potential to demonstrate safety and efficacy in the target population. Even if we are successful in establishing such a strategic partnership or collaboration, we cannot be certain that, following such a strategic transaction or license, we will be able to progress the development and commercialization of the applicable product candidates as envisioned, or that we will achieve the revenues that would justify such transaction.

Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property

If our efforts to protect our intellectual property related to PRT-201 or any additional product candidates are not adequate, we may not be able to compete effectively in our market.

We rely upon a combination of patents, patent applications, know-how and confidentiality agreements to protect the intellectual property related to our only product candidate, PRT-201, and will use a similar strategy to protect any additional product candidates. The patent position of biotechnology companies is generally uncertain because it involves complex legal and factual considerations. The standards applied by the United States Patent and Trademark Office, or USPTO, and foreign patent offices in granting patents are not always applied uniformly or predictably. For example, there is no uniform worldwide policy

regarding patentable subject matter or the scope of claims allowable in biotechnology patents. The patent applications that we own may fail to result in issued patents with claims that cover PRT-201 or any additional product candidates in the United States or in other countries. There is no assurance that all potentially relevant prior art relating to our patents and patent applications has been found, and prior art that is not before the patent examiners, as well as prior art that is before the patent examiners, could be used by a third party to invalidate a patent or could be relied on to prevent a patent from issuing from a pending patent application. Even if patents do successfully issue and even if these patents cover PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, third parties may challenge their validity, enforceability or scope, which may result in our patents being narrowed or invalidated. Furthermore, even if they are unchallenged, our patents and patent applications may not adequately provide exclusivity for PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, prevent others from designing around our patents with similar products that are outside the scope of our patents, or prevent others from operating in jurisdictions in which we did not pursue patent protection. Any of these outcomes could impair our ability to prevent competition from third parties, which may have an adverse impact on our business.

If patent applications we hold with respect to PRT-201 or any additional product candidates fail to issue, if their breadth or strength of protection is threatened, or if they fail to provide meaningful exclusivity for PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, it could dissuade companies from collaborating with us. We own 20 issued patents and own 26 pending patent applications, most of which cover aspects of PRT-201 or its use. We cannot offer any assurances about which, if any, of the pending patent applications will issue as patents, the breadth of any such patents or any of our currently issued patents, or whether any issued patents will be challenged by third parties or will be found invalid and unenforceable if challenged. Any successful challenge to these patent applications, or patents that may issue from them, or to currently issued patents owned by us, could deprive us of rights necessary for the successful commercialization of PRT-201 or any other product candidate that we may develop. Since patent applications in the United States and most other countries are confidential for a period of time after filing, and some remain so until issued, we cannot be certain that we were the first to file a patent application relating to any particular aspect of a product candidate. Furthermore, if third parties have filed such patent applications, an interference proceeding in the United States can be initiated by these third parties, or by the USPTO itself, to determine who was the first to invent any of the subject matter covered by the patent claims of our patents and patent applications.

In the United States, for patent applications filed prior to March 16, 2013, assuming the other requirements for patentability are met, the first to invent is entitled to the patent, while outside the United States, the first to file a patent application is entitled to the patent. Our currently pending patent applications are examined under the system in place before March 16, 2013. Third parties are allowed to submit prior art prior to the issuance of a patent by the USPTO, and may become involved in reexamination, *inter partes* review or interference proceedings challenging our patent rights. An adverse determination in any such submission, proceeding or litigation could reduce the scope of, or invalidate, our patent rights, which could adversely affect our competitive position with respect to third parties.

In addition, patents have a limited lifespan. In most countries, the statutory term of a patent is 20 years from the earliest domestic priority date claimed. In the United States, for applications filed after June 7, 1995, the statutory term of a patent is 20 years from earliest non-provisional priority date claimed. Various extensions of patent protection may be available in particular countries; however, in all circumstances, the life of a patent, and the protection it affords, has a limited term. If we encounter delays in obtaining regulatory approvals, the period of time during which we could market a product under patent protection could be reduced. We expect to seek extensions of patent protection where these are available in any countries where we are prosecuting patents. Such possible extensions include those permitted under the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 in the United States, which permits up to five years' extension of patent protection. The scope of protection available during an extension of a patent claiming a product is limited to the approved product itself for approved uses, and the scope of

protection available during an extension of a patent claiming a method of using a product is limited to the uses claimed in the patent and approved for the product. The actual length of the extension will depend on the amount of patent term lost while the product was in clinical trials and while the BLA was under review by the FDA. However, the applicable authorities, including the FDA and the USPTO in the United States, and any equivalent regulatory authority in other countries, may not agree with our assessment of whether such extensions are available, and may refuse to grant extensions to our patents, or may grant more limited extensions than we request. If this occurs, our competitors may be able to take advantage of our investment in development and clinical trials by referencing our clinical and preclinical data, and then may be able to launch their product earlier than might otherwise be the case.

Any loss of, or failure to obtain, patent protection could have a material adverse impact on our business. We may be unable to prevent competitors from entering the market with a product that is similar to or the same as our products.

Confidentiality agreements with employees and third parties may not prevent unauthorized disclosure of proprietary information.

We seek to protect our proprietary technology and processes, in part, by entering into confidentiality agreements with our employees, consultants, scientific advisors and contractors. We also seek to preserve the integrity and confidentiality of our data and know-how by maintaining physical security of our premises and physical and electronic security of our information technology systems. Nonetheless, despite these precautions, agreements or security measures may be breached, and we may not have adequate remedies for any breach. In addition, our know-how may otherwise become known or be independently discovered by competitors. To the extent that our consultants, contractors or collaborators use intellectual property owned by others in their work for us, disputes may arise as to the rights in related or resulting know-how and inventions.

Enforcing a claim that a third party illegally obtained and is using any of our know-how is expensive and time consuming, and the outcome is unpredictable. In addition, courts outside the United States sometimes are less willing than United States courts to protect know-how. Misappropriation or unauthorized disclosure of our know-how could impair our competitive position and may have a material adverse effect on our business.

We may become involved in lawsuits to protect or enforce our intellectual property, which could be expensive, time consuming and unsuccessful, and which may lead to a finding that our patents are invalid and/or unenforceable.

Competitors may infringe our patents or misappropriate or otherwise violate our intellectual property rights. To counter infringement or unauthorized use, litigation may be necessary to enforce or defend our intellectual property rights, to protect our know-how and/or to determine the validity and scope of our own intellectual property rights. Intellectual property litigation can be expensive and time consuming. Many of our current and potential competitors have the ability to dedicate substantially greater resources to litigate intellectual property rights than we can. Accordingly, despite our efforts, we may not be able to prevent third parties from infringing or misappropriating our intellectual property. Litigation could result in substantial costs and diversion of management resources, which could harm our business and financial results. In addition, in an infringement proceeding, a court may decide that our patents are invalid or unenforceable, and may refuse to stop the other party from using the technology at issue, including on the grounds that our patents are invalid or unenforceable or do not cover the technology in question. An adverse result in any litigation proceeding could put one or more of our patents at risk of being invalidated, held unenforceable or interpreted narrowly. Furthermore, because of the substantial amount of discovery required in connection with intellectual property litigation, there is a risk that some of our confidential information could be compromised by disclosure during this type of litigation.

Third-party claims of intellectual property infringement or misappropriation may prevent or delay our development and commercialization efforts.

Our commercial success depends in part on our ability to develop, manufacture, market and sell PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, and to use proprietary technologies without infringing the patents and proprietary rights of third parties. There is a substantial amount of litigation and adversarial proceedings, both within and outside the United States, involving patent and other intellectual property rights in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries, including patent infringement lawsuits, interferences, oppositions, reexamination, and *inter partes* review proceedings before the USPTO and corresponding foreign patent offices. Third parties own patent rights both within and outside the U.S. in the fields in which we are developing and may develop PRT-201 or any additional product candidates. As the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries expand and more patents are issued, the risk increases that PRT-201 or any additional product candidates may be subject to claims of infringement of the patent rights of third parties.

Third parties may assert that we are employing their proprietary technology without authorization. There may be third-party patents or patent applications with claims that may cover PRT-201 or any additional product candidates and/or the use, manufacture, sale and/or offer for sale of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates. We are aware of European Patent No. EP 1 012 307 B1, or the '307 patent, which claims, among other things, autocatalytically cleavable zymogenic precursor of a serine protease wherein a naturally occurring non-autocatalytic cleavage site is replaced in the zymogenic precursor by an autocatalytic cleavage site. The '307 patent expires on August 12, 2018. We currently estimate that the soonest that we will market PRT-201 is after this date.

In some cases, we may have failed to identify relevant third-party patents or patent applications. For example, applications filed before November 29, 2000, and certain applications filed after that date that will not be filed outside the United States remain confidential until patents issue. Except for the preceding exceptions, patent applications in the United States and elsewhere are generally published but, only after a waiting period of approximately 18 months after the earliest filing. Therefore, patent applications covering PRT-201 or future product candidates could have been filed by others without our knowledge. Additionally, pending patent applications which have been published can, subject to certain limitations, be later amended in a manner that could cover PRT-201 or any additional product candidates and/or the use, manufacture, sale and/or offer for sale of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates.

If any valid and enforceable third-party patents were held by a court of competent jurisdiction to cover PRT-201 or any additional product candidates and/or their use, manufacture, sale, and/or offer for sale, the holders of any of these patents may be able to block our ability to develop and commercialize the applicable product candidate until the patent expired or unless we obtain a license. Licenses may not be available on acceptable terms, if at all. Even if we were able to obtain a license, the rights may be nonexclusive, which could result in our competitors gaining access to the same intellectual property. Ultimately, we could be prevented from commercializing a product, or be forced to cease some aspect of our business operations, if, as a result of actual or threatened patent infringement claims, we are unable to enter into licenses on acceptable terms.

Some of our early research of recombinant expression of PRT-201, but not the corresponding development work, utilized some technology under license from a third party. The third party may contend that we use the licensed technology for our commercial recombinant expression of PRT-201. Litigation may be necessary to defend against such a claim. Even if we are successful in defending against such a claim, litigation could result in substantial costs and be a distraction to management. If we are not successful in defending against such a claim, in addition to paying monetary damages, we may have to reconfigure the PRT-201 expression system, which would materially adversely affect our commercial development efforts.

Parties making claims against us may obtain injunctive or other equitable relief, which could effectively block our ability to commercialize PRT-201 or any additional product candidates. We may face a claim of misappropriation if a third party believes that we inappropriately obtained and used trade secrets of that third party. If we are found to have misappropriated a third party's trade secrets, we may be prevented from further using such trade secrets, limiting our ability to develop PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, and we may be required to pay damages.

Defending against claims of patent infringement or misappropriation of trade secrets could be costly and time consuming, regardless of the outcome. Thus, even if we were to ultimately prevail, or to settle at an early stage, any litigation could burden us with substantial unanticipated costs. In addition, litigation or threatened litigation could result in significant demands on the time and attention of our management team, distracting them from the pursuit of other company business. In the event of a successful claim of infringement against us, we may have to pay substantial damages, including treble damages and attorneys' fees for willful infringement, pay royalties, redesign our infringing products or obtain one or more licenses from third parties, which may be impossible or require substantial time and monetary expenditure.

During the course of any patent or other intellectual property litigation, there could be public announcements of the results of hearings, rulings on motions, and other interim proceedings in the litigation. If securities analysts or investors regard these announcements as negative, the perceived value of our products, programs, or intellectual property could be diminished. Accordingly, the market price of our common stock may decline.

If we are unable to adequately protect our proprietary technology, or obtain and maintain issued patents which are sufficient to protect our current product candidate, PRT-201, or any additional product candidates, others could compete against us more directly, which would have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

We strive to protect and enhance the proprietary technologies that we believe are important to our business, including seeking patents intended to cover our products and compositions, their methods of use and any other inventions that are important to the development of our business. We also rely on know-how to protect aspects of our business that are not amenable to, or that we do not consider appropriate for, patent protection.

Our success will depend significantly on our ability to obtain and maintain patent and other proprietary protection for commercially important technology, inventions and know-how related to our business, defend and enforce our current patents and any future patents that may issue, preserve the confidentiality of our know-how and operate without infringing the valid and enforceable patents and proprietary rights of third parties. We also rely on know-how and in-licensing opportunities to develop, strengthen and maintain the proprietary position of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates.

We cannot provide any assurances that any of our pending patent applications will mature into issued patents and, if they do, that such patents or our currently issued patents will include claims with a scope sufficient to protect PRT-201 or any additional product candidates or otherwise provide any competitive advantage. For example, one of our patents that may provide coverage for PRT-201 only covers particular formulations. As a result, this patent would not prevent third-party competitors from creating, making and marketing alternative formulations that fall outside the scope of our patent claims. There can be no assurance that any such alternative formulations will not be equally effective.

Moreover, other parties have developed technologies that may be related or competitive to our approach, and may have filed or may file patent applications and may have received or may receive patents that may overlap or conflict with our patent applications, either by claiming the same methods or formulations or by claiming subject matter that could dominate our patent position. Such third party patent positions may limit or even eliminate our ability to obtain patent protection for certain inventions.

The patent positions of biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies, including our patent position, involve complex legal and factual questions, and, therefore, the issuance, scope, validity and enforceability of any patent claims that we may obtain cannot be predicted with certainty. Patents, if issued, may be challenged, deemed unenforceable, invalidated, or circumvented. United States patents and patent applications may also be subject to interference proceedings, *ex parte* reexamination, or *inter partes* review proceedings, and challenges in district court. Patents may be subjected to opposition, revocation proceedings, or comparable proceedings lodged in various foreign, both national and regional, patent offices. These proceedings could result in either loss of the patent or denial of the patent application or loss or reduction in the scope of one or more of the claims of the patent or patent application. In addition, such proceedings may be costly. Thus, any patents that we may own or exclusively license may not provide any protection against competitors. Furthermore, an adverse decision in an interference proceeding can result in a third party receiving the patent right sought by us, which in turn could affect our ability to develop, market or otherwise commercialize PRT-201 or any additional product candidates.

Furthermore, though a patent is presumed valid and enforceable, its issuance is not conclusive as to its validity or its enforceability and it may not provide us with adequate proprietary protection or competitive advantages against competitors with similar products. Even if a patent issues and is held to be valid and enforceable, competitors may be able to design around our patents, such as using pre-existing or newly developed technology. Other parties may develop and obtain patent protection for more effective technologies, designs or methods. We may not be able to prevent the unauthorized disclosure or use of our technical knowledge or know-how by consultants, vendors, former employees and current employees. The laws of some foreign countries do not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States, and we may encounter significant problems in protecting our proprietary rights in these countries. If these developments were to occur, they could have a material adverse effect on our sales.

In addition, proceedings to enforce or defend our patents, if and when issued, could put our patents at risk of being invalidated, held unenforceable, or interpreted narrowly. Such proceedings could also provoke third parties to assert claims against us, including that some or all of the claims in one or more of our patents are invalid or otherwise unenforceable. If any of our patents, if and when issued, covering PRT-201, or any additional product candidates, are invalidated or found unenforceable, our financial position and results of operations would be materially and adversely impacted. In addition, if a court found that valid, enforceable patents held by third parties covered PRT-201, or any additional product candidates, our financial position and results of operations would also be materially and adversely impacted.

The degree of future protection for our proprietary rights is uncertain, and we cannot ensure that:

- any of our patents or pending patent applications, if issued, will include claims having a scope sufficient to protect PRT-201 or any additional product candidates;
- any of our pending patent applications will issue as patents at all;
- we will be able to successfully commercialize product candidates, if approved, before our relevant patents expire;
- we were the first to make the inventions covered by each of our patents and pending patent applications;
- we were the first to file patent applications for these inventions;
- others will not develop similar or alternative technologies that do not infringe our patents;
- others will not use pre-existing technology to effectively compete against us;
- any of our patents will be found ultimately to be valid and enforceable;
- any patents issued to us will provide a basis for an exclusive market for our commercially viable products, will provide us with any competitive advantages or will not be challenged by third parties;
- we will develop additional proprietary technologies or product candidates that are separately patentable; or
- that our commercial activities or products will not infringe the patents or proprietary rights of others.

We rely upon unpatented know-how to maintain our competitive position, which we seek to protect, in part, by confidentiality agreements with our employees and our collaborators and consultants. It is possible that technology relevant to our business will be independently developed by a person that is not a party to such an agreement. Furthermore, if the employees and consultants who are parties to these agreements breach or violate the terms of these agreements, we may not have adequate remedies for any such breach or violation, and our confidential know-how could become known to others through such breaches or violations. Further, our know-how could otherwise become known or be independently discovered by our competitors. Further, the term of confidentiality requirements for current and terminated agreements with some of our consultants, contract manufacturing or research organizations and other third parties is finite.

We may be subject to claims challenging the inventorship or ownership of our patents and other intellectual property.

We enter into confidentiality and intellectual property assignment agreements with our employees, consultants, outside scientific collaborators, sponsored researchers and other advisors. These agreements generally provide that inventions conceived by the party in the course of rendering services to us will be our exclusive property. However, these agreements may not be honored and may not effectively assign intellectual property rights to us. For example, even if we have a consulting agreement in place with an academic advisor pursuant to which such academic advisor is required to assign any inventions developed in connection with providing services to us, such academic advisor may not have the right to assign such inventions to us, as it may conflict with his or her obligations to assign all such intellectual property to his or her employing institution.

Litigation may be necessary to defend against these and other claims challenging inventorship or ownership of inventions. If we are unsuccessful in defending against any such claims, in addition to paying monetary damages, we may lose valuable intellectual property rights, such as exclusive ownership of, or right to use, valuable intellectual property. Such an outcome could have a material adverse effect on our business. Even if we are successful in defending against such claims, litigation could result in substantial costs and be a distraction to management and other employees.

Obtaining and maintaining our patent protection depends on compliance with various procedural, document submission, fee payment and other requirements imposed by governmental patent agencies, and our patent protection could be reduced or eliminated for non-compliance with these requirements.

The USPTO and various foreign governmental patent agencies require compliance with a number of procedural, documentary, fee payment and other provisions during the patent process. There are situations in which noncompliance can result in abandonment or lapse of a patent or patent application, resulting in partial or complete loss of patent rights in the relevant jurisdiction. In such an event, competitors might be able to enter the market earlier than would otherwise have been the case.

Issued patents covering PRT-201 or covering any additional product candidates could be found invalid or unenforceable if challenged in court.

If we initiated legal proceedings against a third party to enforce a patent, if and when issued, covering PRT-201 or any additional product candidate, the defendant could counterclaim that the patent covering our product candidate is invalid and/or unenforceable. In patent litigation in the United States, defendant counterclaims alleging invalidity and/or unenforceability are commonplace. Grounds for a validity challenge include alleged failures to meet any of several statutory requirements, including lack of novelty, obviousness or non-enablement. Grounds for unenforceability assertions include allegations that someone connected with prosecution of the patent withheld relevant information from the USPTO, or made a misleading statement, during prosecution. Third parties may also raise similar claims before administrative bodies in the United States or abroad, even outside the context of litigation. Such mechanisms include reexamination and *inter partes* review in the United States and equivalent proceedings in foreign jurisdictions, *e.g.*, opposition proceedings. Such proceedings could result in revocation or amendment of

our patents in such a way that they no longer cover, for example, PRT-201 or competitive products. The outcome following legal assertions of invalidity and unenforceability is unpredictable. With respect to validity, for example, we cannot be certain that there is no invalidating prior art, including prior art of which we and the patent examiner were unaware during prosecution. If a defendant were to prevail on a legal assertion of invalidity and/or unenforceability, we would lose at least part, and perhaps all, of the patent protection on the applicable product candidate. Such a loss of patent protection would have a material adverse impact on our business.

We will not seek to protect our intellectual property rights in all jurisdictions throughout the world and we may not be able to adequately enforce our intellectual property rights even in the jurisdictions where we seek protection.

Filing, prosecuting and defending patents on product candidates in all countries and jurisdictions throughout the world would be prohibitively expensive, and our intellectual property rights in some countries outside the United States could be less extensive than those in the United States, assuming that rights are obtained in the United States. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect intellectual property rights to the same extent as federal and state laws in the United States. Consequently, we may not be able to prevent third parties from practicing our inventions in all countries outside the United States, or from selling or importing products made using our inventions in and into the United States or other jurisdictions.

Competitors may use our technologies in jurisdictions where we do not pursue and obtain patent protection to develop their own products and further, may export otherwise infringing products to territories where we have patent protection, but enforcement is not as strong as that in the United States. These products may compete with our products and our patents or other intellectual property rights may not be effective or sufficient to prevent them from competing. Even if we pursue and obtain issued patents in particular jurisdictions, our patent claims or other intellectual property rights may not be effective or sufficient to prevent third parties from so competing.

The laws of some foreign countries do not protect intellectual property rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. Many companies have encountered significant problems in protecting and defending intellectual property rights in certain foreign jurisdictions. The legal systems of some countries, particularly developing countries, do not favor the enforcement of patents and other intellectual property protection, especially those relating to biotechnology. This could make it difficult for us to stop the infringement of our patents, if obtained, or the misappropriation of our other intellectual property rights. For example, many foreign countries have compulsory licensing laws under which a patent owner must grant licenses to third parties. In addition, many countries limit the enforceability of patents against third parties, including government agencies or government contractors. In these countries, patents may provide limited or no benefit. Patent protection must ultimately be sought on a country-by-country basis, which is an expensive and time-consuming process with uncertain outcomes. Accordingly, we may choose not to seek patent protection in certain countries, and we will not have the benefit of patent protection in such countries.

Many companies have encountered significant problems in protecting and defending intellectual property rights in foreign jurisdictions. The legal systems of certain countries, particularly certain developing countries, do not favor the enforcement of patents and other intellectual property protection, particularly those relating to biopharmaceuticals, which could make it difficult for us to stop the infringement of our patents or marketing of competing products in violation of our proprietary rights generally. Proceedings to enforce our patent rights in foreign jurisdictions could result in substantial costs and divert our efforts and attention from other aspects of our business, could put our patents at risk of being invalidated or interpreted narrowly, could put our patent applications at risk of not issuing and could provoke third parties to assert claims against us. We may not prevail in any lawsuits that we initiate and the damages or other remedies awarded, if any, may not be commercially meaningful. Accordingly, our efforts

to enforce our intellectual property rights around the world may be inadequate to obtain a significant commercial advantage from the intellectual property that we develop or license.

Some of our intellectual property may have been discovered through government funded programs and thus may be subject to federal regulations such as government "march-in" rights, certain reporting requirements, and a preference for United States industry. Compliance with such regulations may limit our exclusive rights, subject us to expenditure of resources with respect to reporting requirements, and limit our ability to contract with non-United States manufacturers.

Some of our intellectual property rights may have been generated through the use of United States government funding and therefore are subject to certain federal regulations. For example, our patents relating to some therapeutic uses of PRT-201 and associated systems and kits that include a catheter, which we refer to as the "therapy family," arose from research funded by the United States government. As a result, the United States government has certain rights to this intellectual property pursuant to the Bayh-Dole Act of 1980, or Bayh-Dole Act. These United States government rights in certain inventions developed under a government-funded program include a non-exclusive, non-transferable, irrevocable worldwide license to use inventions for any governmental purpose. In addition, the United States government has the right to require us to grant exclusive, partially exclusive, or non-exclusive licenses to any of these inventions to a third party if it determines that: (i) adequate steps have not been taken to commercialize the invention; (ii) government action is necessary to meet public health or safety needs; or (iii) government action is necessary to meet requirements for public use under federal regulations, also referred to as "march-in rights." The United States government also has the right to take title to these inventions if we, or the applicable licensor, fail to disclose the invention to the government and fail to file an application to register the intellectual property within specified time limits. In addition, the United States government may acquire title to these inventions in any country in which a patent application is not filed within specified time limits. Intellectual property generated under a government funded program is also subject to certain reporting requirements, compliance with which may require us or the applicable licensor to expend substantial resources. In addition, the United States government requires that any products embodying the subject invention or produced through the use of the subject invention be manufactured substantially in the United States. The manufacturing preference requirement can be waived if the owner of the intellectual property can show that reasonable but unsuccessful efforts have been made to grant licenses on similar terms to potential licensees that would be likely to manufacture substantially in the United States or that under the circumstances domestic manufacture is not commercially feasible. This preference for United States manufacturers may limit our ability to contract with non-United States product manufacturers for products covered by such intellectual property.

We currently do not plan to apply for additional United States government funding, but if we do, and we discover compounds or drug or biological candidates as a result of such funding, intellectual property rights to such discoveries may be subject to the applicable provisions of the Bayh-Dole Act.

If we do not obtain additional protection under the Hatch-Waxman Amendments and similar foreign legislation by extending the patent protection for PRT-201, our business may be materially harmed.

Depending upon the timing, duration and specifics of the first FDA marketing approval of PRT-201 and, if applicable, any additional product candidates, a United States patent that we own or license may be eligible for limited patent term restoration under the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984, referred to as the Hatch-Waxman Amendments. The Hatch-Waxman Amendments permit extension of patent protection for up to five years as compensation for patent term lost during product development and the FDA regulatory review process. During this period of extension, the scope of protection is limited to the approved product for approved uses (for patents claiming a product) and any use claimed by the patent and approved for the product (for patents claiming a method of using a product).

Although we plan on seeking patent term restoration for our products, it may not be granted if, for example, we fail to apply within applicable deadlines, fail to apply prior to expiration of relevant patents or otherwise fail to satisfy applicable requirements. Moreover, the applicable time period or the scope of patent protection afforded could be less than we request. If we are unable to obtain patent term restoration or the term of any such patent restoration is less than we request, our competitors may be able to enter the market and compete against us sooner than we anticipate, and our ability to generate revenues could be materially adversely affected.

Changes in United States patent law could diminish the value of patents in general, thereby impairing our ability to protect our products.

As is the case with other biotechnology companies, our success is heavily dependent on intellectual property, particularly patents. Obtaining and enforcing patents in the biotechnology industry involve both technological and legal complexity, and is therefore costly, time-consuming and inherently uncertain. In addition, the United States has recently enacted and is currently implementing wide-ranging patent reform legislation: the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act. The America Invents Act includes a number of significant changes to United States patent law. These include provisions that affect the way patent applications will be prosecuted, provides expanded opportunities for post-grant administrative review of patents before the USPTO, and may also affect patent litigation. It is not yet clear what, if any, impact the America Invents Act will have on the operation of our business. However, the America Invents Act and its implementation could increase the uncertainties and costs surrounding the prosecution of our patent applications and the enforcement or defense of any patents that may issue from our patent applications, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

In addition, recent United States Supreme Court rulings have narrowed the scope of patent protection available in certain circumstances and weakened the rights of patent owners in certain situations. The full impact of these decisions is not yet known. For example, on March 20, 2012 in *Mayo Collaborative Services v. Prometheus Laboratories, Inc.*, the Court held that several claims drawn to measuring drug metabolite levels from patient samples and correlating them to drug doses were not patent-eligible subject matter. The decision appears to impact diagnostics patents that merely apply a law of nature via a series of routine steps and it has created uncertainty around the ability to obtain patent protection for certain inventions. Additionally, on June 13, 2013 in *Association for Molecular Pathology v. Myriad Genetics, Inc.*, the Court held that claims to isolated genomic DNA are not patent-eligible, but claims to complementary DNA molecules are patent-eligible because they are not a natural product. The effect of the decision on patents for other isolated natural products is uncertain. However, on March 4, 2014, the USPTO issued a memorandum to patent examiners providing guidance for examining claims that recite laws of nature, natural phenomena or natural products under the *Myriad* and *Prometheus* decisions. This guidance did not limit the application of *Myriad* to DNA but, rather, applied the decision to other natural products.

In addition to increasing uncertainty with regard to our ability to obtain future patents, this combination of events has created uncertainty with respect to the value of patents, once obtained. Depending on these and other decisions by the United States Congress, the federal courts and the USPTO, the laws and regulations governing patents could change in unpredictable ways that would weaken our ability to obtain new patents or to enforce our current or future patents.

We may be subject to damages resulting from claims that we or our employees have wrongfully used or disclosed alleged trade secrets of their former employers.

Our employees have been previously employed at other biotechnology or pharmaceutical companies, including our competitors or potential competitors, or at universities or academic medical centers. We also engage advisors and consultants who are concurrently employed at universities or who perform services for other entities. Although we are not aware of any claims currently pending against us, we may be subject to

claims that we or our employees, advisors or consultants have inadvertently or otherwise used or disclosed intellectual property, including trade secrets or other proprietary information, of a former employer or other third party. We may in the future also be subject to claims that an employee, advisor or consultant performed work for us that conflicts with that person's obligations to a third party, such as an employer, and thus, that the third party has an ownership interest in the intellectual property arising out of work performed for us. Litigation may be necessary to defend against these claims. Even if we are successful in defending against these claims, litigation could result in substantial costs and be a distraction to management. If we are unsuccessful in defending against such claims, in addition to paying monetary damages, we may lose valuable intellectual property rights or personnel. A loss of key personnel or their work product could hamper or prevent our ability to commercialize PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, which would materially adversely affect our commercial development efforts.

Numerous factors may limit any potential competitive advantage provided by our intellectual property rights.

The degree of future protection afforded by our intellectual property rights is uncertain because intellectual property rights have limitations, and may not adequately protect our business, provide a barrier to entry against our competitors or potential competitors, or permit us to maintain our competitive advantage. Moreover, if a third party has intellectual property rights that cover the practice of our technology, we may not be able to exercise or extract value from our intellectual property rights fully or at all. The following examples are illustrative:

- we might not have been the first to make the inventions covered by a patent or pending patent application that we own;
- we might not have been the first to file patent applications covering an invention;
- others may independently develop similar or alternative technologies without infringing our intellectual property rights;
- third parties may compete with us in jurisdictions where we do not pursue and obtain patent protection;
- pending patent applications that we own may not lead to issued patents;
- patents that we own may not provide us with any competitive advantages, or may be held invalid or unenforceable;
- third parties may assert an ownership interest in our intellectual property;
- we may not develop or in-license additional proprietary technologies that are patentable; and
- the patents or proprietary rights of others may have an adverse effect on our business.

Should any of these events occur, they could significantly harm our business and results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

If we fail to attract and keep senior management and key scientific personnel, we may be unable to successfully develop our products, conduct our clinical trials and commercialize our product candidates.

Our future growth and success depend on our ability to recruit, retain, manage and motivate our employees. We are highly dependent on our senior management team, in particular, Timothy Noyes, our President and Chief Executive Officer, Steven Burke, our Senior Vice President and Chief Medical Officer, George Eldridge, our Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary and Daniel Gottlieb, our Vice President, Marketing and Business Development, as well as the other principal members of our management and scientific teams. Although we have formal employment agreements with our executive officers, these agreements do not prevent them from terminating their employment with us at any time. The loss of the services of any member of our senior management or scientific team or the inability to hire or retain experienced management personnel could adversely affect our ability to execute our business plan and harm our operating results.

Because of the specialized scientific and managerial nature of our business, we rely heavily on our ability to attract and retain qualified scientific, technical and managerial personnel. We do not currently carry "key person" insurance on the lives of members of executive management. The competition for qualified personnel in the pharmaceutical field is intense. Due to this intense competition, we may be unable to continue to attract and retain qualified personnel necessary for the development of our business or to recruit suitable replacement personnel. In addition, we rely on consultants and advisors, including scientific and clinical advisors, to assist us in formulating our research and development and commercialization strategy including, F. Nicholas Franano, our scientific founder. Our consultants and advisors may be employed by employers other than us and may have commitments under consulting or advisory contracts with other entities that may limit their availability to us.

We will need to significantly increase the size of our organization, and we may experience difficulties in managing growth.

We are currently a small company with 11 full-time employees and one part-time employee as of September 30, 2014. In order to commercialize our potential products, we will need to increase our operations and expand our use of our third-party contractors. We plan to continue to build our compliance, financial and operating infrastructure to ensure the maintenance of a well-managed company including hiring additional staff within our regulatory and clinical groups after Phase 3 is complete. We intend to recruit an in-house commercial organization in the United States focused on promoting PRT-201, if it is approved. We currently do not have a sales and marketing capability and therefore intend to recruit a specialty hospital sales force of approximately 75-100 representatives in anticipation of PRT-201's approval. We estimate it will take three to six months to recruit this specialty hospital sales force. We will need to expand our employment base when we are in the full commercial stages of our current potential product's life cycle.

Future growth will impose significant added responsibilities on members of management, including the need to identify, recruit, maintain and integrate additional employees. In addition, to meet our obligations as a public company, we will need to increase our general and administrative capabilities. Our management, personnel and systems currently in place may not be adequate to support this future growth. Our future financial performance and our ability to commercialize our potential products and to compete effectively will depend, in part, on our ability to manage any future growth effectively. To that end, we must be able to:

- manage our clinical trials and the regulatory process effectively;
- manage the manufacturing of product candidates and potential products for clinical and commercial use;
- integrate current and additional management, administrative, financial and sales and marketing personnel;
- develop a marketing and sales infrastructure;
- hire new personnel necessary to effectively commercialize PRT-201 and any additional product candidates;
- develop our administrative, accounting and management information systems and controls; and
- hire and train additional qualified personnel.

Product candidates that we may acquire or develop in the future may be intended for patient populations that are large. In order to continue development and marketing of these product candidates, if approved, we would need to significantly expand our operations. Our staff, financial resources, systems, procedures or controls may be inadequate to support our operations and our management may be unable to manage successfully future market opportunities or our relationships with customers and other third parties.

Our disclosure controls and procedures may not prevent or detect all errors or acts of fraud.

Upon completion of this offering, we will become subject to the periodic reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to reasonably assure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, and recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. We believe that any disclosure controls and procedures or internal controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met.

These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people or by an unauthorized override of the controls. Accordingly, because of the inherent limitations in our control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

If product liability lawsuits are successfully brought against us, our insurance may be inadequate and we may incur substantial liability.

We face an inherent risk of product liability claims as a result of the clinical testing of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates. We will face an even greater risk if we commercially sell PRT-201 or any additional product candidate that we develop. We maintain primary product liability insurance and excess product liability insurance that cover our clinical trials, and we plan to maintain insurance against product liability lawsuits for commercial sale of our potential products. Historically, the potential liability associated with product liability lawsuits for pharmaceutical products has been unpredictable. Although we believe that our current insurance is a reasonable estimate of our potential liability and represents a commercially reasonable balancing of the level of coverage as compared to the cost of the insurance, we may be subject to claims in connection with our clinical trials and, in the future, commercial use of our potential products, for which our insurance coverage may not be adequate, and the cost of any product liability litigation or other proceeding, even if resolved in our favor, could be substantial.

For example, we may be sued if any product we develop allegedly causes injury or is found to be otherwise unsuitable during clinical testing, manufacturing, marketing or sale. Any such product liability claims may include allegations of defects in manufacturing, defects in design, a failure to warn of dangers inherent in the product, negligence, strict liability or a breach of warranties. Large judgments have been awarded in class action lawsuits based on drugs or biologics that had unanticipated adverse effects. Claims could also be asserted under state consumer protection acts. If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against product liability claims, we may incur substantial liabilities or be required to limit commercialization of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates. Regardless of the merits or eventual outcome, liability claims may result in:

- reduced resources of our management to pursue our business strategy;
- decreased demand for our product candidates or products that we may develop;
- injury to our reputation and significant negative media attention;
- withdrawal of clinical trial participants;
- termination of clinical trial sites or entire trial programs;
- initiation of investigations by regulators;
- product recalls, withdrawals or labeling, marketing or promotional restrictions;
- significant costs to defend resulting litigation;
- diversion of management and scientific resources from our business operations;
- substantial monetary awards to trial participants or patients;
- loss of revenue; and
- the inability to commercialize any products that we may develop.

We currently have a \$5 million product liability insurance coverage in connection with our clinical trials and we will need to increase our insurance coverage if and when we begin selling PRT-201 or any additional product candidates if and when they receive marketing approval. However, the product liability insurance we will need to obtain in connection with the commercial sales of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates if and when they receive regulatory approval may be unavailable in meaningful amounts or at a reasonable cost. In addition, insurance coverage is becoming increasingly expensive. If we are unable to obtain or maintain sufficient insurance coverage at an acceptable cost or to otherwise protect against potential product liability claims, it could prevent or inhibit the development and commercial production and sale of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates if and when they obtain regulatory approval, which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and prospects.

Additionally, we do not carry insurance for all categories of risk that our business may encounter. Some of the policies we currently maintain include general liability, employment practices liability, property, auto, workers' compensation, products liability and directors' and officers' insurance. We do not know, however, if we will be able to maintain insurance with adequate levels of coverage. Any significant uninsured liability may require us to pay substantial amounts, which would materially adversely affect our financial position, cash flows and results of operations.

If we engage in acquisitions in the future, we will incur a variety of costs and we may never realize the anticipated benefits of such acquisitions.

We may attempt to acquire businesses, technologies, services, products or product candidates in the future that we believe are a strategic fit with our business. We have no present agreement regarding any material acquisitions. If we do undertake any acquisitions, however, the process of integrating an acquired business, technology, service, products or product candidates into our business may result in unforeseen operating difficulties and expenditures, including diversion of resources and management's attention from our core business. In addition, we may fail to retain key executives and employees of the companies we acquire, which may reduce the value of the acquisition or give rise to additional integration costs. Future acquisitions could result in additional issuances of equity securities that would dilute the ownership of existing stockholders. Future acquisitions could also result in the incurrence of debt, actual or contingent liabilities or the amortization of expenses related to other intangible assets, any of which could adversely affect our operating results. In addition, we may fail to realize the anticipated benefits of any acquisition.

We currently have our API produced for us by a contract manufacturer exclusively in one manufacturing facility and if this or any future facility, any facility we use for storage of the finished product or our equipment were damaged or destroyed, our ability to continue to operate our business would be materially harmed.

Our executive offices are located in Waltham, Massachusetts, and our API is manufactured at Lonza's facility located in Visp, Switzerland. We expect that Lonza plans to utilize this facility in the future to support commercial production if our product candidate is approved. We have manufactured all our finished product for the planned Phase 3 clinical trials of PRT-201 and currently store the finished product in only one location. Extended delays in our Phase 3 clinical trials causing us to need to manufacture new clinical supply would cause a significant disruption in our operations and cause us to incur unexpected costs to manufacture new finished product. We are vulnerable to natural disasters, such as severe storms and other events that could disrupt our operations. We do not carry insurance for natural disasters and we may not carry sufficient business interruption insurance to compensate us for losses that may occur. If the current manufacturing facility or any future facility, stored product or equipment were damaged or destroyed, or if we experience a significant disruption in our operations for any reason, our ability to continue to operate our business would be materially harmed.

If supply is interrupted, there could be a significant disruption in our clinical development and commercial supply. If the supply is interrupted after approval of the BLA, an alternative manufacturer

would need to be qualified through a BLA supplement which could result in further delay. The regulatory agencies may also require additional studies if a new manufacturer is relied upon for commercial production. Switching manufacturers may involve substantial costs and would likely result in a delay in our desired clinical and commercial timelines.

These factors could cause the delay of clinical trials, regulatory submissions, required approvals or commercialization of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, cause us to incur higher costs and prevent us from commercializing our products successfully. Furthermore, if our suppliers fail to meet contractual requirements, and we are unable to secure one or more replacement suppliers capable of production at a substantially equivalent cost, our clinical trials may be delayed or we could lose potential revenue.

Our business and operations would suffer in the event of system failures.

Despite the implementation of security measures, our internal computer systems, and those of our CROs and other third parties on which we rely, are vulnerable to damage from computer viruses, unauthorized access, natural disasters, terrorism, war and telecommunication and electrical failures. If issues were to arise and cause interruptions in our operations, it could result in a material disruption of our drug and biologic development programs. For example, the loss of clinical trial data from completed or ongoing or planned clinical trials could result in delays in our regulatory approval efforts and significantly increase our costs to recover or reproduce the data. To the extent that any disruption or security breach were to result in a loss of or damage to our data or applications, or inappropriate disclosure of confidential or proprietary information, we could incur liability and the further development of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates could be delayed.

Our employees may engage in misconduct or other improper activities, including noncompliance with regulatory standards and requirements and insider trading, which could significantly harm our business.

We are exposed to the risk of employee fraud or other misconduct. Misconduct by employees could include intentional failures to comply with the regulations of the FDA and non-United States regulators, provide accurate information to the FDA and non-United States regulators, comply with healthcare fraud and abuse laws and regulations in the United States and abroad, and report financial information or data accurately or disclose unauthorized activities to us. In particular, sales, marketing and business arrangements in the healthcare industry are subject to extensive laws and regulations intended to prevent fraud, misconduct, kickbacks, self-dealing and other abusive practices. These laws and regulations may restrict or prohibit a wide range of pricing, discounting, marketing and promotion, sales commission, customer incentive programs and other business arrangements. Employee misconduct could also involve the improper use of information obtained in the course of clinical trials, which could result in regulatory sanctions and serious harm to our reputation. We intend to adopt a code of conduct prior to completion of this offering, but it is not always possible to identify and deter employee misconduct, and the precautions we take to detect and prevent this activity may not be effective in controlling unknown or unmanaged risks or losses or in protecting us from governmental investigations or other actions or lawsuits stemming from a failure to comply with these laws or regulations. If any actions are instituted against us, and we are not successful in defending ourselves or asserting our rights, those actions could have a significant impact on our business, including the imposition of significant fines or other sanctions.

Recent federal legislation may increase the difficulty and cost for us to commercialize PRT-201 and may affect the prices we may obtain, and impair our ability to profitably sell PRT-201, if approved.

In the United States and some foreign jurisdictions, there have been a number of legislative and regulatory changes and proposed changes regarding the healthcare system that could prevent or delay marketing approval for PRT-201, restrict or regulate post-approval activities and affect our ability to profitably sell PRT-201, if approved. Legislative and regulatory proposals have been made to expand

post-approval requirements and restrict sales and promotional activities for pharmaceutical products. We do not know whether additional legislative changes will be enacted, or whether the FDA regulations, targets or interpretations will be changed, or what the impact of such changes on the marketing approvals of PRT-201, if any, may be. In addition, increased scrutiny by the U.S. Congress of the FDA's approval process may significantly delay or prevent marketing approval, as well as subject us to more stringent product labeling and post-marketing testing and other requirements.

In the United States, the pharmaceutical industry has been significantly affected by legislative initiatives. For example, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003, or the MMA, changed the way Medicare covers and pays for pharmaceutical products. The legislation expanded Medicare coverage for drug purchases by the elderly and introduced a new reimbursement methodology based on average sales prices for drugs. Cost reduction initiatives and other provisions of this legislation could decrease the coverage of, or the reimbursement rate that we receive for, PRT-201, if approved, and could seriously harm our business. While the MMA applies only to reimbursement of drugs under the Medicare program, private payors often follow Medicare coverage policy and payment limitations in setting their own reimbursement rates, and any reduction in reimbursement that results from the MMA may result in a similar reduction in payments from non-governmental payors.

In March 2010, President Obama signed the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act of 2010 or, collectively, the Affordable Care Act, which substantially changes the way healthcare will be financed by both governmental and private insurers, and significantly impacts the pharmaceutical industry. Among the provisions of the Affordable Care Act of importance to our business, including, without limitation, our ability to commercialize, and the prices we may obtain for, PRT-201, if approved for sale, are the following:

- an annual, nondeductible fee on any entity that manufactures or imports specified branded prescription drugs and biologic agents, apportioned among these entities according to their market share in certain government healthcare programs;
- increases in the statutory minimum rebates a manufacturer must pay as a condition to having a drug available for coverage under the Medicaid program;
- expansion of healthcare fraud and abuse laws, including the federal False Claims Act and the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, and the addition of new government investigative powers and enhanced penalties for non-compliance;
- extension of a manufacturer's Medicaid rebate liability to covered drugs dispensed to individuals who are enrolled in Medicaid managed care organizations;
- expansion of eligibility criteria for Medicaid programs by, among other things, allowing states to offer Medicaid coverage to additional individuals and by adding new eligibility categories for certain individuals with income at or below 133% of the federal poverty level beginning in 2014, thereby potentially increasing a manufacturer's Medicaid rebate liability;
- expansion of the entities eligible for discounts under the Public Health Service pharmaceutical pricing program;
- new requirements under the federal Open Payments program and its implementing regulations;
- a new requirement to annually report drug samples that manufacturers and distributors provide to physicians; and
- a new Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute to oversee, identify priorities in, and conduct comparative clinical effectiveness research, along with funding for such research.

In addition, other legislative changes have been proposed and adopted since the Affordable Care Act was enacted. These changes include aggregate reductions to Medicare payments to providers of up to 2% per fiscal year, which went into effect in April 2013. In January 2013, President Obama signed into law the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012, which, among other things, reduced Medicare payments to several types of providers and increased the statute of limitations period for the government to recover overpayments to providers from three to five years. The full impact on our business of the Affordable Care

Act and other new laws is uncertain but may result in additional reductions in Medicare and other healthcare funding. Nor is it clear whether other legislative changes will be adopted, if any, or how such changes would affect the demand for PRT-201, if approved.

Governments outside the United States tend to impose strict price controls, which may adversely affect our revenues, if any.

In international markets, reimbursement and health care payment systems vary significantly by country, and many countries have instituted price ceilings on specific products and therapies. In some countries, particularly the countries of the European Union, the pricing of prescription pharmaceuticals is subject to governmental control. In these countries, pricing negotiations with governmental authorities can take considerable time after the receipt of marketing approval for a product. To obtain coverage and reimbursement or pricing approval in some countries, we may be required to conduct a clinical trial that compares the cost-effectiveness of our product candidate to other available therapies. There can be no assurance that our products will be considered cost-effective by third-party payors, that an adequate level of reimbursement will be available or that the third-party payors' reimbursement policies will not adversely affect our ability to sell our products profitably. If reimbursement of our products is unavailable or limited in scope or amount, or if pricing is set at unsatisfactory levels, our business could be harmed, possibly materially.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock and This Offering

We are an "emerging growth company" and as a result of the reduced disclosure and governance requirements applicable to emerging growth companies, our common stock may be less attractive to investors.

We are an "emerging growth company" as defined in the JOBS Act, and we intend to take advantage of certain exemptions from various reporting requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not emerging growth companies, including: not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation in our periodic reports and proxy statements, and exemptions from the requirements of holding a nonbinding advisory vote on executive compensation and stockholder approval of any golden parachute payments not previously approved.

We may take advantage of these reporting exemptions until we are no longer an emerging growth company. We will remain an emerging growth company until the earlier of (1) the last day of the fiscal year (a) following the fifth anniversary of the completion of this offering, (b) in which we have total annual gross revenue of at least \$1 billion, or (c) in which we are deemed to be a large accelerated filer, which means the market value of our common stock that is held by non-affiliates exceeds \$700 million as of the prior June 30th, and (2) the date on which we have issued more than \$1 billion in non-convertible debt during the prior three-year period.

We have taken advantage of reduced reporting burdens in this prospectus. In particular, in this prospectus, we have provided only two years of audited financial statements and have not included all of the executive compensation related information that would be required if we were not an emerging growth company. We cannot predict whether investors will find our common stock less attractive if we rely on these exemptions. If some investors find our common stock less attractive as a result, there may be a less active trading market for our common stock and our stock price may be more volatile. In addition, the JOBS Act provides that an emerging growth company can take advantage of an extended transition period for complying with new or revised accounting standards. This allows an emerging growth company to delay the adoption of these accounting standards until they would otherwise apply to private companies. We have irrevocably elected not to avail ourselves of this exemption and, therefore, we will be subject to the same new or revised accounting standards as other public companies that are not emerging growth companies.

Even after we no longer qualify as an emerging growth company, we may still qualify as a "smaller reporting company" which would allow us to take advantage of many of the same exemptions from disclosure requirements, including not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation in this prospectus and our periodic reports and proxy statements. We cannot predict if investors will find our common stock less attractive because we will rely on these exemptions. If some investors find our common stock less attractive as a result, there may be a less active trading market for our common stock and our stock price may be more volatile.

There has been no public market for our common stock prior to this offering, and you may not be able to resell our shares at or above the price you paid, or at all.

Prior to this offering, there has been no public market for our common stock. We intend to apply to list our common stock on The NASDAQ Global Market, or NASDAQ, but an active trading market for our common stock may never develop or be sustained following this offering. If an active trading market for our common stock does not develop after this offering, the market price and liquidity of our common stock will be materially and adversely affected. You may not be able to sell your shares quickly or at the market price if trading in our common shares is not active. The offering price for our common stock will be determined by negotiations between us and the underwriters and may bear no relationship to the market price for our common stock after this offering. An active trading market for our common stock may not develop and the market price of our common stock may decline below the offering price. Further, an inactive market may also impair our ability to raise capital by selling shares of our common stock and may impair our ability to enter into strategic partnerships or acquire companies or products by using our shares of common stock as consideration.

Certain of our existing stockholders and their affiliated entities, including holders of more than 5% of our common stock, have agreed to purchase an aggregate of approximately \$30.5 million in shares of our common stock in this offering at the initial public offering price, which purchases would reduce the available public float for our shares because these stockholders will be restricted from selling the shares by restrictions under applicable securities laws described in the "Shares Eligible for Future Sale" section of this prospectus. As a result, the liquidity of our common stock could be significantly reduced from what it would have been if these shares had not been purchased by investors that were not affiliated with us.

The market price for our common stock may be volatile, which could contribute to the loss of your investment.

Fluctuations in the price of our common stock could contribute to the loss of all or part of your investment. Prior to this offering, there has not been a public market for our common stock. Accordingly, the initial public offering price for the shares of our common stock may not be indicative of the price that will prevail in the trading market, if any, that develops following this offering. If an active market for our common stock develops and continues, the trading price of our common stock following this offering is likely to be highly volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control. Any of the factors listed below could have a material adverse effect on your investment in our common stock and our common stock may trade at prices significantly below the initial public offering price. In such circumstances the trading price of our common stock may not recover and may experience a further decline.

Factors affecting the trading price of our common stock may include:

- our failure to develop and commercialize PRT-201 or any additional product candidates;

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our quarterly financial results or the quarterly financial results of companies perceived to be similar to us;
- changes in the market's expectations about our operating results;
- adverse results or delays in preclinical studies or clinical trials;
- our decision to initiate a clinical trial, not to initiate a clinical trial or to terminate an existing clinical trial;
- adverse regulatory decisions, including failure to receive regulatory approval for PRT-201 or any additional product candidates;
- success of competitive products;
- adverse developments concerning our collaborations and our manufacturers;
- inability to obtain adequate product supply for any product candidate for clinical trials or commercial sale or inability to do so at acceptable prices;
- the termination of a collaboration or the inability to establish additional collaborations;
- unanticipated serious safety concerns related to the use of any of PRT-201 or any additional product candidates;
- our ability to effectively manage our growth;
- the size and growth, if any, of the targeted market;
- our operating results failing to meet the expectation of securities analysts or investors in a particular period or failure of securities analysts to publish reports about us or our business;
- changes in financial estimates and recommendations by securities analysts concerning our company, our market opportunity, or the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries in general;
- operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors deem comparable to us;
- overall performance of the equity markets;
- announcements by us or our competitors of acquisitions, new product candidates or programs, significant contracts, commercial relationships or capital commitments;
- our ability to successfully market PRT-201 or any additional product candidates;
- changes in laws and regulations affecting our business, including but not limited to clinical trial requirements for approvals;
- disputes or other developments relating to proprietary rights, including patents, litigation matters and our ability to obtain patent protection for PRT-201 or any additional product candidates;
- commencement of, or involvement in, litigation involving our company, our general industry, or both;
- changes in our capital structure, such as future issuances of securities or the incurrence of additional debt;
- the volume of shares of our common stock available for public sale;
- additions or departures of key scientific or management personnel;
- any major change in our board or management;
- changes in accounting practices;
- ineffectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting;
- sales of substantial amounts of common stock by our directors, executive officers or significant stockholders or the perception that such sales could occur; and
- general economic and political conditions such as recessions, interest rates, fuel prices, international currency fluctuations and acts of war or terrorism.

Broad market and industry factors may materially harm the market price of our common stock irrespective of our operating performance. The stock market in general, and NASDAQ and the market for biotechnology companies in particular, have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of the particular companies affected. The trading prices and valuations of these stocks, and of ours, may not be predictable. A loss of investor confidence in the market for technology or software stocks or the stocks of other companies which investors perceive to be similar to us, the opportunities in the digital simulation market or the stock market

in general, could depress our stock price regardless of our business, prospects, financial conditions or results of operations.

Raising additional funds through debt or equity financing could be dilutive and may cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

Until such time, if ever, as we can generate substantial product revenues, we expect to finance our cash needs through a combination of equity offerings and debt financings, and potentially through license and development agreements with strategic partnerships with third parties. To the extent that additional capital is raised through the sale of equity or convertible debt securities, the issuance of those securities could result in substantial dilution for our current stockholders and the terms may include liquidation or other preferences that adversely affect the rights of our current stockholders. Furthermore, the issuance of additional securities, whether equity or debt, by us, or the possibility of such issuance, may cause the market price of our common stock to decline and existing stockholders may not agree with our financing plans or the terms of such financings. Moreover, the incurrence of debt financing could result in a substantial portion of our operating cash flow being dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on such indebtedness and could impose restrictions on our operations, such as limitations on our ability to incur additional debt, limitations on our ability to acquire, sell or license intellectual property rights and other operating restrictions that could adversely impact our ability to conduct our business. Additional funding may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all.

If securities analysts do not publish research or reports about our business or if they downgrade our stock, the price of our common stock could decline.

The trading market for our common stock will rely in part on the research and reports that industry or financial analysts publish about us, our business, our markets and our competitors. We do not control these analysts. If securities analysts do not cover our common stock after the closing of this offering, the lack of research coverage may adversely affect the market price of our common stock. Furthermore, if one or more of the analysts who do cover us downgrade our stock or if those analysts issue other unfavorable commentary about us or our business, our stock price would likely decline. If one or more of these analysts cease coverage of us or fails to regularly publish reports on us, we could lose visibility in the market and interest in our stock could decrease, which in turn could cause our stock price or trading volume to decline and may also impair our ability to expand our business with existing customers and attract new customers.

The concentration of our capital stock ownership with insiders upon the closing of this offering will likely limit your ability to influence corporate matters.

We anticipate that our executive officers, directors, current 5% or greater stockholders, and their respective affiliates will together beneficially own or control, in aggregate, approximately 60.9% of the shares of our outstanding common stock, after giving effect to the conversion of all outstanding preferred stock and assuming no exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares. Assuming the purchase of 2,986,920 shares of our common stock by our 5% or greater stockholders and their respective affiliates in this offering, the number of shares of our common stock beneficially owned by our executive officers, directors, current 5% or greater stockholders, and their respective affiliates will, in the aggregate, increase to 66.6% of our capital stock. As a result, these executive officers, directors and principal stockholders, acting together, will have substantial influence over most matters that require approval by our stockholders, including the election of directors, any merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets or any other significant corporate transaction. Corporate action might be taken even if other stockholders, including those who purchase shares in this offering, oppose such action. These stockholders may delay or prevent a change of control or otherwise discourage a potential acquirer from attempting to obtain control of our company, even if such change of control would benefit our other stockholders. This concentration of stock ownership may adversely affect investors' perception of our

corporate governance or delay, prevent or cause a change in control of our company, any of which could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock by our existing stockholders in the public market may cause our stock price to decline.

Sales of our common stock in the public market after this offering, or the perception that these sales may occur, could cause the market price of our common stock to decline. Upon the closing of this offering, we will have 14,993,903 shares of common stock outstanding, assuming no exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares. Of these, only the shares of our common stock sold in this offering, plus any shares sold upon exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares, will be freely transferable without restriction or additional registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act. The remaining shares outstanding after this offering will be available for sale, upon the expiration of the 180-day lock-up period beginning from the date of this prospectus, if applicable, subject to volume and other restrictions as applicable under Rule 144 under the Securities Act. Any or all of these shares may be released prior to expiration of the lock-up period at the discretion of the lead underwriters for this offering. After the lock-up agreements expire, up to an additional 8,883,903 shares of common stock will be eligible for sale in the public market, of which 7,579,484 shares are held by directors, executive officers and other affiliates and will be subject to volume limitations under Rule 144 under the Securities Act. In addition, 1,977,552 shares of common stock that are either subject to outstanding options or reserved for future issuance under our employee benefit plans will become eligible for sale in the public market to the extent permitted by the provisions of various vesting schedules, the lock-up agreements and Rule 144 and Rule 701 under the Securities Act. To the extent these shares are sold, or if it is perceived that they will be sold, into the market, the market price of our common stock could decline. For a further description of the dilution that you will experience immediately after this offering, see the section entitled "Share Eligible for Future Sale."

Future sales and issuances of our common stock or rights to purchase common stock, including pursuant to our equity incentive plans, could result in additional dilution of the percentage ownership of our stockholders and could cause our stock price to fall.

We expect that significant additional capital will be needed in the future to continue our planned operations, including conducting clinical trials, commercialization efforts, expanded research and development activities and costs associated with operating a public company. To raise capital, we may sell common stock, convertible securities or other equity securities in one or more transactions at prices and in a manner we determine from time to time. If we sell common stock, convertible securities or other equity securities in more than one transaction, investors may be materially diluted by subsequent sales. Such sales may also result in material dilution to our existing stockholders, and new investors could gain rights, preferences and privileges senior to the holders of our common stock, including shares of common stock sold in this offering.

You will experience immediate and substantial dilution in the net tangible book value of the shares you purchase in this offering.

If you purchase shares of our common stock in this offering, you will experience immediate and substantial dilution, as the initial public offering price of our common stock will be substantially greater than the net tangible book value per share of our common stock. Based on the initial offering price of \$10.00 per share, if you purchase our common stock in this offering, you will suffer immediate and substantial dilution of approximately \$4.97 per share. Further, investors purchasing common stock in this offering will contribute approximately 37% of the total amount invested by stockholders since our inception, but will own only approximately 41% of the shares of common stock outstanding after giving effect to this offering. If the underwriters exercise their option to purchase additional shares, or if outstanding options and warrants to purchase our common stock are exercised, you will experience

additional dilution. For a further description of the dilution that you will experience immediately after this offering, see the section entitled "Dilution."

We have broad discretion in the use of net proceeds from this offering and may not use them effectively.

We currently intend to use the net proceeds from this offering to fund the continued development of PRT-201 and for working capital and other general corporate purposes, including funding the costs of operating a public company. We may also use the proceeds to acquire and develop other products, including other drugs and biologics. For a further description of our use of proceeds from this offering, see the section entitled "Use of Proceeds." Any remaining amounts will be used for general corporate purposes, general and administrative expenses, capital expenditures, working capital and prosecution and maintenance of our intellectual property. Although we currently intend to use the net proceeds from this offering in such a manner, we will have broad discretion in the application of the net proceeds. Our failure to apply these funds effectively could affect our ability to continue to develop and commercialize our product candidate.

We will incur significant increased costs as a result of operating as a public company, and our management will be required to devote substantial time to new compliance initiatives.

As a newly public company, we will incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses that we did not incur as a private company. In addition, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, and rules of the SEC and those of NASDAQ have imposed various requirements on public companies including requiring establishment and maintenance of effective disclosure and financial controls. Our management and other personnel will need to devote a substantial amount of time to these compliance initiatives. Moreover, these rules and regulations have increased and will continue to increase our legal and financial compliance costs and will make some activities more time-consuming and costly.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires, among other things, that we maintain effective internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures. In particular, we must perform system and process evaluation and testing of our internal control over financial reporting to allow management to report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. In addition, we will be required to have our independent registered public accounting firm attest to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting the later of our second annual report on Form 10-K or the first annual report on Form 10-K following the date on which we are no longer an emerging growth company. Our compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act will require that we incur substantial accounting expense and expend significant management efforts. We currently do not have an internal audit group, and we will need to hire additional accounting and financial staff with appropriate public company experience and technical accounting knowledge. If we are not able to comply with the requirements of Section 404 in a timely manner, or if we or our independent registered public accounting firm identify deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting that are deemed to be material weaknesses, the market price of our stock could decline and we could be subject to sanctions or investigations by NASDAQ, the SEC or other regulatory authorities, which would require additional financial and management resources.

Our ability to successfully implement our business plan and comply with Section 404 requires us to be able to prepare timely and accurate financial statements. We expect that we will need to continue to improve existing, and implement new operational and financial systems, procedures and controls to manage our business effectively. Any delay in the implementation of, or disruption in the transition to, new or enhanced systems, procedures or controls, may cause our operations to suffer and we may be unable to conclude that our internal control over financial reporting is effective and to obtain an unqualified report on internal controls from our auditors as required under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. This, in turn, could have an adverse impact on trading prices for our common stock, and could adversely affect our ability to access the capital markets.

We do not expect to pay any cash dividends for the foreseeable future.

You should not rely on an investment in our common stock to provide dividend income. We do not anticipate that we will pay any cash dividends to holders of our common stock in the foreseeable future. Instead, we plan to retain any earnings to maintain and expand our operations. Accordingly, investors must rely on sales of their common stock after price appreciation, which may never occur, as the only way to realize any return on their investment. As a result, investors seeking cash dividends should not purchase our common stock.

Our ability to use our net operating loss carryovers and certain other tax attributes may be limited.

As described above under "—Risks Related to Our Financial Condition and Need for Additional Capital," we have incurred net losses since our inception and anticipate that we will continue to incur significant losses for the foreseeable future. Under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, a corporation is generally allowed a deduction for net operating losses, or NOLs, carried over from a prior taxable year. Under that provision, we can carry forward our NOLs to offset our future taxable income, if any, until such NOLs are used or expire. The same is true of other unused tax attributes, such as tax credits. The amounts of our unused carryovers of NOLs and tax credits as of December 31, 2013, and a description of the valuation allowance we have recorded with respect to those items, are set forth below under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

If a corporation undergoes an "ownership change," generally defined as a greater than 50% change (by value) in its equity ownership over a three-year period, Sections 382 and 383 of the Code limit the corporation's ability to use carryovers of its pre-change NOLs, credits and certain other tax attributes to reduce its tax liability for periods after the ownership change. Our issuance of common stock pursuant to this offering may result in a limitation under Sections 382 and 383, either separately or in combination with certain prior or subsequent shifts in the ownership of our common stock. As a result, our ability to use carryovers of our pre-change NOLs and credits to reduce our future U.S. federal income tax liability may be subject to limitations. This could result in increased U.S. federal income tax liability for us if we generate taxable income in a future period. Limitations on the use of NOLs and other tax attributes could also increase our state tax liability. The use of our tax attributes will also be limited to the extent that we do not generate positive taxable income in future tax periods.

We could be subject to securities class action litigation.

In the past, securities class action litigation has often been brought against a company following a decline in the market price of its securities. This risk is especially relevant for us because biotechnology companies have experienced significant stock price volatility in recent years. If we face such litigation, it could result in substantial costs and a diversion of management's attention and resources, which could harm our business.

Provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, our amended and restated bylaws and Delaware law may have anti-takeover effects that could discourage an acquisition of us by others, even if an acquisition would be beneficial to our stockholders, and may prevent attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, amended and restated bylaws and Delaware law contain provisions that may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of us or changes in our management. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws include provisions that:

- authorize "blank check" preferred stock, which could be issued by our board of directors without stockholder approval and may contain voting, liquidation, dividend and other rights superior to our common stock;

- create a classified board of directors whose members serve staggered three-year terms;
- specify that special meetings of our stockholders can be called only by our board of directors;
- prohibit stockholder action by written consent;
- establish an advance notice procedure for stockholder approvals to be brought before an annual meeting of our stockholders, including proposed nominations of persons for election to our board of directors;
- provide that our directors may be removed only for cause;
- provide that vacancies on our board of directors may be filled only by a majority of directors then in office, even though less than a quorum;
- specify that no stockholder is permitted to cumulate votes at any election of directors;
- expressly authorize our board of directors to modify, alter or repeal our amended and restated bylaws; and
- require supermajority votes of the holders of our common stock to amend specified provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws.

These provisions, alone or together, could delay or prevent hostile takeovers and changes in control or changes in our management.

In addition, because we are incorporated in the State of Delaware, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which limits the ability of stockholders owning in excess of 15% of our outstanding voting stock to merge or combine with us.

Any provision of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation or amended and restated bylaws or Delaware law that has the effect of delaying or deterring a change in control could limit the opportunity for our stockholders to receive a premium for their shares of our common stock, and could also affect the price that some investors are willing to pay for our common stock.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation designates the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware and federal court within the State of Delaware as the exclusive forum for certain types of actions and proceedings that may be initiated by our stockholders, which could limit our stockholders' ability to obtain a favorable judicial forum for disputes with us or our directors, officers or employees.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that, subject to limited exceptions, the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware and federal court within the State of Delaware will be exclusive forums for (1) any derivative action or proceeding brought on our behalf, (2) any action asserting a claim of breach of a fiduciary duty owed by any of our directors, officers or other employees to us or our stockholders, (3) any action asserting a claim against us arising pursuant to any provision of the Delaware General Corporation Law, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation or our amended and restated bylaws, or (4) any other action asserting a claim against us that is governed by the internal affairs doctrine. Any person or entity purchasing or otherwise acquiring any interest in shares of our capital stock shall be deemed to have notice of and to have consented to the provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation described above. This choice of forum provision may limit a stockholder's ability to bring a claim in a judicial forum that it finds favorable for disputes with us or our directors, officers or other employees, which may discourage such lawsuits against us and our directors, officers and employees. Alternatively, if a court were to find these provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation inapplicable to, or unenforceable in respect of, one or more of the specified types of actions or proceedings, we may incur additional costs associated with resolving such matters in other jurisdictions, which could adversely affect our business and financial condition.

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus contains forward-looking statements, which reflect our current views with respect to, among other things, our operations and financial performance. You can identify these forward-looking statements by the use of words such as "outlook," "believes," "expects," "potential," "continues," "may," "will," "should," "seeks," "approximately," "predicts," "intends," "plans," "estimates," "anticipates" or the negative version of these words or other comparable words. These forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties. Accordingly, there are or will be important factors that could cause actual outcomes or results to differ materially from those indicated in these statements. We believe these factors include but are not limited to those described under "Risk Factors" and include, among other things:

- the timing of results of our ongoing and planned clinical trials for PRT-201;
- our estimates regarding the amount of funds we require to complete our two planned Phase 3 clinical trials for PRT-201;
- our estimate of when we will require additional funding;
- our plans to commercialize PRT-201;
- the timing of, and our ability to, obtain and maintain regulatory approvals for our product candidates;
- the rate and degree of market acceptance and clinical utility of any approved product candidate;
- the potential benefits of strategic partnership agreements and our ability to enter into selective strategic partnership arrangements;
- our ability to quickly and efficiently identify and develop product candidates;
- our commercialization, marketing and manufacturing capabilities and strategy;
- our intellectual property position; and
- our estimates regarding expenses, future revenues, capital requirements, the sufficiency of our current and expected cash resources and our need for additional financing.

These factors should not be construed as exhaustive and should be read in conjunction with the other cautionary statements that are included in this prospectus. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or review any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise.

USE OF PROCEEDS

The net proceeds from this offering will be approximately \$54.2 million, after deducting estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us. If the underwriters exercise their option to purchase additional shares in full, we estimate that our net proceeds from this offering will be approximately \$62.7 million.

We expect to use the net proceeds of this offering as follows:

- approximately \$9.4 million to accelerate the commencement of the second of our Phase 3 trials for PRT-201 in its lead indication;
- approximately \$28.4 million to accelerate our chemistry and manufacturing controls, or CMC, activities;
- approximately \$1.6 million to fund additional research and development activities; and
- the remainder for working capital and general corporate purposes and the costs associated with being a public company.

We believe that the net proceeds from the offering, together with our existing cash and cash equivalents and investments, will be sufficient to fund our projected operating expenses and capital expenditure requirements through the end of 2017, allowing us to obtain results from our first Phase 3 clinical trial of PRT-201 in radiocephalic AVFs and complete our anticipated chemistry, manufacturing and controls activities required for a BLA submission. However, this may change if there are any significant increases beyond our expectations in spending on development programs or more rapid progress of development programs than anticipated. We do not expect the proceeds to be sufficient to obtain the results from our second Phase 3 trial.

Our expected use of net proceeds from this offering represents our intentions based upon our present plans and business conditions, which could change in the future as our plans and business conditions evolve. The amount and timing of our actual expenditures will depend upon numerous factors, including the results of our ongoing clinical trials and clinical trials that we may commence, feedback from regulatory agencies, the timing of approval of any of our product candidates, the results of any commercialization efforts and other factors. As a result, our management will have broad discretion over the use of the net proceeds from this offering.

Pending the use of the proceeds from this offering, we intend to invest the net proceeds in short-term, interest-bearing, investment-grade securities, certificates of deposit or government securities.

DIVIDEND POLICY

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock. We currently intend to retain all of our future earnings, if any, to finance the growth and development of our business. We do not intend to pay cash dividends to holders of our common stock in the foreseeable future.

CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth our cash and capitalization as of June 30, 2014 on:

- an actual basis;
- a pro forma basis to give effect to the automatic conversion of all outstanding shares of our preferred stock into an aggregate of 8,642,344 shares of common stock upon the closing of this offering, the extinguishment of the liability related to the Series D investors' purchase rights and the filing of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation upon the closing of this offering; and
- a pro forma as adjusted basis to give further effect to the sale of 6,110,000 shares of our common stock offered in this offering, after deducting estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us.

You should read this table together with the information contained in this prospectus, including "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the historical financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

	As of June 30, 2014		
	Actual	Pro Forma (unaudited)	Pro Forma as Adjusted
	(in thousands, except share and per share data)		
Cash, cash equivalents and available-for-sale investments	\$ 25,416	\$ 25,416	\$ 79,914
Convertible Preferred Stock:			
Series A redeemable convertible preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share; 22,638,465 shares authorized, issued and outstanding, actual; no shares authorized, issued or outstanding, pro forma and pro forma as adjusted	35,015	—	—
Series A-1 redeemable convertible preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share; 10,909,091 shares authorized, issued and outstanding, actual; no shares authorized, issued or outstanding, pro forma and pro forma as adjusted	17,790	—	—
Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share; 20,754,461 shares authorized, issued and outstanding, actual; no shares authorized, issued or outstanding, pro forma and pro forma as adjusted	28,573	—	—
Series C redeemable convertible preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share; 17,550,758 shares authorized, 13,202,932 shares issued and outstanding, actual; no shares authorized, issued or outstanding, pro forma and pro forma as adjusted	17,982	—	—
Series D redeemable preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share including associated investors' rights liability of \$6,580; 86,789,527 shares authorized, 52,813,827 shares issued and outstanding, actual; no shares authorized issued or outstanding, pro forma and pro forma as adjusted	31,124	—	—
Total convertible preferred stock	130,484	—	—
Stockholders' deficit:			
Preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share; no shares authorized, issued and outstanding, actual, 10,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding pro forma and pro forma as adjusted	—	—	—
Common stock, par value \$0.001 per share; 205,926,290 shares authorized, 241,559 shares issued and outstanding, actual; 205,926,290 shares authorized, 8,883,903 shares issued and outstanding, pro forma and 100,000,000 shares authorized, 14,993,903 shares issued and outstanding, pro forma as adjusted	0	9	15
Additional paid-in capital	—	123,895	178,106
Accumulated deficit	(109,267)	(102,687)	(102,687)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(23)	(23)	(23)
Total stockholders' (deficit) equity	(109,290)	21,194	75,411
Total capitalization	\$ 21,194	\$ 21,194	\$ 75,411

The table above does not include the following potentially dilutive shares of common stock outstanding at June 30, 2014:

- 1,133,052 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options outstanding at a weighted-average exercise price of \$3.45 per share;
- 659,806 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding warrants at a weighted average exercise price of \$4.60 per share that will be exercised, in whole or part, prior to the closing of this offering;
- 1,156 shares of common stock reserved for issuance pursuant to future equity awards under our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan;
- 704,000 shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan, which became effective immediately prior to effectiveness of this offering; and
- 140,500 shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under our 2014 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, which will become effective upon the closing of this offering.

Series D Convertible Preferred Stock

Initial issuance of Series D convertible preferred stock. On May 13, 2014, we entered into a Series D Convertible Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement, or the Series D Purchase Agreement, pursuant to which (i) we sold and issued a total of 10,344,201 shares of Series D convertible preferred stock upon the conversion of approximately \$4.5 million of principal and accrued interest outstanding under convertible promissory notes at a conversion price of \$0.4414 per share, and (ii) we sold and issued 42,469,626 shares of Series D convertible preferred stock to new and existing investors for aggregate gross proceeds of \$25.0 million at a price per share of \$0.588656.

Additional issuances of Series D convertible preferred stock. The Series D Purchase Agreement also contemplated our sale in two additional subsequent closings, which we refer to as the second tranche and third tranche closings, of up to an additional 33,975,700 shares of our Series D convertible preferred stock. Our right to cause the second and third tranche closings to occur will terminate at the closing of this offering.

Individual Purchase Rights after the closing of this offering. Following the closing of this offering, the investors that are parties to the Series D Purchase Agreement would have had individual purchase rights under the Series D Purchase Agreement to purchase from us, at any time and from time to time until May 13, 2024, an aggregate of 2,140,876 shares of our common stock, based on an initial public offering price of \$10.00, which is greater than \$9.34, the Series D conversion price immediately prior to this offering, and assuming also that such individual purchase rights are not terminated. Our underwriters have offered the investors that are parties to the Series D Purchase Agreement the opportunity to purchase shares of common stock in this offering, which results in the individual purchase rights under the Series D Purchase Agreement terminating at the closing of this offering.

Anti-dilution protection for Series D convertible preferred stock. At the closing of this offering, our Series D convertible preferred stock will automatically convert into a number of shares of our common stock equal to (i) 3,327,894 shares plus (ii) an incremental amount of shares equal to 140,873. This incremental amount of shares is determined by multiplying (x) the number of shares of common stock purchased in this offering by the holders of our Series D convertible preferred stock up to a maximum number of shares of our common stock equal to 2,000,000 shares, which number of shares is equal to the number of shares of our Series D convertible preferred stock that such holders would have been entitled to purchase under the Series D Purchase Agreement at the second and third tranche closings if the second and third tranche closings had been consummated prior to the closing of this offering, by (y) the remainder obtained by subtracting the number one from the quotient obtained by dividing the initial public offering price per share by \$9.34, the purchase price per share of our Series D convertible preferred stock.

Upon the closing of this offering, the 52,813,827 shares of our Series D convertible preferred stock outstanding as of September 30, 2014 automatically will convert into 3,468,767 shares of our common stock.

DILUTION

If you invest in our common stock in this offering, your ownership interest will be diluted immediately to the extent of the difference between the public offering price per share of our common stock and the pro forma net tangible book value per share of our common stock after this offering.

Our historical net tangible book deficit as of June 30, 2014 was \$(109.3) million or \$(452.44) per share of common stock, based on 241,559 shares of common stock outstanding as of June 30, 2014. Historical net tangible book value per share is determined by dividing our total tangible assets less total liabilities and redeemable preferred stock by the actual number of shares of common stock outstanding.

Our pro forma net tangible book gain as of June 30, 2014 was \$21.2 million, or \$2.39 per share of common stock, based on 8,883,903 shares of common stock outstanding after giving effect to the automatic conversion of all of our outstanding series A, A-1, B, C and D convertible preferred stock into 8,642,344 shares of common stock upon the listing of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market. These shares include an additional 919,957 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of all of our outstanding series A, A-1, B and C convertible preferred stock, which additional shares are issuable as a result of conversion price adjustments in the anti-dilution provisions of our series A, A-1, B and C convertible preferred stock, as a result of the issue price of our series D convertible preferred stock and which is described in the section of this prospectus entitled "Capitalization—Series D Preferred Stock Financing." Our pro forma net tangible book gain also includes the extinguishment of the liability related to the Series D investors' purchase rights.

Pro forma net tangible book value per share is determined by dividing our total tangible assets less total liabilities and redeemable preferred stock by the pro forma number of shares of common stock outstanding at June 30, 2014 before giving effect to our sale of shares of common stock in this offering.

After giving further effect to our issuance and sale of 6,110,000 shares of our common stock in this offering, after deducting estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us, our pro forma net tangible book value as of June 30, 2014 would have been \$75.4 million, or \$5.03 per share. This represents an immediate increase in pro forma net tangible book value per share of \$2.64 to existing stockholders and immediate dilution of \$4.97 in pro forma net tangible book value per share to new investors purchasing common stock in this offering.

Dilution per share to new investors is determined by subtracting pro forma net tangible book value per share after this offering from the initial public offering price per share paid by new investors. The following table illustrates this dilution on a per share of common stock basis assuming the underwriters do not exercise their option to purchase additional shares of common stock:

Assumed initial public offering price per share	\$ 10.00
Historical net tangible book value per share as of June 30, 2014	\$ (452.44)
Pro forma increase per share as of June 30, 2014 attributable to conversion of convertible preferred stock	\$ 454.83
Pro forma net tangible book value per share as of June 30, 2014 before giving effect to this offering	\$ 2.39
Increase per share attributable to this offering	\$ 2.64
Pro forma net tangible book value per share, as adjusted to give effect to this offering	\$ 5.03
Dilution in pro forma net tangible book value per share to new investors in this offering	\$ 4.97

If the underwriters exercise their option to purchase additional shares in full or if any additional shares are issued in connection with outstanding options, you will experience further dilution. If the underwriters exercise their option to purchase additional shares in full, the following will occur:

- the percentage of shares of our common stock held by existing stockholders will decrease to approximately 59% of the total number of shares of our common stock outstanding after this offering; and
- the number of shares of our common stock held by new investors will increase to, or approximately 41% of the total number of shares of our common stock outstanding after this offering.

The following table summarizes, on the same pro forma basis as adjusted as of June 30, 2014, the total number of shares of common stock purchased from us, the total cash consideration paid to us and the average price per share of common stock paid by our existing owners and by new investors purchasing shares of common stock in this offering:

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)	Shares Purchased		Total Consideration		Average Price
	Number	Percent	Amount	Percent	Per Share
Existing stockholders	8,883,903	59.3%	\$ 105,813	63.4%	\$ 11.91
Investors participating in this offering	6,110,000	40.7	61,100	36.6	10.00
Total	14,993,903	100.0%	\$ 166,913	100.0%	\$ 11.13

The foregoing tables and calculations are based upon 8,883,903 shares of common stock outstanding as of June 30, 2014, including 8,642,344 shares of common stock after giving effect to the conversion of our outstanding series A, A-1, B, C and D convertible preferred stock, and exclude:

- 1,133,052 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options outstanding as of June 30, 2014 at a weighted-average exercise price of \$3.45 per share;
- 659,806 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding warrants at a weighted average exercise price of \$4.60 per share;
- 1,156 shares of common stock reserved for issuance pursuant to future equity awards under our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan;
- 704,000 shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan, which became effective immediately prior to the effectiveness of this offering; and
- 140,500 shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under our 2014 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, which will become effective upon the closing of this offering.

Furthermore, we may choose to raise additional capital through the sale of equity or convertible debt securities due to market conditions or strategic considerations even if we believe we have sufficient funds for our current or future operating plans. New investors will experience further dilution if any of our outstanding options or warrants are exercised, new options are issued and exercised under our equity incentive plans or we issue additional shares of common stock, other equity securities or convertible debt securities for lower consideration per share than in this offering in the future.

Certain of our existing stockholders and their affiliated entities, including holders of more than 5% of our common stock, have agreed to purchase an aggregate of approximately \$30.5 million in shares of our common stock in this offering at the initial public offering price or aggregate of up to approximately 3,052,886 of the 6,110,000 shares in this offering. The foregoing discussion and tables do not reflect any potential purchases by these existing stockholders or their affiliated entities.

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected statements of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013 and the balance sheet data at December 31, 2012 and 2013 have been derived from our audited financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The selected statement of operations data for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 and the selected balance sheet data at June 30, 2014 were derived from our unaudited financial statements appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. These unaudited financial statements have been prepared on a basis consistent with our audited financial statements and, in our opinion, contain all adjustments, consisting only of normal and recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of such financial data. Our historical results for any prior period are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected in any future period, and our interim period results are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for a full year or any other interim period.

The information set forth below should be read in conjunction with the "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" section of this prospectus and with our financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this prospectus. The selected financial data in this section are not intended to replace the financial statements and are qualified in their entirety by the financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

	Proteon Therapeutics, Inc.			
	Years Ended		Six Months Ended	
	December 31,		June 30,	
	2012	2013	2013	2014
	(unaudited)			
	(in thousands except share and per share data)			
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	\$ 5,907	\$ 3,994	\$ 2,003	\$ 2,785
General and administrative	2,089	3,128	1,417	1,656
Total operating expenses	<u>7,996</u>	<u>7,122</u>	<u>3,420</u>	<u>4,441</u>
Loss from operations	(7,996)	(7,122)	(3,420)	(4,441)
Other income (expense):				
Investment income	20	4	3	3
Interest expense	—	(861)	—	(857)
Other income (expense)	6	67	5	(99)
Total other income (expense)	<u>26</u>	<u>(790)</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>(953)</u>
Net loss	<u>\$ (7,970)</u>	<u>\$ (7,912)</u>	<u>\$ (3,412)</u>	<u>\$ (5,394)</u>
Unrealized (loss) gain on available-for-sale investments	(5)	(1)	—	(23)
Comprehensive loss	<u>\$ (7,975)</u>	<u>\$ (7,913)</u>	<u>\$ (3,412)</u>	<u>\$ (5,417)</u>
Reconciliation of net loss to net loss attributable to common stockholders				
Net loss	\$ (7,970)	\$ (7,912)	\$ (3,412)	\$ (5,394)
Accretion of redeemable convertible preferred stock to redemption value	(6,133)	(6,119)	(3,039)	(3,409)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	<u>\$ (14,103)</u>	<u>\$ (14,031)</u>	<u>\$ (6,451)</u>	<u>\$ (8,803)</u>
Net loss per share attributable to common stockholders—basic and diluted(1)(2)	<u>\$ (61.16)</u>	<u>\$ (59.66)</u>	<u>\$ (27.97)</u>	<u>\$ (36.64)</u>
Weighted-average number of common shares used in net loss per share attributable to common stockholders—basic and diluted	<u>230,607</u>	<u>235,184</u>	<u>230,607</u>	<u>240,254</u>
Pro forma net loss per share attributable to common stockholders—basic and diluted (unaudited)(1)(2)		<u>\$ (1.54)</u>		<u>\$ (0.66)</u>
Pro forma weighted-average number of common shares used in net loss per share attributable to common stockholders—basic and diluted (unaudited)		<u>4,565,620</u>		<u>6,763,218</u>

	December 31,		June 30,
	2012	2013	2014
			(unaudited)
	(in thousands)		
Balance Sheet Data:			
Cash, cash equivalents and available-for-sale investments	\$ 7,471	\$ 5,152	\$ 25,416
Working capital	6,499	(4,438)	19,915
Total assets	7,782	5,659	27,142
Preferred stock	90,286	96,405	123,904
Common stock and additional paid-in capital	0	0	0
Total stockholders' deficit	(86,656)	(100,514)	(109,290)

- (1) See Note 2 within the notes to our financial statements appearing elsewhere in this prospectus for a description of the method used to calculate basic and diluted net loss per common share and pro forma basic and diluted net loss per common share.
- (2) Pro forma to reflect the conversion of our preferred stock into shares of common stock upon the closing of this offering and the extinguishment of the liability related to the Series D investors' purchase rights.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

You should read the following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations together with "Summary financial data," "Selected financial data" and our financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. Some of the information contained in this discussion and analysis or set forth elsewhere in this prospectus, including information with respect to our plans and strategy for our business and related financing, includes forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. As a result of many factors, including those factors set forth in the "Risk Factors" section of this prospectus, our actual results could differ materially from the results described in or implied by the forward-looking statements contained in the following discussion and analysis.

Overview

We are a late-stage biopharmaceutical company focused on the development of novel, first-in-class pharmaceuticals to address the needs of patients with renal and vascular disease. Our product candidate, PRT-201, is a recombinant human elastase that we are developing to reduce vascular access failure in patients with chronic kidney disease undergoing or preparing for hemodialysis, a lifesaving treatment that cannot be conducted without a functioning vascular access. We believe the data from our completed Phase 2 trial of PRT-201 in patients undergoing creation of an arteriovenous fistula, or AVF, support that a one-time, local application of PRT-201 during AVF surgical placement reduces AVF failure, thereby improving patient outcomes and reducing the burden on patients and the healthcare system. We are not aware of any approved preventative treatments to reduce the failure rate of AVFs. We initiated the first of two Phase 3 trials for PRT-201 in radiocephalic AVFs, our initial indication, in the third quarter of 2014 and expect to initiate the second Phase 3 trial in the first half of 2015.

We commenced business operations in June 2001. Our operations to date have been limited to organizing and staffing our company, business planning, raising capital, undertaking preclinical studies and clinical trials of PRT-201, protecting our intellectual property and providing general and administrative support for these operations. To date, we have not generated any product revenue and have primarily financed our operations through the private placement of our equity securities, business development activities, convertible note financings, and government grants. As of June 30, 2014, we had received an aggregate of \$111.9 million of net proceeds comprised of \$94.0 million from the issuance of equity securities, \$7.7 million from the issuance of convertible notes, \$10.0 million from business development activities and \$0.2 million from government grants.

As of June 30, 2014, we had an accumulated deficit of \$109.3 million. Our net losses were \$8.0 million and \$7.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013, respectively, and \$3.4 million and \$5.4 million, for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014, respectively. We expect to incur significant expenses and increasing operating losses for the foreseeable future. We expect our research and development expenses to increase as we continue the clinical trials of, and seek regulatory approval for, PRT-201. If we obtain regulatory approval for PRT-201, we expect to incur significant commercialization expenses related to product sales, marketing, manufacturing and distribution. Furthermore, following the closing of this offering, we expect that our general and administrative costs will increase as we grow and operate as a public company. As a result, we will need to generate significant revenue if we are to achieve profitability, and we may never be able to do so.

We believe that our available funds subsequent to this offering will be sufficient to fund our operations through the end of 2017, allowing us to obtain results from our first Phase 3 clinical trial of PRT-201 in radiocephalic AVFs and to accelerate the commencement of our second Phase 3 trial and the chemistry and manufacturing controls, or CMC, activities.

We do not expect to generate revenue from product sales unless and until we successfully complete development and obtain regulatory approval for PRT-201, which we expect will take a number of years and

is subject to significant uncertainty. We have no manufacturing facilities and all of our manufacturing activities are contracted out to third parties. Additionally, we currently use third-party clinical research organizations, or CROs, to carry out our clinical development activities and we do not yet have a sales organization. If we obtain regulatory approval for PRT-201, we expect to incur significant commercialization expenses related to product sales, marketing, manufacturing, and distribution. Accordingly, we may seek to fund our operations through public or private equity or debt financings or other sources, including strategic collaborations. We may, however, be unable to raise additional funds or enter into such other arrangements when needed on favorable terms or at all. Our failure to raise capital or enter into such other arrangements as and when needed would have a negative impact on our financial condition and our ability to develop PRT-201 or any additional product candidates, if developed.

Financial Overview

Grant Revenue

To date, our revenue has been derived solely from government grants. We did not receive any government grants during the reported periods and have no plans to receive additional government grants in the future.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses consist primarily of costs incurred for the development of PRT-201, which include:

- employee-related expenses, including salaries, benefits, travel and stock-based compensation expense;
- expenses incurred under agreements with CROs and investigative sites that will conduct our clinical trials;
- the cost of acquiring, developing, and manufacturing clinical trial materials;
- costs associated with regulatory operations; and
- facilities, depreciation, and other expenses, which include direct and allocated expenses for rent and maintenance of facilities, insurance, and other supplies.

We expense research and development costs to operations as incurred. We recognize costs for certain development activities, such as clinical trials, based on an evaluation of the progress to completion of specific tasks using data such as patient enrollment, clinical site activations or information provided to us by our vendors.

We cannot determine with certainty the duration and completion costs of the current or future clinical trials or if, when, or to what extent we will generate revenues from the commercialization and sale of PRT-201. We may never succeed in achieving regulatory approval for PRT-201. The duration, costs, and timing of clinical trials and development of PRT-201 will depend on a variety of factors, which include:

- the scope, rate of progress, and expense of our ongoing as well as any additional clinical trials and other research and development activities;
- uncertainties in clinical trial enrollment rate;
- future clinical trial results;
- significant and changing government regulation; and
- the timing and receipt of any regulatory approvals.

A change in any of these factors could mean a significant change in the costs and timing associated with the development of PRT-201. For example, if the FDA, or another regulatory authority were to require us to conduct clinical trials beyond those that we currently anticipate will be required for the completion of clinical development or if we experience significant delays in enrollment in any of our

clinical trials, we could be required to expend significant additional financial resources and time on the completion of clinical development.

We expect our research and development expenses to increase for the foreseeable future as we continue the development of PRT-201. Our current planned development activities include the following:

- we commenced our first Phase 3 clinical trial of PRT-201 for patients undergoing creation of a radiocephalic AVF in the third quarter of 2014. Prior to completing enrollment in the first Phase 3 trial, we intend to initiate our second Phase 3 trial. If the results from the first Phase 3 trial are sufficiently compelling, we intend to meet with the FDA to discuss the possibility of submitting a BLA, supported by the single Phase 3 trial and may decide to submit a BLA to the FDA prior to completing the second Phase 3 trial;
- we may, based on additional data including the data from our Phase 3 clinical trials and if sufficient funds become available, choose to conduct a clinical trial of PRT-201 in Europe;
- we may, based on additional data including the data from our Phase 3 clinical trials and if sufficient funds become available, study the effects of a 30 microgram dose of PRT-201 versus placebo on brachiocephalic AVFs and in patients undergoing placement of an arteriovenous graft, or AVG; and
- we expect to continue to manufacture clinical trial materials in support of our clinical trials.

Our direct research and development expenses consist principally of external costs, such as fees paid to CROs, investigators, consultants and central laboratories in connection with our clinical trials, and costs related to acquiring and manufacturing clinical trial materials as well as of salaries and related costs for personnel, including stock-based compensation and travel expenses.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses consist principally of salaries and related costs for personnel, including stock-based compensation and travel expenses, in executive and other administrative functions. Other general and administrative expenses also include professional fees for legal, patent review, consulting and accounting services as well as facility related costs. We anticipate increased expenses related to audit, legal, regulatory, and tax-related services associated with maintaining compliance with our NASDAQ listing and Securities and Exchange Commission requirements, director and officer insurance premiums, and investor relations costs associated with being a public company.

Additionally, if and when we believe a regulatory approval of our first product candidate appears likely, we anticipate that we will increase our salary and personnel costs and other expenses as a result of our preparation for commercial operations.

Interest Expense, Net

Interest expense, net, consists of interest incurred on debt instruments, amortized deferred financing costs and amortized debt discount, as offset by any interest income earned on our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. The debt discount primarily consists of the fair value of the bifurcated features embedded in the convertible notes issued in September 2013. As of June 30, 2014, the debt discount had been fully amortized to interest expense.

Other Income (Expense)

Other income consists of the gain realized by the sale of fixed assets as well as changes in fair market value of the derivative liability associated with the convertible notes.

Accretion of Preferred Stock

Subsequent to the May 2014 Series D convertible preferred stock financing, our shares of preferred stock are redeemable beginning in 2019 at their original issuance price plus any declared or accrued but

unpaid dividends upon written election of the preferred stockholders in accordance with the terms of our certificate of incorporation. Accretion of preferred stock reflects the accretion of issuance costs and cumulative dividends on our preferred stock based on their respective redemption values.

Critical Accounting Policies and Significant Judgments and Estimates

Our management's discussion and analysis of our financial position and results of operations is based on our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate estimates, which include estimates related to clinical trial accruals, stock-based compensation expense, embedded derivatives, and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. We base our estimates on historical experience and other market-specific or other relevant assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ materially from those estimates or assumptions.

While our significant accounting policies are described in more detail in the notes to our financial statements appearing elsewhere in this prospectus, we believe the following accounting policies to be most critical to the judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements.

Accrued Research and Development Expenses

As part of the process of preparing our financial statements, we are required to estimate our accrued expenses. This process involves reviewing open contracts and purchase orders, communicating with our personnel to identify services that have been performed for us and estimating the level of service performed and the associated cost incurred for the service when we have not yet been invoiced or otherwise notified of the actual cost. The majority of our service providers invoice us monthly in arrears for services performed or when contractual milestones are met. We make estimates of our accrued expenses as of each balance sheet date in our financial statements based on facts and circumstances known to us at that time. We periodically confirm the accuracy of our estimates with the service providers and make adjustments if necessary. Examples of estimated accrued research and development expenses include fees paid to CROs in connection with clinical trials and vendors related to manufacturing, development and distribution of clinical supplies.

We base our expenses related to clinical trials on our estimates of the services received and efforts expended pursuant to contracts with multiple CROs that conduct and manage clinical trials on our behalf. The financial terms of these agreements are subject to negotiation, vary from contract to contract and may result in uneven payment flows. There may be instances in which payments made to our vendors will exceed the level of services provided and result in a prepayment of the clinical expense. Payments under some of these contracts depend on factors such as the successful enrollment of subjects and the completion of clinical trial milestones. In accruing service fees, we estimate the time period over which services will be performed, enrollment of subjects, number of sites activated and the level of effort to be expended in each period. If the actual timing of the performance of services or the level of effort varies from our estimate, we adjust the accrual or prepaid accordingly. Although we do not expect our estimates to be materially different from amounts actually incurred, if our estimates of the status and timing of services performed differs from the actual status and timing of services performed we may report amounts that are too high or too low in any particular period. To date, there have been no material differences from our estimates to the amount actually incurred.

Derivative Instruments

We occasionally issue financial instruments in which a derivative instrument is "embedded." Upon issuing the financial instrument, we assesses whether the economic characteristics of the embedded derivative are clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the remaining component of the financial instrument (*i.e.*, the host contract) and whether a separate, non-embedded instrument with the same terms as the embedded instrument would meet the definition of a derivative instrument as defined in ASC 815 *Derivatives and Hedging*. When it is determined that (1) the embedded derivative possesses economic characteristics that are not clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the host contract and (2) a separate, stand-alone instrument with the same terms would qualify as a derivative instrument, the embedded derivative is separated from the host contract and carried at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in current period earnings.

Convertible Notes

On September 4, 2013, we issued, at par value, convertible promissory notes with an aggregate principal amount of approximately \$4.3 million. The notes were due March 31, 2014, upon written notice from holders of a majority of the then-outstanding aggregate principal amount, and accrued interest at an annual rate of 8%. We determined that the debt contained certain features requiring evaluation for separate accounting from the fixed interest rate host instrument including: (i) holder's optional conversion upon maturity; (ii) mandatory conversion upon a reverse acquisition; (iii) automatic conversion upon a qualified financing; (iv) holder's optional conversion upon a non-qualified financing; (v) issuer's optional redemption; (vi) redemption upon a change in control; (vii) put upon a breach; and (viii) put upon an event of default. In certain cases these features require us to either convert the notes or accelerate their repayment at a significant premium to the principal and accrued interest then outstanding.

The embedded features requiring separate accounting were combined and valued upon issuance using a single income valuation approach. As of September 4, 2013 and December 31, 2013, we ascribed a probability to the automatic conversion upon a qualified financing of 85% and 100%, respectively. As of September 4, 2013 and December 31, 2013, we ascribed a probability to the redemption feature upon a change in control of 15% and 0%, respectively. From December 31, 2013 to the conversion of the convertible notes into Series D convertible preferred stock on May 13, 2014, as described below, the estimates of these probabilities did not change. For all other features included in the combined embedded derivative, we estimated a 0% probability of occurrence at all times.

We recorded approximately \$1.4 million as the fair value of the combined embedded derivative liability on September 4, 2013, with a corresponding amount recorded as debt discount. The debt discount has been amortized to interest expense over the life of the notes using the effective interest method. As of December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2014, the fair value of the combined embedded derivative liability was \$1.4 million and \$0, respectively. Changes in the estimated fair value of the embedded features were recorded in earnings in the period in which they occurred.

In connection with the issuance of our Series D convertible preferred stock on May 13, 2014, the notes in the aggregate amount of approximately \$4.6 million in principal plus accrued interest were converted into 10,344,201 shares of Series D convertible preferred stock. As the debt discount had been fully amortized prior to conversion, there was no gain or loss recognized upon conversion of the notes.

Stock-Based Compensation

From our inception in June 2001, until December 31, 2005, we applied the guidance in Accounting Principles Bulletin, or APB 25. Under APB 25, there is no stock-based compensation expense recognized for awards granted with an exercise price equal to the fair value of the underlying stock on the date of grant.

Since January 1, 2006, we have applied the fair value recognition provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Accounting Standards Codification or ASC, Topic 718, *Compensation—Stock Compensation*, or ASC 718, to account for stock-based compensation for employees. ASC 718 applies to any awards granted, modified, repurchased, or canceled after December 31, 2005, and requires the measurement and recognition of costs for all stock-based awards made to employees and directors, including stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock units, and discounted employee stock purchases. We recognize compensation costs related to employees based on the estimated fair value of the awards on the date of grant and over the requisite service periods, using the straight-line method. The options vest periodically over various schedules and all options expire no later than ten years after the date of grant.

We have applied the fair value recognition provisions of ASC 718 and FASB ASC 505, *Equity*, to account for stock-based compensation for non-employees. Stock-based compensation related to non-employee awards is re-measured at each reporting period until the awards are vested and is estimated using an expected term equal to the remaining contractual term of the award. Compensation expense is recognized for the fair value of the consideration received, or the equity instruments issued, whichever is more reliably measurable. We recorded compensation expense for non-employee awards with graded vesting using the accelerated expense attribution method.

We estimate the fair value of our stock-based awards to employees and non-employees using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which requires the input of highly subjective assumptions, including: (1) the expected volatility of our stock, (2) the expected term of the award, (3) the risk-free interest rate and (4) expected dividends. Due to the lack of a public market for the trading of our common stock and a lack of company specific historical and implied volatility data, we have based our estimate of expected volatility on the historical volatility of a group of comparable companies that are publicly traded. For these analyses, we selected representative companies from the life sciences industry with characteristics similar to ours, including enterprise value, risk profiles, position within the industry and historical share price information, sufficient to meet the expected life of the stock-based awards. We compute the historical volatility data using the daily closing prices for the selected companies' shares during the equivalent period of the calculated expected term of our stock-based awards. We will continue to apply this process until a sufficient amount of historical information regarding the volatility of our own stock price becomes available. We use a dividend yield of zero based on the fact that we have never declared cash dividends and have no current intention of paying cash dividends over the expected term of the option. As we do not have sufficient historical stock option activity data to provide a reasonable basis upon which to estimate the expected term of stock options granted to employees, we have estimated the expected life of our employee stock options using the "simplified" method, whereby the expected life equals the average of the vesting term and the original contractual term of the option. For non-employee options, we have determined the expected life based on the respective contractual life. The risk-free interest rates for periods within the expected life of the option are based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect during the period the options were granted and with maturity dates equivalent to the expected term of the option.

The following table presents the grant dates of shares subject to awards from January 1, 2012 through June 30, 2014 along with the corresponding exercise price for each option grant and our current estimate of the fair value per share of our common stock on each grant date, which we utilize to calculate stock-based compensation expense:

<u>Date of Grant</u>	<u>Number of Underlying Options Granted</u>	<u>Exercise Price per Share</u>	<u>Current Estimate of Common Stock Fair Value per Share on Grant Date</u>
3/25/2013	3,150	\$ 22.22	\$ 22.22
6/24/2014	527,718	\$ 4.92	\$ 4.92

Determination of the Fair Value of Common Stock on Grant Dates

Following the consummation of this offering, the fair value of our common stock will be determined based on the quoted market price of our common stock. We have historically granted stock options at exercise prices not less than the fair value of our common stock. Our board of directors determined the fair value of our common stock considering, in part, the work of an independent third-party valuation specialist. The board determined the estimated per share fair value of our common stock at various dates considering contemporaneous valuations performed in accordance with the guidance outlined in the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Practice Aid, *Valuation of Privately-Held Company Equity Securities Issued as Compensation*, also known as the "Practice Aid".

In conducting the valuations, the independent third-party valuation specialist considered all objective and subjective factors that it believed to be relevant for each valuation conducted in accordance with the Practice Aid, including our best estimate of our business condition, prospects and operating performance at each valuation date. Other significant factors included:

- the prices of our preferred stock sold to outside investors in arm's length transactions, and the rights, preferences and privileges of our preferred stock as compared to those of our common stock, including the liquidation preferences of our preferred stock;
- the provisions of an option agreement to acquire Proteon that has since terminated;
- our results of operations, financial position and the status of research and development efforts;
- the composition of, and changes to, our management team and board of directors;
- the lack of liquidity of our common stock;
- our stage of development and business strategy and the material risks related to our business and industry;
- the valuation of publicly traded companies in the life sciences sector, as well as recently completed mergers and acquisitions of guideline companies;
- any external market conditions affecting the life sciences industry sector; and
- the likelihood of achieving a liquidity event for the holders of our common stock and stock options, such as an initial public offering, or IPO, or a sale of our company, given prevailing market conditions, and the state of the IPO market for similarly situated privately held life sciences companies.

The dates of our contemporaneous and retrospective valuations have not always coincided with the dates of our stock option grants. In determining the exercise prices of the stock options set forth in the table above, our board of directors considered, among other things, the most recent contemporaneous and retrospective valuation of our common stock and their assessment of additional objective and subjective factors that were relevant as of the grant dates. The additional factors considered when determining whether any changes in the fair value of our common stock had occurred between the most recent contemporaneous valuation and the grant dates included our stage of research and development, our operating and financial performance and current business conditions.

The estimates of fair value of our common stock are highly complex and subjective. There are significant judgments and estimates inherent in the determination of the fair value of our common stock. These judgments and estimates include assumptions regarding our future operating performance, the time to completing an IPO or other liquidity event, the related valuations associated with these events, and the determinations of the appropriate valuation methods at each valuation date. If we had made different assumptions, our stock-based compensation expense, net loss and net loss per share applicable to common stockholders could have been materially different.

Common Stock Valuation Methodologies

The valuations we obtained were prepared in accordance with the guidelines in the Practice Aid, which prescribes several valuation approaches for setting the value of an enterprise, such as the cost,

market and income approaches, and various methodologies for allocating the value of an enterprise to its common stock. We generally used the market approach, in particular the guideline public company and guideline transaction methodologies, based on inputs from comparable public companies' equity valuations and comparable acquisition transactions, to estimate the enterprise value of our company.

Methods Used to Allocate Our Enterprise Value to Classes of Securities

In accordance with the Practice Aid, we considered the various methods for allocating the enterprise value across our classes and series of capital stock to determine the fair value of our common stock at each valuation date. The methods and scenarios considered consisted of the following:

- *Probability-Weighted Expected Return Method, or PWERM.* The PWERM is a scenario-based analysis that estimates the value per share based on the probability-weighted present value of expected future investment returns, considering each of the possible outcomes available to us, as well as the economic and control rights of each share class. Our PWERM analyses assume a range of exit scenarios, including an IPO, and allocate the value in each scenario according to our capital structure, probability-weighting each exit and discounting the value to a present value equivalent using a risk-adjusted discount rate.
- *Option Pricing Method, or OPM.* Under the option pricing method, shares are valued by creating a series of call options with exercise prices based on the liquidation preferences and conversion terms of each equity class. The values of the preferred and common stock are inferred by analyzing these options. Our OPM analysis evaluates a scenario where we remain private and is modeled over a weighted average term to exit using a recent financing round as the basis for fair market value determination.
- *Hybrid Method.* The hybrid method employs the concepts of the PWERM and OPM merged into a single framework.

The foregoing valuation methodologies are not the only methodologies available and they will not be used to value our common stock once this offering is complete. We cannot make assurances as to any particular valuation for our common stock. Accordingly, investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the foregoing valuation methodologies as an indicator of future stock prices.

Results of Operations

Comparison of the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2014

	Six Months Ended June 30,		Period-to- Period Change
	2013	2014	
	(in thousands)		
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	\$ 2,003	\$ 2,785	\$ 782
General and administrative	1,417	1,656	239
Total operating expenses	<u>3,420</u>	<u>4,441</u>	<u>1,021</u>
Loss from operations	<u>(3,420)</u>	<u>(4,441)</u>	<u>(1,021)</u>
Other income (expense):			
Interest expense, net	3	(854)	(857)
Other income (expense)	5	(99)	(104)
Total other income (expense)	<u>8</u>	<u>(953)</u>	<u>(961)</u>
Net loss	<u>\$ (3,412)</u>	<u>\$ (5,394)</u>	<u>\$ (1,982)</u>

Research and Development Expenses. The following table identifies research and development expenses on both an external and internal basis for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014:

	Six Months Ended June 30,		Period-to- Period Change
	2013	2014	
	(in thousands)		
External research and development expenses	\$ 922	\$ 1,706	\$ 784
Internal research and development expenses	1,081	1,079	\$ (2)
Total research and development expenses	<u>\$ 2,003</u>	<u>\$ 2,785</u>	<u>\$ 782</u>

During the six months ended June 30, 2014, our total research and development expenses increased by \$0.8 million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013 due to \$0.5 million in increased external manufacturing, process development and quality assurance expenses related to preparation for our upcoming radiocephalic AVF Phase 3 clinical trial and \$0.3 million in increased external clinical expenses related to preparation for the radiocephalic AVF Phase 3 clinical trials. Our internal research and development expenses were unchanged in the six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013.

General and Administrative Expenses. During the six months ended June 30, 2014, our total general and administrative expenses were \$0.2 million higher as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013. Changes from the prior period were primarily due to additional overhead and personnel costs in the six months ended June 30, 2014 of \$0.2 million to support our on-going corporate activities

Other Expense. During the six months ended June 30, 2014, other expenses increased by \$0.1 million as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013 primarily related to the change in fair market value of the derivative liability associated with the convertible promissory notes.

Interest Expense, Net. During the six months ended June 30, 2014, interest expense, net increased by \$0.9 million as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013 due to the interest expense on our convertible promissory notes.

Comparison of the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013

	Years Ended December 31,		Period-to- Period Change
	2012	2013	
	(in thousands)		
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	\$ 5,907	\$ 3,994	\$ (1,913)
General and administrative	2,089	3,128	1,039
Total operating expenses	<u>7,996</u>	<u>7,122</u>	<u>(874)</u>
Loss from operations	<u>(7,996)</u>	<u>(7,122)</u>	<u>874</u>
Other income (expense):			
Interest expense, net	20	(857)	(877)
Other income	6	67	61
Total other income (expense)	<u>26</u>	<u>(790)</u>	<u>(816)</u>
Net loss	<u>\$ (7,970)</u>	<u>\$ (7,912)</u>	<u>\$ 58</u>

Research and Development Expenses. The following table identifies research and development expenses on both an external and internal basis for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013:

	Years Ended December 31,		Period-to- Period Change
	2012	2013	
	(in thousands)		
External research and development expenses	\$ 3,514	\$ 1,962	\$ (1,552)
Internal research and development expenses	2,393	2,032	(361)
Total research and development expenses	<u>\$ 5,907</u>	<u>\$ 3,994</u>	<u>\$ (1,913)</u>

During the year ended December 31, 2013, our total research and development expenses decreased by \$1.9 million compared to the prior year, primarily due to the completion of our AVF Phase 2 trial and our AVG Phase 1/2 trial. Our external research and development expenses decreased by \$1.5 million primarily due to a reduction in expenses related to our clinical trials and our manufacturing and process development. Our internal research and development expenses decreased by \$0.4 million primarily due to a reduction in our research and development employees and contractors.

General and Administrative Expenses. During the year ended December 31, 2013, our total general and administrative expenses increased by \$1.0 million compared to the prior year. This increase was primarily due to additional overhead and personnel costs in 2013 of \$0.5 million to support our ongoing corporate activities and \$0.5 million related to higher legal expenses.

Other Income (Expense). During the year ended December 31, 2013, our other income increased by \$0.1 million due to a gain from the sale of fixed assets.

Interest Expense, Net. During the year ended December 31, 2013, our interest expense, net increased by \$0.8 million due to the interest expense on our convertible promissory notes.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

Since our inception and through June 30, 2014, we had received \$111.9 million in net proceeds million comprised of \$94.0 million from the issuance of equity securities, \$7.7 million from the issuance of convertible notes, \$10.0 million from business development activities and \$0.2 million from government grants. At June 30, 2014, our cash and cash equivalents and available-for-sale investments totaled \$25.4 million.

Convertible Note Financings

In April 2013, we entered into a convertible note purchase agreement with some of our existing preferred stockholders whereby we had the option, but not the obligation, to issue convertible promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$4.3 million, subject to meeting at least one of two pre-determined conditions. In September 2013, upon satisfying one of the conditions, we issued the notes, which accrue interest at 8% annum and mature on or after March 31, 2014 upon written notice from a majority of the outstanding note holders.

As further described above and within our financial statements appearing elsewhere in this prospectus, in connection with the issuance of the convertible notes, we recorded \$1.4 million as a discount on the convertible notes related to the estimated fair value of the combined embedded derivative liability and certain issuance costs. The discount was amortized to interest expense over the life of the convertible notes. Changes in the estimated fair value of the combined embedded derivative liability were recorded in earnings in the periods in which they occurred.

On May 13, 2014, upon the closing of our Series D convertible preferred stock financing described below, the convertible notes, in the aggregate amount of approximately \$4.5 million principal and accrued interest automatically converted into 10,344,201 shares of our Series D convertible preferred stock at a conversion price per share of \$0.4414.

Series D Financing

On May 13, 2014, we received net proceeds of approximately \$24.7 million from the issuance of Series D convertible preferred stock to new and existing investors at a price per share of \$0.588656. In aggregate, we issued 52,813,827 shares of Series D convertible preferred stock including 10,344,201 shares for the conversion of \$4.6 million of convertible notes and accrued interest at a conversion price of \$0.4414 per share. As provided by the Series D stock purchase agreement, the investors in the Series D convertible preferred stock have the potential opportunity to invest an additional \$20.0 million in Series D convertible preferred stock at \$0.588656 per share. The investors' rights to purchase additional shares of Series D convertible preferred stock will terminate with this offering.

Operating Capital Requirements

We expect to incur increasing operating losses for at least the next several years as we conduct our Phase 3 clinical trials for PRT-201 in radiocephalic AVFs, thereafter seeking marketing approval for PRT-201 in radiocephalic AVFs assuming successful trial outcomes, and pursue development of PRT-201 for additional indications, including in brachiocephalic AVFs and AVGs. We may not be able to complete the development and initiate commercialization of PRT-201 if, among other things, our clinical trials are not successful, the Food and Drug Administration does not approve PRT-201 when we expect, or at all.

We believe that the net proceeds of this offering, together with our existing cash and cash equivalents and investments, will be sufficient to fund our operations through the end of 2017. Based on our planned use of the net proceeds of this offering and our existing cash resources, we believe that our available funds subsequent to this offering will be sufficient to enable us to obtain results from our first Phase 3 clinical trial of PRT-201 in radiocephalic AVFs and to accelerate the commencement of our second Phase 3 trial and the chemistry and manufacturing controls, or CMC, activities.

Unless or until we can generate a sufficient amount of revenue from our product sales we expect to fund our operations through a combination of equity offerings debt financings or other sources including potential collaborations. Additional capital may not be available on favorable terms, if at all. If we are unable to raise additional capital in sufficient amounts or on terms acceptable to us, we may have to significantly delay, scale back or discontinue the development or commercialization of one or more of our protein therapeutic candidates. If we raise additional funds through the issuance of debt or equity securities, it could result in dilution to our existing stockholders and increased fixed payment obligations, and these securities may have rights senior to those of our common stock. If we incur indebtedness, we could become subject to covenants that would restrict our operations and potentially impair our competitiveness, such as limitations on our ability to incur additional debt, limitations on our ability to acquire, sell or license intellectual property rights and other operating restrictions that could adversely impact our ability to conduct our business. We may not be able to enter into collaboration arrangements for PRT-201 in targeted geographies. Any of these events could significantly harm our business, financial condition and prospects.

Our forecast of the period of time through which our financial resources will be adequate to support our operations is a forward-looking statement and involves risks and uncertainties, and actual results could vary as a result of a number of factors. We have based this estimate on assumptions that may prove to be wrong, and we could exhaust our available capital resources sooner than we currently expect. Our future funding requirements, both near and long-term, will depend on many factors, including:

- the timing and costs of our planned Phase 3 clinical trials of PRT-201 in radiocephalic AVFs;

- the timing and costs of developing PRT-201 for additional indications;
- the outcome, timing and costs of seeking regulatory approvals;
- the costs of commercialization activities for PRT-201 in radiocephalic AVFs and other indications if we receive marketing approval, including the costs and timing of establishing product sales, marketing, distribution and manufacturing capabilities;
- subject to receipt of marketing approval, revenue received from commercial sales of PRT-201;
- the terms and timing of any future collaborations, licensing, consulting or other arrangements that we may establish;
- the amount and timing of any payments we may be required to make, or that we may receive, in connection with the licensing, filing, prosecution, defense and enforcement of any patents or other intellectual property rights, including royalty payments that we are obligated to pay to Johns Hopkins University pursuant to our assignment agreement related to PRT-201;
- the costs of preparing, filing and prosecuting patent applications, maintaining and protecting our intellectual property rights and defending against intellectual property related claims; and
- the extent to which we in-license or acquire other products and technologies.

Cash Flows

The following table summarizes our sources and uses of cash:

	Years Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2013	2013	2014
	(in thousands)			
Net cash used in operating activities	\$ (8,234)	\$ (6,657)	\$ (3,386)	\$ (4,234)
Net cash provided by investing activities	7,382	2,727	4,294	(14,476)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(9)	4,314	—	24,563
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	<u>\$ (861)</u>	<u>\$ 384</u>	<u>\$ 908</u>	<u>\$ 5,853</u>

Comparison of the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2014

Net cash used in operating activities was \$3.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$4.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2014. The increase of \$0.8 million in cash used in operating activities in the first six months of 2014 was primarily driven by an increase in our operating expenses of \$1.0 million, offset by a decrease in working capital balances and an increase in non-cash operating expenses of \$0.2 million as compared to the first six months of 2013.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$4.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to a use of cash of \$14.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2014. The increase in cash used in investing activities of \$18.8 million in the first six months of 2014 was driven by an increase in the purchases of available for sale investments of \$15.3 million combined with a decrease in maturities of short term investments of \$3.5 million compared to the first six months of 2013.

There was no net cash provided by financing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$24.6 million during the first six months of 2014. This increase was a result of the Series D convertible preferred stock issuance in May 2014.

Comparison of the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2013

Net cash used in operating activities was \$8.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$6.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease of \$1.6 million in cash used in operating activities was primarily driven by a \$0.9 million decrease in our operating expenses and the

\$0.7 million increase in the non-cash adjustment for the accretion of the debt discount and the debt issuance cost provided by convertible notes payable.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$7.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to net cash provided of \$2.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease of \$4.7 million in cash provided by investing activities was driven by a decrease in net proceeds from maturities of available for sale short term investments of \$9.5 million offset by a decrease in purchases of available for sale short term investments of \$4.8 million compared to the prior year.

Net cash provided by financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2012 was immaterial. Net cash provided by financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2013 of approximately \$4.3 million was attributable to our September 2013 convertible promissory note financing.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We did not have during the periods presented, and we do not currently have, any off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined under the applicable regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC.

Net Operating Loss Carryforwards

As of December 31, 2013, we had federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$69.9 million and \$45.4 million, respectively, to offset future federal and state taxable income, which will expire at various times between 2014 and 2033. We also had federal and state research and development tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$2.0 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, to offset future income taxes, which will expire at various times between 2022 and 2033. Lastly, as of December 31, 2013, we had federal Orphan Drug tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$7.2 million, to offset future income taxes, which will expire at various times between 2029 and 2033. Net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards are subject to review and possible adjustment by the Internal Revenue Service and state tax authorities and may become subject to an annual limitation in the event of certain cumulative changes in the ownership interest of significant stockholders over a three-year period in excess of 50%, as defined under Sections 382 and 383 of the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, as well as similar state provisions. This could limit the amount of tax attributes that can be utilized annually to offset future taxable income or tax liabilities. The amount of the annual limitation is determined based on the value of our company immediately prior to the ownership change. Subsequent ownership changes may further affect the limitation in future years. On December 31, 2013, we recorded a 100% valuation allowance against our net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards, as we believe it is more likely than not that the tax benefits will not be fully realized. In the future, if we determine that a portion or all of the tax benefits associated with our tax carryforwards will be realized, net income would increase in the period of such determination.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our outstanding contractual obligations as of payment due date by period at December 31, 2013:

	Total	Less than 1 Year	1 to 3 Years (in thousands)	3 to 5 Years	More than 5 Years
Convertible promissory notes(1)	\$ 4,452	\$ 4,452	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Operating leases(2)	188	188	—	—	—
Total obligations	\$ 4,640	\$ 4,640	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

- (1) The convertible promissory notes represent the aggregate \$4.3 million principal amount of convertible notes issued in September of 2013 plus accrued interest totaling \$0.1 million. The convertible notes were converted into Series D preferred stock in May 2014.
- (2) In July 2009 we entered into a multi-year non-cancelable lease for our offices in Waltham, Massachusetts. In October 2011, we amended the lease extending its expiration to December 2014. In August 2014 we amended the lease extending its expiration to June 2018 with one optional one-year extension period. The minimum lease payments above do not include common area maintenance charges or real estate taxes.

The contractual obligations table does not include any potential future royalty payments we may be required to make under our license assignment with Johns Hopkins University, due to the uncertainty of the occurrence of the events requiring payment under that agreement.

We enter into contracts in the normal course of business with CROs and clinical sites for the conduct of clinical trials, professional consultants for expert advice and other vendors for clinical supply manufacturing or other services. These contracts are not included in the table above as they provide for termination on notice, and therefore are cancelable contracts and do not include any minimum purchase commitments.

Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The market risk inherent in our financial instruments and in our financial position represents the potential loss arising from adverse changes in interest rates. As of June 30, 2014, we had cash equivalents of \$8.6 million consisting primarily of investments in U.S. Treasuries and certificates of deposit. Our primary exposure to market risk is interest rate sensitivity, which is affected by changes in the general level of U.S. interest rates, particularly because our investments are in short-term marketable securities. Our marketable securities are subject to interest rate risk and could fall in value if market interest rates increase. Due to the short-term duration of our investment portfolio and the low risk profile of our investments, an immediate 10% change in interest rates would not have a material effect on the fair market value of our investment portfolio. We have the ability to hold our marketable securities until maturity, and therefore we would not expect our operating results or cash flows to be affected to any significant degree by the effect of a change in market interest rates on our investments.

We contract with CROs and contract manufacturers internationally. Transactions with these providers are predominantly settled in U.S. dollars and, therefore, we believe that we have only minimal exposure to foreign currency exchange risks. We do not hedge against foreign currency risks.

The JOBS Act

In April 2012, the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012, or the JOBS Act, was enacted. Section 107 of the JOBS Act provides that an "emerging growth company," or EGC, can take advantage of the extended transition period provided in Section 7(a)(2)(B) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, for complying with new or revised accounting standards. As a result, an EGC can delay the adoption of certain accounting standards until those standards would otherwise apply to private companies. We have irrevocably elected not to avail ourselves of this extended transition period and, as a result, we will adopt new or revised accounting standards on the relevant dates on which adoption of such standards is required for other public companies.

BUSINESS

Overview

We are a late-stage biopharmaceutical company focused on the development of novel, first-in-class pharmaceuticals to address the needs of patients with renal and vascular disease. Our product candidate, PRT-201, is a recombinant human elastase that we are developing to reduce vascular access failure in patients with chronic kidney disease undergoing or planning for hemodialysis, a lifesaving treatment that cannot be conducted without a functioning vascular access. We believe the data from our completed Phase 2 trial of PRT-201 in patients undergoing creation of an arteriovenous fistula, or AVF, support that a one-time, local application of PRT-201 during AVF surgical placement reduces AVF failure, thereby improving patient outcomes and reducing the burden on patients and the healthcare system. We are not aware of any approved preventative treatments to reduce the failure rate of AVFs. We initiated the first of two Phase 3 trials for PRT-201 in radiocephalic AVFs, our initial indication, in the third quarter of 2014 and expect to initiate the second Phase 3 trial in the first half of 2015.

The need to improve vascular access outcomes is well established in the hemodialysis community. A 2014 publication estimated the total cost of managing hemodialysis vascular access dysfunction in the United States to be approximately \$2.9 billion annually. AVFs are the gold standard of vascular access for hemodialysis, given they are associated with fewer complications and reduced rates of hospitalization than other forms of vascular access. We estimate there are approximately 130,000 AVFs created in the United States annually, a procedure in which a surgeon transects a vein and sutures it to the side of a nearby artery, typically in the arm. However AVFs have a greater than 50% failure rate in their first year after placement, resulting in frequent surgical or interventional procedures and a high rate of abandonment, leading to increased morbidity, mortality and costs of care. Function can usually be restored via additional procedures, either an intervention such as angioplasty, which is dilation of a blood vessel with a balloon, or a surgical revision. However, these procedures are costly, invasive, painful, associated with a number of complications and often need to be repeated. AVF patients in the United States on average require greater than 1.5 procedures per year, each of which typically costs Medicare between \$5,000 and \$13,000.

We demonstrated that PRT-201 generates fragments of elastin, a protein present in blood vessels, and we believe the fragments of elastin inhibit formation of neointimal hyperplasia, which is the growth of tissue inside vessels that narrows AVFs and reduces blood flow. During the AVF placement surgery, the surgeon administers drops of PRT-201 onto the surface of the artery and vein of the AVF for 10 minutes followed by a saline irrigation. We believe that a one-time, local application of PRT-201 to the external surface of the vessels during AVF surgical placement can modify the injury response, or scarring, resulting from surgery and thereby reduce the severity of neointimal hyperplasia and AVF failure following surgery.

We have completed a multicenter, randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase 2 trial of PRT-201 in 151 patients undergoing surgical creation of AVFs in the wrist, known as radiocephalic AVF, or upper arm, known as brachiocephalic AVF. The primary efficacy endpoint was primary unassisted patency, defined as the time from surgical creation of the AVF to occurrence of a thrombosis or an intervention such as angioplasty, to restore or maintain patency, or functionality. Both the 10 microgram and 30 microgram doses of PRT-201 showed a trend toward efficacy on the primary endpoint, although neither dose met the primary endpoint with statistical significance. For all AVFs, median patency, the time at which 50% of patients in a group lost primary unassisted patency, was 224 days in the placebo group and greater than 365 days in each of the PRT-201 treatment groups, indicating that PRT-201 prolonged primary unassisted patency. In the trial, patients treated with PRT-201 reported adverse events comparable to placebo. These events were consistent with the medical events experienced by chronic kidney disease patients undergoing AVF placement surgery.

An analysis of the primary endpoint data revealed an uneven distribution in patency loss events in patients with a brachiocephalic AVF due to central stenosis in the shoulder and chest, remote from the site of an AVF. Central stenoses commonly exist prior to AVF placement and are unmasked following placement of brachiocephalic AVFs, which have higher blood flow than radiocephalic AVFs. These

stenoses are unrelated to treatment with PRT-201. To correct for this uneven distribution, we conducted a non-prespecified analysis of the primary endpoint that excluded patency loss events due to central stenoses. This analysis demonstrated a significant reduction in the risk of primary unassisted patency loss in the 30 microgram PRT-201 dose group ($p=0.04$) compared to placebo.

The benefit of PRT-201 on primary unassisted patency was most pronounced in the subset of patients undergoing placement of a radiocephalic AVF. The subset analysis of this endpoint for radiocephalic AVF patients receiving the 30 microgram dose, which was not prespecified, showed a significant increase in median primary unassisted patency of >365 days as compared to 125 days in the placebo group. In addition, we observed beneficial drug effects on additional efficacy endpoints, including unassisted maturation, defined as increased vessel diameter and blood flow without the need for an intervention such as angioplasty; rate of procedures to restore or maintain AVF patency; secondary patency, defined as abandonment of the AVF and the need for creation of a new vascular access; use for hemodialysis and hemodynamically significant stenosis, or narrowing of blood vessels.

In April 2013, we held an end of Phase 2 meeting with the United States Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, during which we confirmed elements of our Phase 3 development plan, including the primary endpoint. We plan to perform two 300-patient Phase 3 trials of PRT-201 using a 30 microgram dose, which will enroll patients undergoing a surgical procedure to create a radiocephalic AVF. We began enrolling patients in our first Phase 3 clinical trial in the third quarter of 2014, and anticipate that results will be available in the first quarter of 2017. We expect to initiate our second Phase 3 clinical trial in the first half of 2015. In May 2014, following the results from our Phase 2 trial and to fund our first Phase 3 trial, we closed on the \$25.0 million first tranche of a \$45.0 million total financing. The financing was led by Abingworth, Deerfield and Pharmstandard and included investments from our existing venture investors. While the FDA offered no assurances that it will not require us to conduct any additional clinical studies, we believe we will not need to conduct any additional clinical studies after our Phase 3 trials. Further, if the results of the first Phase 3 trial are sufficiently compelling, we intend to meet with the FDA to discuss the possibility of submitting a Biologics License Application, or BLA, supported by the single Phase 3 trial and may decide to submit a BLA to the FDA prior to completing the second Phase 3 trial. PRT-201 has received fast track designation which is designed to facilitate the development and expedite the review of drugs to treat serious conditions and fill an unmet medical need, from the FDA and orphan drug designation in the United States and European Union, for hemodialysis vascular access indications.

We believe that if our Phase 3 clinical program is successful PRT-201 will potentially become the standard of care for patients with chronic kidney disease who are undergoing surgical placement of a radiocephalic AVF. We retain worldwide commercial rights to PRT-201. If approved by regulatory authorities, we intend to commercialize this product in the United States ourselves with a specialty hospital sales force, focused primarily on vascular surgeons, and intend to seek one or more collaborators to commercialize the product in additional markets. Our patents include claims covering formulations, methods of manufacturing and use of elastases, providing protection in the United States through mid 2029 and European Union through 2028, with potential extension through 2032 in the United States and the European Union.

Our Strengths

We believe our company and PRT-201 possess the following attributes that increase the likelihood that we will be successful in developing and commercializing PRT-201:

- *Entering Phase 3 trials for radiocephalic AVF placement.* We are conducting our first Phase 3 clinical trial and plan to conduct our second Phase 3 clinical trial in radiocephalic AVF placement using a 30 microgram dose of PRT-201, the population and dose in which, in a non-prespecified analysis, we observed an improvement in primary unassisted patency with PRT-201 in our Phase 2 trial.
- *Phase 3 endpoints same as our Phase 2 trial.* The primary endpoint in our Phase 3 trials, primary unassisted patency, will be the same as we used in our Phase 2 trial. In addition, our secondary

endpoint (secondary patency) and tertiary endpoints (unassisted maturation, use for hemodialysis and average procedure rates) in our Phase 3 trials were all endpoints in our Phase 2 trial. In April 2013, we held an end of Phase 2 meeting with the FDA during which we confirmed elements of our Phase 3 development plan, including the primary endpoint.

- *Safety profile supports approval.* Based on results from our clinical trials and preclinical studies, we believe PRT-201, which is administered once and only acts locally, has demonstrated a safety profile that will support approval if our planned Phase 3 clinical program is successful. Because PRT-201 is administered in a one-time, local application and is inactivated by antiproteases, substances that inhibit the activity of a protease, in the blood, there is no systemic activity. In clinical trials assessing safety, there were no material increases in adverse events in the PRT-201 treatment groups as compared to placebo and no material findings related to physical examinations or clinical laboratory testing including chemistry, hematology and coagulation panels or antibodies to PRT-201. At our end of Phase 2 meeting with the FDA, we confirmed that we do not need to conduct any additional preclinical studies to support a BLA filing.
- *Unmet medical need.* While AVFs are considered the most desirable form of vascular access by the medical community, they are also associated with high failure rates, a serious complication for hemodialysis patients that results in substantially higher healthcare costs. A 2014 publication estimated the total cost of managing hemodialysis vascular access dysfunction in the United States to be approximately \$2.9 billion annually. We are not aware of any approved preventative treatments to reduce AVF failure rate. PRT-201 has received fast track designation from the FDA, which is designed to facilitate the development and expedite the review of drugs to treat serious conditions and fill an unmet medical need. We believe PRT-201 reduces vascular access failure in patients with chronic kidney disease, or CKD, undergoing hemodialysis and, if approved, could become the standard of care by reducing the cycle of interventions, improving patient outcomes and reducing the overall burden on patients and the healthcare system.
- *Substantial and readily-addressable market opportunity.* If PRT-201 is approved, we intend to commercialize this product in the United States and potentially certain European countries ourselves with a specialty hospital sales force, focused primarily on vascular surgeons, and intend to seek one or more collaborators to commercialize the product in additional markets. We estimate a sales force of approximately 75-100 representatives will enable us to call on the approximately 1,300 hospitals that account for more than 90% of the AVF surgical placements performed in the United States annually. We believe PRT-201 will be supported by key stakeholders, including referring nephrologists, patient advocacy groups, large dialysis organizations and payors. We believe PRT-201 will be reimbursed adequately as costs related to AVF surgical placement, which is typically performed in the hospital outpatient setting, are not included in the ESRD bundle, the single bundled payment from Medicare for a number of the costs of hemodialysis treatments, medications, labs and supplies for patients with end stage renal disease.
- *Experienced team.* Our executive management team has extensive experience in the renal and vascular disease fields through their substantial involvement in companies such as Abbott, GelTex, Genzyme, Glaxo, and Merck. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Medical Officer were senior executives at GelTex, a biopharmaceutical company, where they played leading roles in the development and commercialization of Renagel, a treatment for hemodialysis patients that led to Genzyme's acquisition of GelTex for more than \$1 billion.

Our Strategy

Our strategy is to develop and commercialize PRT-201 for patients suffering from renal and vascular diseases, beginning with patients with CKD undergoing surgical creation of a radiocephalic AVF. Key elements of our strategy include our plans to:

- *Complete clinical development of PRT-201 and seek regulatory approval in the United States in its lead indication.* We commenced our first Phase 3 clinical trial of PRT-201 for patients with CKD

undergoing creation of a radiocephalic AVF in the third quarter of 2014. Prior to completing enrollment in the first Phase 3 trial, we will initiate our second Phase 3 trial in the first half of 2015. If the results of the first Phase 3 trial are sufficiently compelling, we intend to meet with the FDA to discuss the possibility of submitting a BLA supported by the single Phase 3 trial and may decide to submit a BLA to the FDA prior to completing the second Phase 3 trial.

- *Commercialize PRT-201 directly in the United States.* If PRT-201 is approved by the FDA, we intend to commercialize it ourselves in the United States with a specialty hospital sales force focused primarily on vascular surgeons. There are approximately 2,800 vascular surgeons in the United States. In 2011, according to the U.S. Renal Data System 2013 Annual Data Report, there were approximately 395,000 hemodialysis patients in the United States at the end of the year. Based on various third-party sources, we estimate that approximately 130,000 AVFs are placed annually. We believe a specialty hospital sales force of approximately 75-100 representatives will enable us to call on the approximately 1,300 hospitals that account for more than 90% of the AVF surgical placements performed in the United States annually. We believe that PRT-201's potential benefits to patients undergoing surgical creation of an AVF will result in its broad adoption.
- *Undertake clinical development of PRT-201 in Europe and establish partnerships for commercialization of PRT-201 in all or parts of Europe.* We are currently evaluating our existing clinical program to support filing in Europe. We may, based on additional data including the data from our Phase 3 clinical trials in the United States and if sufficient funds become available, choose to conduct a clinical trial of PRT-201 in Europe. We estimate that there are approximately 316,000 hemodialysis patients in Europe. Prior to enrolling our first patient in Europe, we plan to formally seek guidance from the European Medicines Agency, or EMA, regarding its requirements for regulatory approval. We expect results from this trial to be available two to three years after the first patient is enrolled. If this European trial successfully meets its primary endpoint and depending on the guidance obtained from the EMA, we would expect to submit a Marketing Authorization Application, or MAA. If PRT-201 is approved by the EMA, we intend to commercialize it in some European countries with our own specialty hospital sales force and/or with a commercial partner in the other European countries. Like in the United States, we intend to target both vascular surgeons who create AVFs as well as key referring nephrologists.
- *Pursue additional indications for PRT-201.* We believe that our Phase 2 clinical data support further development of PRT-201 in brachiocephalic AVF placement. We may, based on additional data including the data from our Phase 3 clinical trials and if sufficient funds become available, study the effects of a 30 microgram dose of PRT-201 versus placebo on brachiocephalic AVFs. If this trial successfully meets its primary endpoint, we would expect to submit a supplemental BLA, or sBLA, to the FDA and a supplemental MAA, or sMAA, to the EMA. Further, if sufficient funds become available and after reviewing the results from our Phase 3 clinical trials, we may commence a clinical trial of PRT-201 in patients undergoing placement of an arteriovenous graft, or AVG. We believe PRT-201's potential to reduce neointimal hyperplasia could offer a significant medical benefit in these patients.
- *Establish partnerships for development and commercialization of PRT-201 in Japan and other Asian countries.* We estimate that there are approximately 295,000 patients on hemodialysis in Japan and more than 750,000 throughout all of Asia. Approximately 90% of Japanese hemodialysis patients receive AVFs. We may enter into collaborations for the development and commercialization of PRT-201 in Asia.
- *In-license or acquire additional product opportunities.* We plan to search for additional product opportunities that could be sold and marketed by the specialty hospital sales force required to successfully launch PRT-201 in the United States if it is approved for marketing.

Background on Hemodialysis

Healthy kidneys serve many functions, including removing waste and excess water, helping to control blood pressure and keeping electrolytes, such as sodium and potassium, in balance. Patients with CKD,

have lost most or all kidney function, most commonly due to diabetes or hypertension. Kidney disease is progressive and once a patient has reached end-stage CKD, the kidneys are no longer able to remove waste and fluids from the body. At this point, some form of renal replacement therapy is required, such as hemodialysis, in which blood is processed by a hemodialysis machine, peritoneal dialysis, a process using a cavity in the abdomen called the peritoneum as a membrane across which fluids are exchanged from the blood, or kidney transplant.

According to the U.S. Renal Data System 2013 Annual Data Report, in 2011 there were approximately 395,000 hemodialysis patients in the United States, and an incremental 104,000 patients initiated hemodialysis in the United States. As reported by Fresenius Medical, a major provider of hemodialysis services and renal care products, there are approximately 316,000 hemodialysis patients in Europe, 295,000 hemodialysis patients in Japan and 2 million hemodialysis patients worldwide, with an annual worldwide growth rate of 6-7%.

Hemodialysis is the most common form of treatment for end-stage CKD. Hemodialysis is a chronic therapy performed by cannulating, or piercing, a vein with a large bore needle so that blood can be pumped through a hemodialysis machine, which removes waste and excess fluid normally excreted by the kidney. The cleansed blood is then returned to the same vein via a second needle. A hemodialysis session typically lasts three to four hours and is performed three times a week in an outpatient dialysis clinic.

To enable sufficient blood to pass through the hemodialysis machine to complete treatment within four hours, a vein must have blood flow of at least 500 milliliters per minute. The arm is the most convenient location for accessing the blood stream on a recurring basis, but blood flow in the arm is approximately 50 milliliters per minute. Therefore, most hemodialysis patients undergo a surgical procedure in which a surgeon establishes a direct connection between an artery and a vein to create a high flow circuit of sufficient diameter, most often in an arm. The direct artery-vein connection effectively bypasses the capillary circulation in the hand and leads to a process known as maturation, where the internal diameter, or lumen, of the vein and blood flow increase over a period of weeks, resulting in a lumen diameter greater than 4 millimeters and blood flow of 500-2,000 milliliters per minute in successful cases.

The gold standard for vascular access is an AVF, in which a surgeon transects a vein in the arm and sutures it to the side of a nearby artery. AVFs are preferred because they are less prone to patency loss than arteriovenous grafts, or AVGs; approximately 50% of AVFs and up to 75% of AVGs will lose primary patency and 20-30% of AVFs and 28-35% of AVGs will lose secondary patency in the first year after surgical placement. As compared to AVGs, AVFs require approximately 40% fewer interventional or surgical procedures and suffer from a rate of vascular access infection that is 54% lower. Patients dialyzing with an AVF have lower rates of thrombosis and hospitalization, longer survival, reduced mortality and lower cost of care. Beyond the substantial medical advantages of an AVF, available data from the U.S. Renal Data System show that patients who dialyze with an AVF cost Medicare approximately \$15,000 less annually than patients who dialyze with an AVG and approximately \$25,000 less annually than patients who dialyze with a catheter. According to published data, approximately 60% of hemodialysis patients in the United States dialyze with an AVF compared to 67-83% of patients in the major European countries and approximately 90% of patients in Japan.

Based on various third-party sources, we estimate there are approximately 130,000 AVFs created in the United States annually. There are a limited number of potential artery-vein combinations in the arm that can be used to create an AVF, principally the following:

- radiocephalic AVF at the wrist (radial artery sutured to cephalic vein), which we estimate is created in 40% of new AVF placements;
- brachiocephalic AVF at the elbow (brachial artery sutured to cephalic vein), which we estimate is created in 50% of new AVF placements; and
- brachiobasilic AVF in the upper arm (brachial artery sutured to basilic vein), which we estimate is created in 10% of new AVF placements.

The medical community endorses radiocephalic AVFs as the optimal form of vascular access and the recommended first choice for new hemodialysis patients. Creating the vascular access site at the wrist preserves the potential future use of other access further up in the arm, is simpler to create, and is less likely to create heart failure or steal syndrome, where the diversion of flow through the AVF reduces blood to the hand. Radiocephalic AVFs are also less likely to suffer from central stenoses in the shoulder and chest, remote from the site of the AVF. The Kidney Disease Outcome Quality Initiative Guidelines, or KDOQI Guidelines, authored by the National Kidney Foundation, or NKF, specifically recommend starting with a radiocephalic AVF if possible, stating that "starting [closer to the hand] and moving [further up the arm] provides for the possibility of preserving as many potential sites as possible for future access creation." If a radiocephalic AVF must be abandoned, a surgeon can create a new vascular access higher up the arm, most likely a brachiocephalic AVF. However, if a brachiocephalic AVF is placed first, the surgeon cannot later move down that same arm to create a radiocephalic AVF because the cephalic vein has already been transected for use in the brachiocephalic AVF.

Radiocephalic (wrist) AVFs suffer from high rates of patency loss and maturation failure, with up to 70% being subject to primary unassisted patency loss and up to 35% being abandoned within twelve months after their surgical placement. Patency loss in radiocephalic AVFs occurs due to stenosis formation at or near the AVF 75% - 95% of the time. Some patients never receive a radiocephalic AVF because the surgeon believes the risk of failure is too high for those patients. These patients will typically undergo placement of an AVF higher up on the arm and permanently lose at least one of their access sites. We believe that the number of radiocephalic AVFs created annually may rise significantly if PRT-201 improves outcomes and allows vascular surgeons to create radiocephalic AVFs in sites that they previously considered to pose an unacceptably high risk of failure.

The second choice for vascular access after AVF is an AVG in which a surgeon connects an artery and vein using a synthetic tube. Based on reported data, approximately 20% of hemodialysis patients in the United States dialyze with an AVG, compared to approximately 5-12% of patients in the major European countries and approximately 7% of patients in Japan.

The least desirable type of vascular access is a catheter, a plastic tube that is placed directly through the skin into a vein, typically via an incision in the neck enabling placement of the catheter into a large vein that leads directly to the heart. The catheter connects the patient's vasculature to the hemodialysis machine. Because the catheter penetrates the skin continuously, it is subject to a high risk of infection and increased mortality. One of the primary goals of hemodialysis care is to keep patients off catheters. However, patients most often initiate hemodialysis through a catheter until an AVG or AVF is ready to be used, and are dialyzed temporarily through a catheter when the AVF or AVG they have been using fails and a new one has to be created. Approximately 20% of hemodialysis patients in the United States dialyze with a catheter, compared to 10-28% of patients in the major European countries and 2% of patients in Japan, based on published data.

Established Medical Need

The need to improve vascular access outcomes is well established in the hemodialysis community. The health-related and economic cost of creating and maintaining vascular access for hemodialysis has led to a global effort to address the problem. Over the last ten years, the NKF has established guidelines in an effort to increase the use of AVFs while reducing the rate of complications, mostly through the identification and promulgation of best practices. The National Institutes of Health joined the effort in 2000 with the creation of a multi-center consortium of medical centers, the Dialysis Access Clinical Trials Consortium to coordinate the testing of new treatments designed to improve AVF and AVG outcomes. The intensity of these efforts increased markedly in 2004, when the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, reacting to health and economic data, announced the "Fistula First" initiative to increase the use of AVFs while reducing complications. According to Fistula First, AVFs should be considered for every patient needing hemodialysis because AVFs last longer than AVGs, require fewer surgical and endovascular interventions, are associated with lower rates of infection, hospitalization and

death, and are less costly. As a result of these efforts, AVF use has approximately doubled since 2004 to 60% of United States hemodialysis patients.

A major problem with AVFs and AVGs is patency loss, in which the access experiences either a significant or complete reduction in blood flow, precluding hemodialysis and placing the access at risk of abandonment. However, the increased use of AVFs has led to a concurrent increase in AVF patency loss as AVFs are placed in patients with higher risks of AVF failure, such as the elderly, diabetics or patients with smaller blood vessels. Additionally, physicians have become more aggressive in monitoring and intervening earlier upon AVFs in an attempt to treat patency loss before it results in abandonment of that access site. These factors have resulted in an approximate doubling in the rate of AVF interventions in less than a decade.

We are not aware of any approved preventative measures to reduce the rate of vascular access patency loss, and the clinical implications of patency loss are severe. An episode of patency loss must be addressed urgently to restore blood flow, enable the patient to resume hemodialysis and avoid access abandonment. Treatment of patency loss typically involves an outpatient procedure, either an endovascular intervention, such as balloon angioplasty, stenting or thrombectomy, or a surgical revision.

Procedures to address patency loss are invasive, painful, and associated with a number of complications, and there are a number of problems associated with them:

- *The procedures are not always successful in restoring patency.* Procedures to address AVF patency loss are unsuccessful up to 27% of the time. When these procedures are unsuccessful or the physician determines that a procedure to restore patency is futile, the access site must be abandoned, resulting in the urgent need for catheter placement to enable hemodialysis. Recent data indicate that hemodialysis patients who switch from a permanent vascular access to a catheter have a mortality rate that is double those who remain on a permanent access. Access abandonment also results in surgical placement of a new AVF or AVG, reducing the number of future access sites available to the patient.
- *The procedures often fail to provide a durable benefit, resulting in a cycle of interventions for the patient.* Recent data indicate that 50% of AVFs that undergo angioplasty to treat patency loss experience another episode of patency loss within 12 months, resulting in the need for additional procedures to restore patency. AVF patients in the United States on average require greater than 1.5 procedures per year, each of which typically costs Medicare between \$5,000 and \$13,000. A United States hospital recently published data indicating that maintaining a radiocephalic AVF can cost on average more than \$17,000 in the first year after surgical placement. A 2014 publication estimated the total cost of managing vascular access dysfunction in the United States to be approximately \$2.9 billion annually.

AVFs and AVGs are also prone to secondary patency loss, in which the access must be abandoned. Patients on hemodialysis must dialyze with a catheter until a new permanent access can be surgically placed and becomes usable for hemodialysis, a process that typically requires a minimum of three months for AVFs. During this time, patients are at a heightened risk of serious infection, hospitalization and death. According to the U.S. Renal Data System, in 2011 hemodialysis patients averaged approximately 12 hospital days per year.


PRT-201

PRT-201 is a recombinant human elastase under development as a treatment to prevent AVF and AVG patency loss. We initiated the first of two Phase 3 trials for PRT-201 in radiocephalic AVF, our lead indication, in the third quarter of 2014 and expect to initiate the second Phase 3 trial in the first half of 2015.

Mechanism of Action

AVF patency loss occurs most commonly due to progressive scarring in the wall of the outflow vein near the lumen, resulting in stenosis of the lumen of the vein and obstruction of blood flow in the AVF. This form of vascular scarring is commonly known as neointimal hyperplasia. When surgeons create an AVF they handle and manipulate blood vessels resulting in mechanical vessel injury. Furthermore, after AVF creation the rapid flow of blood from the artery into the outflow vein results in unnatural physiologic changes and mechanical stresses in the vein wall. The response of the vein to this injury and stress results in activation and recruitment of scar forming cells, which multiply and migrate from the outside wall to the inside wall of the blood vessel and produce a thick layer of tissue, creating a narrowing in the vein lumen and a reduction in AVF blood flow. This blood vessel response to injury occurs during the first two to three weeks following vascular surgery and is shown in the following figure.


Vessel Injury During AVF and AVG Surgical Placement Results in Stenosis Formation



We demonstrated that PRT-201 fragments elastin, a protein present in blood vessel walls. The fragmentation of elastin in the outside wall of the blood vessel is thought to inhibit formation of neointimal hyperplasia thereby reducing the risk of patency loss. Elastase causes localized fragmentation of elastin protein fibers present in blood vessel walls. The elastin fragments generated by elastase are chemoattractants for scar forming cells, meaning that the fragments attract these scar forming cells, inhibiting their migration to the lumen. The cells recognize the elastin fragments via receptors present on the cell surface that bind to specific elastin fragment sub-types. The importance of elastin fragments in vascular biology, including the response to vascular injury has been established in the scientific literature over three decades. Published academic studies conducted in animals provide evidence that fragmentation of elastin in the outer wall of the blood vessels from administration of elastase after vascular injury resulted in a 38-42% reduction in neointimal hyperplasia at 28 days following the surgical procedure. Based on our preclinical *in vivo* and *ex vivo* studies in human vessels, applying PRT-201 to the external surface of the blood vessels generates localized elastin fragments in the outside wall of injured blood vessels. We have established this effect in the doses we plan to advance in our clinical trials. We believe that a one-time, local application of a 30 microgram dose of PRT-201 to the external surface of the vessels during AVF surgical placement can reduce the vascular scarring on the inside of the vessel wall resulting from surgery and thereby reduce the severity of neointimal hyperplasia and the risk of AVF failure. During the AVF placement surgery, the surgeon administers drops of PRT-201 onto the surface of the artery and vein at the

AVF for 10 minutes followed by a saline irrigation. We believe the elastin fragments that are generated by PRT-201 attract scar forming cells to the outside wall of the injured vessel, reducing their movement to the inside wall of the vessel, thereby inhibiting lumen stenosis. This mechanism is portrayed in the following figure:

PRT-201 Treatment Inhibits Stenosis Formation



This injury response and the role of elastase-generated fragments are operative in other cardiovascular surgeries, such as bypass, and interventional procedures, such as angioplasty.

Clinical Development of PRT-201

Our Phase 2 AVF Clinical Trial


We completed a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase 2 trial of PRT-201 in AVF that treated 151 patients with CKD undergoing creation of a radiocephalic AVF (n=67) or brachiocephalic AVF (n=84). Patients were treated with PRT-201 at doses of 10 or 30 micrograms or placebo at the time of AVF placement and were followed for up to 12 months.

Primary endpoint

The primary efficacy endpoint was primary unassisted patency over 12 months. Primary unassisted patency was defined as the time from access creation until the first occurrence of either AVF thrombosis or a procedure, such as balloon angioplasty, to restore or maintain patency.

Both doses of PRT-201 showed a trend toward efficacy, although neither dose met the primary endpoint with statistical significance. Median patency, the time at which 50% of patients in a group lost primary unassisted patency, was 224 days in the placebo group and greater than 365 days in each of the PRT-201 treatment groups indicating patency in the PRT-201 treatment groups was prolonged by PRT-201. Treatment with PRT-201 at 10 and 30 microgram doses was associated with a reduction of 31% and 33%, respectively, in the risk of primary unassisted patency loss. After adjusting for differences in baseline characteristics associated with the risk of primary unassisted patency loss, treatment with PRT-201 at 10 and 30 microgram doses was associated with a reduction of 24% and 41%, respectively, in the risk of primary unassisted patency loss. The following Kaplan-Meier curves and table display primary unassisted patency for all AVFs.

Primary Unassisted Patency—All AVFs



Note: Prespecified analysis.

The table below shows the primary unassisted patency data in the placebo and PRT-201 treatment groups.

Reduction in Risk of Primary Unassisted Patency Loss vs. Placebo—All AVFs

	PRT-201 10 microgram dose	PRT-201 30 microgram dose
Number of Patients	N=51	N=49
Unadjusted Risk vs. Placebo	-31% (p=0.19)	-33% (p=0.17)
Adjusted Risk(1) vs. Placebo	-24% (p=0.35)	-41% (p=0.10)


Note: Prespecified analysis.

- (1) Adjusted for differences in baseline characteristics associated with the risk of primary unassisted patency loss between treatment groups using a prespecified Cox regression analysis.

Patients completing 12 months of follow-up in the initial trial were followed in a registry to obtain additional data related to the efficacy endpoints. In this follow-up, the PRT-201 benefit on primary unassisted patency persisted out to 900 days.

Radiocephalic AVFs. The benefit of PRT-201 on primary unassisted patency was more pronounced in the subset of patients undergoing placement of a radiocephalic AVF than in the subset of patients undergoing placement of a brachiocephalic AVF or all patients undergoing placement of an AVF. The subset analysis of this endpoint was not prespecified. The following Kaplan-Meier curves and table summarize the reduction in risk of primary unassisted patency loss in the subset of patients with radiocephalic AVFs. Treatment with PRT-201 at doses of 10 and 30 micrograms was associated with a reduction of 41% and 63%, respectively, in the risk of primary unassisted patency loss. Median patency was 125 days in the placebo group and 377 days in the 30 microgram group (in some cases the 12 month follow up occurred after day 365 due to patient schedules), indicating a significant improvement in primary unassisted patency.

Primary Unassisted Patency—Radiocephalic AVFs



Note: Not prespecified analysis.

Reduction in Risk of Primary Unassisted Patency Loss vs. Placebo—Radiocephalic AVFs

	PRT-201 10 micrograms	PRT-201 30 micrograms
Number of Patients	N=23	N=20
Unadjusted Risk vs. Placebo	-41% (p=0.18)	-63% (p=0.02)
Adjusted Risk(1) vs. Placebo	-40% (p=0.20)	-61% (p=0.04)

Note: Not prespecified analysis.

- (1) Adjusted for differences in baseline characteristics associated with the risk of primary unassisted patency loss between treatment groups using a prespecified Cox regression analysis.

Brachiocephalic AVFs. The benefit of PRT-201 on primary unassisted patency was less pronounced in the subset of patients undergoing placement of a brachiocephalic AVF. This was in part due to an uneven distribution between brachiocephalic AVF groups in the number of patency loss events occurring in the central veins and cephalic arch, also known as central stenosis, which are remote from the site of the AVF. Patency loss in brachiocephalic AVFs occurs due to central stenosis 50% of the time. Central stenoses commonly exist prior to surgery due to the venous anatomy or scarring from a prior hemodialysis catheter, but are typically unmasked following placement of the higher blood flow brachiocephalic AVFs. Since PRT-201 is active locally at the site where it is applied on the AVF, and because we have demonstrated that PRT-201 is not active remotely, we believe that central stenoses are unrelated to PRT-201. Therefore, to correct for this uneven distribution, we conducted a non-prespecified analysis of the primary endpoint in brachiocephalic AVFs which excluded patency loss events due to central stenoses. The following table summarizes the risk of primary unassisted patency loss in brachiocephalic AVFs including and then excluding patency loss events related to central stenoses.


Reduction in Risk of Primary Unassisted Patency Loss vs. Placebo—Brachiocephalic AVFs

	PRT-201 10 micrograms	PRT-201 30 micrograms
Number of Patients	N=28	N=29
Unadjusted Risk vs. Placebo	-14% (p=0.72)	+10% (p=0.82)
Unadjusted Risk vs. Placebo Excluding Central Stenoses	-12% (p=0.76)	-26% (p=0.46)

Note: Not prespecified analysis.

We also conducted a non-prespecified analysis across all patients of the primary endpoint correcting for this uneven distribution in central stenoses. The following Kaplan-Meier curves for primary unassisted patency for all AVFs (excluding central stenoses) and table demonstrate a significant reduction in the risk of primary unassisted patency loss for the 30 microgram dose (p=0.04, for the 30 microgram dose) versus placebo. Treatment with PRT-201 at doses of 10 and 30 micrograms was associated with a reduction of 31% and 48%, respectively, in the risk of primary unassisted patency loss. After adjusting for differences in baseline characteristics associated with the risk of primary unassisted patency loss, treatment with PRT-201 at doses of 10 and 30 micrograms was associated with a reduction of 25% and 52%, respectively, in the risk of primary unassisted patency loss.

**Primary Unassisted Patency—All AVFs
(Excluding Central Stenoses)**



Note: Not prespecified analysis.

**Reduction in Risk of Primary Unassisted Patency Loss vs. Placebo—All AVFs
(Excluding Central Stenoses)**

	PRT-201 10 micrograms	PRT-201 30 micrograms
Number of Patients	N=51	N=49
Unadjusted Risk vs. Placebo	-31% (p=0.20)	-48% (p=0.04)
Adjusted Risk vs. Placebo(1)	-25% (p=0.33)	-52% (p=0.02)

Note: Not prespecified analysis.

- (1) Adjusted for differences in baseline characteristics associated with the risk of primary unassisted patency loss between treatment groups using a prespecified Cox regression analysis.

In a larger trial of brachiocephalic AVFs, we expect that the occurrence of patency loss due to central stenosis would be evenly distributed between treatment groups. In the ongoing Phase 3 clinical trial and the planned Phase 3 clinical trial, we expect that patency loss due to central stenosis would be rare since we intend to enroll radiocephalic AVF patients exclusively and radiocephalic AVFs rarely suffer from patency loss due to central stenosis because of lower blood flow. In our Phase 2 trial, no radiocephalic AVF in any group lost primary patency due to central stenosis.

Secondary and other endpoints

PRT-201 showed results consistent with a beneficial drug effect on multiple secondary efficacy endpoints. The prespecified efficacy endpoints were unassisted maturation, secondary patency, use for hemodialysis and hemodynamically significant lumen stenosis. In addition, we performed a prespecified efficacy analysis of average rate of procedures to restore or maintain AVF patency, a component of our primary endpoint. As with the primary efficacy analyses, we performed a number of prespecified and exploratory analyses of the data from this Phase 2 trial.

- Unassisted maturation.* Maturation is necessary for use of an AVF for hemodialysis. Unassisted maturation was defined as achieving maturation at three months without an intervention. Maturation was assessed using ultrasound measuring blood flow and lumen vein diameter. All ultrasounds were reviewed by a central reader masked to treatment assignment and AVF outcome. Two well-accepted criteria for measuring maturation were used, as shown in the footnotes in the table below. The 30 microgram dose, which we intend to study in our Phase 3 trials, showed statistically significant improvement in maturation at Month 3, with more benefit seen in patients receiving radiocephalic AVFs (figure below) than in patients receiving brachiocephalic AVFs. In the subset of patients with brachiocephalic AVFs, there was a trend toward improvement in unassisted maturation at both the 10 and 30 microgram doses.


Unassisted Maturation at Three Months—% of Patients (p-Value vs. Placebo)

	Placebo	PRT-201 10 micrograms	PRT-201 30 micrograms
All AVFs			
Number of Patients	N=39	N=39	N=37
Percentage Mature NKF-KDOQI(1)	46%	64% (p=0.11)	70% (p=0.03)
Percentage Mature Robbin(2)	67%	87% (p=0.03)	92% (p<0.01)
Radiocephalic AVFs			
Number of Patients	N=17	N=19	N=14
Percentage Mature NKF-KDOQI(1)	24%	37% (p=0.48)	57% (p=0.08)
Percentage Mature Robbin(2)	47%	74% (p=0.17)	93% (p<0.01)
Brachiocephalic AVFs			
Number of Patients	N=22	N=20	N=23
Percentage Mature NKF-KDOQI(1)	64%	90% (p=0.07)	78% (p=0.34)
Percentage Mature Robbin(2)	82%	100% (p=0.11)	91% (p=0.41)

Note: Prespecified analysis.

- (1) National Kidney Foundation Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (NKF-KDOQI) maturation is defined as average vein lumen diameter ³6 millimeters and an outflow vein blood flow rate ³600 milliliters/minute.
- (2) Robbin maturation is defined as average vein lumen diameter ³4 millimeters and an outflow vein blood flow rate ³500 milliliters/minute.

Unassisted Maturation—Radiocephalic AVFs



Note: Prespecified analysis.

- (1) p-value=0.08 vs. placebo
- (2) p-value<0.01 vs. placebo

• *The average rate of procedures to restore or maintain patency per patient year at risk.* Patients undergoing a procedure often require repeated procedures over time because procedures such as balloon angioplasty can restore blood flow acutely but also damage the blood vessel. These data can be expressed as a procedure rate calculated as the number of days in which procedure to restore or maintain patency was performed per patient divided by the patient's time on the trial. Procedures included thrombectomy, angioplasty, stent deployment and surgical revision. There was a 56% reduction in the rate of procedures in the 30 microgram group versus the placebo group. In the radiocephalic subset there was a 69% reduction in the average rate of procedures in the 30 microgram group versus the placebo group. In the brachiocephalic subset there was a 43% reduction in the average rate of procedure in the 30 microgram group versus the placebo group. Excluding procedures to treat central stenosis, in the brachiocephalic subset there was an 86% reduction in the average rate of procedures in the 30 microgram group versus the placebo group.

Average Procedure Rate to Restore/Maintain Patency (p-Value vs. Placebo)

	Placebo	PRT-201 10 micrograms	PRT-201 30 micrograms
All AVFs (Prespecified)			
Number of Patients	N=51	N=50	N=48
Procedures per Year	0.9	0.8 (p=0.53)	0.4 (p=0.07)
All AVFs Excluding Central Stenoses (Non-prespecified)			
Number of Patients	N=51	N=50	N=48
Procedures per Year	0.8	0.7 (p=0.44)	0.2 (p<0.01)
Radiocephalic AVFs (Non-prespecified)			
Number of Patients	N=24	N=23	N=20
Procedures per Year	1.0	0.8 (p=0.63)	0.3 (p=0.06)
Brachiocephalic AVFs (Non-prespecified)			
Number of Patients	N=27	N=27	N=28
Procedures per Year	0.7	0.7 (p=0.72)	0.4 (p=0.50)
Brachiocephalic AVFs Excluding Central Stenoses (Non-prespecified)			
Number of Patients	N=27	N=27	N=28
Procedures per Year	0.7	0.7 (p=0.54)	0.1 (p=0.07)


Patients completing 12 months of follow-up in the initial trial were followed in a registry to obtain additional data related to the efficacy endpoints. In this follow up, the PRT-201 benefit on procedure rates persisted out to 900 days as set out in the following table.

Average Procedure Rate to Restore/Maintain Patency Including Registry Data (p-Value vs. Placebo)

	Placebo	PRT-201 10 micrograms	PRT-201 30 micrograms
All AVFs (Prespecified analysis)			
Number of Patients	N=51	N=50	N=48
Procedures per Year	0.8	0.8 (p=0.61)	0.3 (p=0.03)
Radiocephalic AVFs (Non-prespecified analysis)			
Number of Patients	N=24	N=23	N=20
Procedures per Year	1.0	0.8 (p=0.47)	0.2 (p=0.03)
Brachiocephalic AVFs (Non-prespecified analysis)			
Number of Patients	N=27	N=27	N=28
Procedures per Year	0.7	0.8 (p=1.00)	0.4 (p=0.40)

- Secondary patency.* Secondary patency loss was defined as abandonment of the AVF, which typically occurs following loss of primary unassisted patency due to thrombosis or failure of a procedure to restore patency and leads to additional surgery to create a new vascular access. We observed no significant differences in the risk of secondary patency loss in the overall AVF population or the subset of patients receiving brachiocephalic AVFs. However, as seen in the Kaplan-Meier curves and table below, a trend toward prolonged secondary patency was seen in patients receiving radiocephalic AVFs. In this non-prespecified subset analysis, treatment with PRT-201 at doses of 10 and 30 micrograms was associated with reductions of 55% and 73%, respectively, in the risk of secondary patency loss.

Secondary Patency—Radiocephalic AVFs



Note: Not prespecified analysis.

	PRT-201 10 microgram dose	PRT-201 30 microgram dose
Number of Patients	N=23	N=20
Unadjusted Risk vs. Placebo	-55% (p=0.19)	-73% (p=0.08)

Note: Not prespecified analysis.

Patients completing 12 months of follow-up in the initial trial were followed in a registry to obtain additional data related to the efficacy endpoints. In this follow-up, the PRT-201 benefit on secondary patency in radiocephalic AVFs persisted out to 900 days.

- Use for hemodialysis. Use was defined as use of the AVF for hemodialysis at any time without a previous intervention. Although the results were not statistically significant, there was a trend to more patients using the AVF for hemodialysis in the 30 microgram group (69%) compared with the placebo group (53%).
- Hemodynamically significant lumen stenosis. Hemodynamically significant lumen stenosis, or narrowing of blood vessels, impairs AVF maturation and contributes to AVF patency loss. Hemodynamically significant stenosis was defined as a 50% or greater stenosis and a significant elevation in peak blood flow velocity across the stenosis detected by ultrasound. Ultrasounds were performed using a standard protocol and reviewed by a central reader masked to treatment assignment and AVF outcome. Although the results were not statistically significant, there was a trend to fewer patients with a hemodynamically significant stenosis in the patients receiving 10 micrograms (30%) and 30 micrograms (39%) of PRT-201 compared with the placebo group (51%) at 6 weeks. Detecting hemodynamically significant stenosis is technically challenging and often confounded by the performance of procedures, such as angioplasty to treat stenosis prior to the ultrasound examination.

Safety and tolerability

PRT-201 is administered topically at the vascular access and only acts locally. We have not observed systemic activity or toxicity in our preclinical animal studies, even following intravenous administration at very high multiples of the Phase 2 clinical trial doses. Safety evaluations in Phase 2 included ascertainment of adverse events, physical examinations, ultrasounds of the AVFs and nearby vessels, vital signs and laboratory studies. No significant safety signals were identified. In the trial, patients treated with PRT-201 reported adverse events, the most common of which are summarized in the following table, comparable to placebo. These events were consistent with the medical events experienced by CKD patients undergoing AVF placement surgery. The most common adverse events were AVF incision pain, venous stenosis, AVF thrombosis, steal syndrome and hypoesthesia. Serious adverse events, or SAEs, reported by the investigator as possibly drug-related occurred in two 10 microgram PRT-201 patients (both AVF thrombosis), and two 30 microgram patients (one chest pain and one swelling at the surgical incision). There were no SAEs reported by the investigator as possibly drug-related in the placebo group. There was one SAE reported by the investigator to be drug-related in the 10 microgram PRT-201 group (AVF maturation failure), and there were none in the other treatment groups.

Number and Proportion (%) of Patients with Common Adverse Events(1)

N (%)	Placebo N=51	PRT-201 10 micrograms N=51	PRT-201 30 micrograms N=49
Any adverse event	42 (82)	39 (77)	43 (88)
AVF thrombosis	13 (26)	8 (16)	7 (14)
Venous stenosis	10 (20)	7 (14)	8 (16)
Steal syndrome	7 (14)	2 (4)	6 (12)
Hypoesthesia	7 (14)	6 (12)	6 (12)
AVF incisional pain	5 (10)	9 (18)	9 (18)
AVF site complication	5 (10)	4 (8)	4 (8)
Nausea	5 (10)	1 (2)	2 (4)
Peripheral edema	5 (10)	0 (0)	2 (4)
Arterial stenosis	4 (8)	5 (10)	0 (0)
Paresthesia	1 (2)	1 (2)	5 (10)
Pain in extremity(2)	0 (0)	1 (2)	5 (10)

Note: None of the differences between groups were statistically significant.

- (1) Adverse events occurring in at least 10% of placebo or either PRT-201 treatment groups.
- (2) All but one unrelated to limb used in AVF surgery.

Phase 1/2 AVF Clinical Trial

We submitted an investigational new drug application, IND, for PRT-201 as a treatment for patients undergoing AVF placement on April 30, 2008. Our initial clinical trial of PRT-201 was a Phase 1/2, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation safety and exploratory efficacy trial in 66 patients undergoing creation of a radiocephalic or brachiocephalic AVF. Patients were treated with PRT-201 at nine dose levels ranging from 3.3 micrograms to 9 milligrams or placebo at the time of AVF placement and were followed for up to one year. This trial did not meet its primary endpoint, an endpoint we did not pursue in our Phase 2 trial. However, consistent with our mechanism of action that involves partial fragmentation of elastin, doses of PRT-201 at 3.3, 10 and 33 micrograms were associated with a trend toward prolonged primary unassisted patency (secondary endpoint $p=0.66$ in the All Treated population and $p=0.15$ in the All Treated Minus 3 population), fewer procedures to restore or maintain patency (collected as supportive data) and less hemodynamically significant AVF lumen stenosis (collected as supportive data) compared with placebo treated patients or patients treated with higher PRT-201 doses. Higher doses showed results similar to placebo and no dose met the primary efficacy endpoint with statistical significance. No dose-related increases in adverse events were observed in the trial. Based on the results of this trial, we selected 10 microgram and 30 microgram doses for further study in the Phase 2 trial.

Our Phase 3 Program

We plan to conduct two randomized, double-blind Phase 3 trials, with staggered start dates, comparing a 30 microgram dose of PRT-201 to placebo. We initiated our first Phase 3 pivotal trial for PRT-201 in patients with CKD undergoing placement of a radiocephalic AVF in the third quarter of 2014. The trials will enroll patients undergoing a surgical procedure to create a radiocephalic AVF. Each Phase 3 trial will enroll approximately 300 patients, for a total of approximately 600 patients, who will be randomized such that twice as many will receive PRT-201 as compared to placebo.

In April 2013, we held an end of Phase 2 meeting with the FDA, during which we confirmed the following key elements of our Phase 3 development plan: (i) the primary efficacy endpoint in our Phase 3 trials, primary unassisted patency, which is the same as our primary endpoint in our Phase 2 trial and suitable for approval of PRT-201 in the United States; (ii) the secondary efficacy endpoint in our Phase 3 trials, secondary patency, which was a secondary endpoint in our Phase 2 trial, could be acceptable for inclusion in the approved product labeling in the United States if we hit statistical significance on both the primary endpoint and the secondary endpoint, and possibly even if we do not hit statistical significance on the secondary endpoint; (iii) the total number of patients expected to be treated through our Phase 3 trial will provide a sufficient safety database to support a BLA filing; (iv) we do not need to conduct additional preclinical studies prior to conducting its Phase 3 clinical trials or to support a BLA filing; and (v) we have Phase 3-ready drug substance and drug product.

We began enrolling patients in our first Phase 3 trial in the third quarter of 2014. Each patient will be followed for 12 months. We expect that results will be available in the first quarter of 2017.

Our Phase 3 trials will be conducted at sites in the United States with the second trial potentially including Canadian sites. In addition to collecting data on the primary and secondary endpoints, the Phase 3 clinical trials will collect information related to the tertiary endpoints of maturation, use for hemodialysis and the rate of procedures to restore or maintain patency. Patients who consent will be enrolled in a patient registry to obtain long-term follow-up efficacy information.

We have designed each Phase 3 trial to have over 95% power, *i.e.*, there is more than a 95% probability that the study will detect observed clinical effects of PRT-201 if the observed effects are true. For the first Phase 3 trial, 300 patients will be randomly allocated by site in a 2:1 ratio to either PRT-201, at 30 micrograms, or to placebo. With the 300 patient sample size (200 PRT-201 and 100 placebo), the study is powered to approximately 96% power to detect an increase in median primary unassisted patency from 5 months to 10 months and 97% power to detect an increase in the proportion of patients with secondary patency at 12 months from 65% to 85%. A 10% drop out rate has been assumed in all of the calculations.

The study will follow each patient for a maximum of 12 months. If the results of the first Phase 3 trial are sufficiently compelling, we intend to meet with the FDA to discuss the possibility of submitting a BLA, supported by the single Phase 3 trial in which the single Phase 3 trial would form the primary basis of the demonstration of safety and efficacy, and the Phase 2 trial, including non-prespecified analyses, would provide supportive information. We may decide to submit a BLA to the FDA prior to completing the second Phase 3 trial.

Preclinical Development

We have conducted an extensive preclinical program to evaluate the safety and tolerability of single doses of PRT-201 administered locally in animal models of AVF and AVG placement, by percutaneous and endovascular injection in animal models of peripheral artery disease, or PAD, as well as intravenously. We have conducted preclinical studies in multiple species at doses up to 50 milligrams of PRT-201, which is over 1500 times higher than the dose we intend to study in our planned Phase 3 clinical trials. We observed no systemic activity or toxicity for PRT-201 in any of our preclinical studies. We observed no toxicity in any of the doses that we subsequently studied or plan to study in humans. Only local toxicity was observed at surgical sites at high doses (10 and 50 milligrams, which is over 300-1500 times higher than the dose we intend to study in our planned Phase 3 clinical trials). These changes were reversible, with normal wound healing observed at 14 days except at the highest (50 milligrams) dose, in which there were some mild persistent changes in the jugular vein and subcutaneous tissue. Normal wound healing was observed in all the AVF studies in rabbits at doses up to 10 milligrams and in all the AVG studies in dogs and pigs at doses up to 20 milligrams (the highest doses tested).

In our preclinical studies, we observed dose-dependent activity of PRT-201 on elastin removal as studies have established a correlation with a reduction in neointimal hyperplasia.

Other Programs, Indications and Trials

Other AVF Trials

European clinical program

We are currently evaluating our clinical program to support filing in Europe. We may, based on additional data including the data from our Phase 3 clinical trials in the United States and if sufficient funds become available, choose to conduct a clinical trial of PRT-201 in Europe. Prior to initiating a European clinical trial, we plan to formally seek guidance from the European Medicines Agency, or EMA, regarding their requirements for regulatory approval.

Brachiocephalic AVF

We believe that our Phase 2 clinical data supports further development of PRT-201 in brachiocephalic AVF placement. We may, based on additional data including the data from our Phase 3 clinical trials and if sufficient funds become available, study the effects of a 30 microgram dose of PRT-201 versus placebo on brachiocephalic AVFs. Prior to initiation of this trial, we expect to seek guidance from the FDA regarding trial design.

Arteriovenous Grafts

An arteriovenous graft, or AVG, is a surgical procedure in which a surgeon places a synthetic tube to connect a vein and an artery. We submitted an IND for PRT-201 as a treatment for patients undergoing AVG placement on April 30, 2008. We conducted a Phase 1/2 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation trial in 89 patients undergoing placement of an AVG. Patients were treated with placebo or eight different doses of PRT-201 ranging from 10 micrograms to 9 milligrams at the time of AVG placement and were followed for up to one year. Those patients who had not lost secondary patency were subsequently enrolled in a registry to obtain additional follow-up information on the AVG.

The primary outcome measure was safety. Adverse events were consistent with the medical conditions experienced by patients with CKD undergoing AVG surgery and showed no significant differences between groups. Some of the data showed indications of efficacy, especially in secondary patency, which is an approvable endpoint for hemodialysis access, for the groups treated with PRT-201 at doses of 10 micrograms and 30 micrograms.

After reviewing the results from our first Phase 3 clinical trial and if sufficient funds become available, we may commence a clinical trial of PRT-201 in patients undergoing placement of an AVG.

Peripheral Artery Disease

In addition to vascular access indications, we are investigating PRT-201 as a treatment for patients with symptomatic peripheral artery disease, or PAD. Patients with lower extremity PAD suffer from stenosis formation in the arteries providing blood to the legs. These patients typically present with exercise-induced leg pain, a condition known as intermittent claudication. Patients with claudication are unable to adequately maintain their activities of daily living because they quickly experience pain that can be resolved only through rest. Severe cases result in critical limb ischemia, or lack of oxygen, and the possibility of amputation. PAD is a global problem affecting a large number of people throughout the industrialized world. Approximately 8 million Americans suffer from PAD.

Patients with early stage PAD typically undergo lifestyle management such as smoking cessation, weight reduction and/or diabetes management, and treatment with oral medications. Approximately 350,000 patients in the United States do not respond to lifestyle management and have worsening symptoms, resulting in the need for endovascular procedures, typically balloon angioplasty with or without stenting. While these procedures work acutely to restore blood flow, they suffer from poor long-term durability, resulting in the need for repeat procedures.

We believe that PRT-201 may improve the outcomes associated with angioplasty procedures, resulting in prolonged intervention-free patency while eliminating the need for permanent implant of a stent. We submitted an IND for PRT-201 as a treatment for PAD patients on April 9, 2012. Our initial PAD clinical trial is an ongoing Phase 1, open-label, dose-escalation safety/technical feasibility trial in 16 patients undergoing balloon angioplasty of an occluded or partially occluded superficial femoral or popliteal artery in the leg. Following successful angioplasty, patients are treated with PRT-201 via an FDA-cleared drug delivery catheter that allows PRT-201 to be administered locally in the outer layer of the artery, which is called the adventitia. Patients are being followed for up to 12 months. We expect data from this trial to be available in the second half of 2015.

Manufacturing and Supply

We depend on third-party contract manufacturers for the production of PRT-201. Our active pharmaceutical ingredient, or API, is produced at our contract manufacturer, Lonza AG, which is required to comply with the FDA's Current Good Manufacturing Practice (cGMP) regulations. PRT-201 finished product is produced at our contract fill/finisher provider, Jubilant HollisterStier, which is required to comply with cGMP regulations. We used API manufactured at Lonza to create finished product that was used in our Phase 2 AVF clinical trial and will be used for our Phase 3 clinical trials. We also plan to manufacture API at Lonza for our commercial launch and future trials. Release and stability testing for API and finished product are performed at PPD, Inc. The tests indicate stability of at least four years for our API and at least six months for our finished product.

In preparation for commercial launch, we modified our finished product for our Phase 3 trials in order to facilitate ease of administration and fill and finish at 30 microgram doses. The modified finished product is reconstituted with sterile water to create a dosing solution containing 30 micrograms of PRT-201. We demonstrated that the modified finished product had the same elastase activity as the previous finished product using synthetic and natural elastin substrates and documented the same elastin removal from blood vessels following ex vivo treatments. The modified finished product formulation was similar to the

previous finished product formulation in maintaining the health and viability of live cells in culture. These data suggest the modified finished product will have the same efficacy and safety as the previous finished product in clinical trials.

At our end of Phase 2 meeting, the FDA confirmed that our API and modified finished product are acceptable for Phase 3 clinical trials. We have already manufactured finished product for the AVF Phase 3 clinical trials.

In anticipation of a potential BLA filing, we plan to manufacture a minimum of three batches of API and of finished product as part of process validation and to test these batches for stability with a goal of establishing a commercial shelf-life of at least two years for finished product and a longer expiry for API.

Sales and Marketing

Our commercialization strategy is to develop PRT-201 into a leading therapy worldwide for the treatment of AVFs and in other renal and vascular diseases.

We have not yet established a sales and marketing organization. Our Chief Executive Officer has significant commercial experience in the industry, including commercial launch experience in the renal market. We intend to recruit an in-house specialty hospital sales force in the United States focused on promoting PRT-201. We plan to target our marketing and sales efforts to vascular surgeons who create AVFs. There are approximately 2,800 vascular surgeons in the United States. We believe a specialty hospital sales force of approximately 75-100 representatives, supported by reimbursement specialists and a medical affairs team, will enable us to call on the approximately 1,300 hospitals that account for more than 90% of the AVF placements performed in the United States annually.

We believe that the market for PRT-201 in the five largest countries in the European Union represents the bulk of the potential European market and that a launch using a direct sales force may be achievable in these markets. If PRT-201 is approved by the EMA, we may commercialize it in some European countries with our own specialty hospital sales force and/or with a commercial partner in the other European countries. We hope to enter into collaborations for the development and commercialization of PRT-201 in Japan and other Asian countries.

We believe PRT-201 will be reimbursed appropriately as costs related to AVF surgical placement, which is typically performed in the hospital outpatient setting, are not included in the ESRD bundle.

Intellectual Property

We strive to protect and enhance the proprietary technology, inventions and improvements that are commercially important to our business, including seeking, maintaining and defending patent rights. We also rely on know-how that may be important to the development of our business. We additionally expect to rely on regulatory protection afforded through data exclusivity, market exclusivity and patent term extensions where available.

Our commercial success may depend in part on our ability to obtain and maintain patent and other proprietary protection for commercially important technology, inventions and know-how related to our business; to defend and enforce our patents and to operate without infringing the valid enforceable patents and proprietary rights of third parties.

Our ability to prevent third parties from making, using, selling, offering to sell or importing competing products to ours, including a competitor to PRT-201, depends on the scope of our patents. We have several patents and patent applications relating to the PRT-201 formulation and its therapeutic uses, and possess substantial know-how relating to the development and commercialization of PRT-201. We cannot be sure that any of our pending patent applications or future patent filings will lead to the issuance of new patents, nor can we be sure that any of our existing patents or any patents that may be granted to us in the future will be adequate to protect our market.

We plan on pursuing in-licensing opportunities to develop, strengthen and maintain our proprietary position in our field. We expect to use trademark protection for our products as they are marketed.

Patents

We own 20 issued patents and 26 pending patent applications. The patents and applications primarily fall into two families, a first relating to the PRT-201 formulation and its manufacture and use, as well as other formulations of elastases (the "formulation family"), and the second relating to certain therapeutic uses of PRT-201, and associated systems and kits that include a catheter and are suitable for a subset of those therapeutic uses (the "therapy family"). The formulation family includes one issued United States patent, one issued European patent, additional patents issued in Israel, Mexico, and New Zealand, and patent applications pending in several major jurisdictions worldwide, including Japan, China, South Korea, Brazil, Mexico, Russia, India, Europe and the United States. The expected expiration date for any patents that have issued or may issue from the formulation family is December 4, 2028, exclusive of possible patent term extension available for one patent covering PRT-201 under the Hatch-Waxman Amendments or comparable provisions in other jurisdictions, except in the United States where we were awarded a patent term adjustment of 199 days due to USPTO delays, taking the expiration date to June 20, 2029. The therapy family includes seven issued United States patents and two issued European patents, and applications pending in the United States, Europe, Canada and Japan. The expected expiration date for any patents that have issued or may issue from the therapy family patents is September 24, 2020, except in the United States where several patents were awarded a patent term adjustment and the expected expiration date of two therapy family patents related to systems and kits including elastase and a catheter is June 30, 2021, exclusive of possible patent term extension.

Patent Term

The base term of a U.S. patent is 20 years from the filing date of the earliest-filed non-provisional patent application from which the patent claims priority. The term of a U.S. patent can be lengthened by patent term adjustment, which compensates the owner of the patent for administrative delays at the USPTO. In some cases, the term of a U.S. patent is shortened by terminal disclaimer that reduces its term to that of an earlier-expiring patent.

The term of a U.S. patent may be eligible for patent term extension under the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984, referred to as the Hatch-Waxman Act or Hatch-Waxman Amendment, to account for at least some of the time a product is under development and regulatory review after the patent is granted. With regard to a product for which FDA approval is the first permitted marketing of the active ingredient, the Hatch-Waxman Act allows for extension of protection of one U.S. patent that includes at least one claim covering the composition of matter of an FDA-approved product, an FDA-approved method of treatment using the product, and/or a method of manufacturing the FDA-approved product. The extended protection cannot exceed the shorter of five years beyond the non-extended expiration of the patent or 14 years from the date of the FDA approval of the product. Some foreign jurisdictions, including Europe, have analogous patent extension provisions, which allow for extension of the protection of a patent that covers a drug approved by the applicable foreign regulatory agency. In the future, if and when PRT-201 receives FDA approval, we expect to apply for patent extension to extend the protection of one of our patents covering PRT-201 or its use.

Assignment of Rights and License Agreement

As successor to Proteon Therapeutics, LLC by merger, we acquired all of the assets of the LLC, including all of the intellectual property rights in a patent family entitled "Local, Transcatheter Delivery of Proteases to Reopen Obstructed Biological Conduits" (the "JHU patent family"). This patent family was originally developed by our founder, Dr. F. Nicholas Franano, at The Johns Hopkins University, or Johns Hopkins, and includes United States patent Nos. 7,063,838; 7,153,505; 7,361,335; 7,632,494; 7,883,699;

8,524,226; 8,562,983; and 8,568,716. Johns Hopkins assigned all of the intellectual property rights to Dr. Franano who in turn assigned the rights to the LLC. Under the terms of the assignment of rights and license agreement with Johns Hopkins, Dr. Franano reimbursed certain costs of Johns Hopkins and agreed to pay the future costs and expenses of patent prosecution and maintenance, as well as any costs related to infringement. In addition, under the agreement, Dr. Franano granted to Johns Hopkins rights to practice under the intellectual property rights for non-profit purposes. The rights granted to us are further subject to any rights the United States Government may have in inventions that are the subject matter of the acquired patents under the Bayh Dole Act due to its sponsorship of research that led to certain of such inventions. The agreement does not specify a term and does not include any termination provisions. Dr. Franano agreed that upon commercialization of the assigned invention, he would remit to Johns Hopkins 2.5% of any revenues or fees received from certain net sales of any product covered by the JHU patent family. We assumed, and are the successor to, all of Dr. Franano's payment and other obligations to Johns Hopkins. Seven U.S. patents in the JHU patent family, and their foreign counterparts, described above as the therapy family, relate to certain therapeutic uses of PRT-201, and the associated systems and kits that include a catheter and are suitable for a subset of those therapeutic uses.

Competition

The biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries are characterized by rapidly advancing technologies, intense competition and a strong emphasis on proprietary products. We face potential competition from many different sources, including major pharmaceutical, specialty pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, academic institutions and governmental agencies and public and private research institutions.

Some of our potential competitors have significantly greater financial resources and expertise in research and development, manufacturing, preclinical testing, conducting clinical trials, obtaining regulatory approvals and marketing approved products than we do. Smaller or early stage companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through collaborative arrangements with large and established companies.

The key competitive factors that will differentiate PRT-201, if approved, are likely to be its efficacy, safety, convenience, price, and the availability of reimbursement from government and other third party payors. Our commercial opportunity could be reduced or eliminated if our competitors develop and commercialize products that are safer, more effective, more convenient or less expensive than products that we may develop. Our competitors may also obtain FDA or other regulatory approval for their products more rapidly than we may obtain approval for ours.

We are not aware of any therapeutic products approved in the United States or Europe for the prevention of AVF or AVG patency loss. We are aware of other therapies in development for AVF or AVG failure with companies including Vascular Therapies and Celladon. PRT-201 could face competition from companies developing vascular access technologies. Other potential competition includes new synthetic grafts, including those that may be developed by companies that currently compete in the graft market, such as W.L. Gore, C.R. Bard and Maquet, as well as tissue engineered grafts, including those in development by Cytograft and Humacyte, including BioConnect Systems, Caymus Medical, Phraxis, CreatiVasc and TVA Medical. Finally, PRT-201's commercial success could be affected by the development of technologies to improve the outcomes of interventions to restore patency, including stents, stent grafts and drug eluting balloons.

Government Regulation and Approval

United States—FDA process

In the United States, pharmaceutical products are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA. The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FDCA, and other federal and state statutes and regulations, govern, among other things, the research, development, testing, manufacture, storage, recordkeeping,

approval, labeling, promotion and marketing, distribution, post-approval monitoring and reporting, sampling, and import and export of pharmaceutical products. Biological products used for the prevention, treatment, or cure of a disease or condition of a human being are subject to regulation under the FDCA, except the section of the FDCA which governs the approval of new drug applications, or NDAs. Biological products, such as PRT-201, are approved for marketing under provisions of the Public Health Service Act, or PHSA, via a Biologics License Application, or BLA. However, the application process and requirements for approval of BLAs are very similar to those for NDAs, and biologics are associated with similar approval risks as drugs. Failure to comply with applicable United States requirements may subject a company to a variety of administrative or judicial sanctions, such as FDA refusal to approve pending NDAs or BLAs, warning or untitled letters, clinical holds, product recalls, product seizures, total or partial suspension of production or distribution, injunctions, fines, civil penalties, and criminal prosecution.

Approval process

FDA approval is required before any new unapproved product or a product with certain changes to a previously approved product may be marketed in the United States. FDA approval is required before any new unapproved drug or dosage form, including a new use of previously approved drug, can be marketed in the United States. The steps required to be completed before a drug may be marketed in the United States include:

- preclinical laboratory tests, animal studies, and formulation studies, all performed in accordance with the FDA's Good Laboratory Practice, or GLP, regulations;
- submission to the FDA of an IND application for human clinical testing, which must become effective before human clinical trials may begin and must be updated annually;
- adequate and well-controlled human clinical trials to establish the safety and efficacy of the drug for each indication to FDA's satisfaction;
- submission to the FDA of a BLA;
- satisfactory completion of an FDA inspection of the manufacturing facility or facilities at which the drug is produced to assess compliance with current good manufacturing practices or, cGMP, regulations; and
- FDA review and approval of the BLA.

Satisfaction of FDA pre-market approval requirements typically takes many years and the actual time required may vary substantially based upon the type, complexity, and novelty of the product or disease.

Preclinical tests include laboratory evaluation of product chemistry, formulation, and toxicity, as well as animal trials to assess the characteristics and potential safety and efficacy of the product. The conduct of the preclinical tests must comply with federal regulations and requirements, including GLP. The results of preclinical testing are submitted to the FDA as part of an IND along with other information, including information about product chemistry, manufacturing and controls, and a proposed clinical trial protocol. Long term preclinical tests, such as animal tests of reproductive toxicity and carcinogenicity, may continue after the IND is submitted.

A 30-day waiting period after the submission of each IND is required prior to the commencement of clinical testing in humans. If the FDA has neither commented on nor questioned the IND within this 30-day period, the clinical trial proposed in the IND may begin. However, the FDA may within the 30-day time period raise concerns or questions relating to one or more proposed clinical trials and place the clinical trial on a clinical hold. In such a case, the IND sponsor and the FDA must resolve any outstanding concerns before the clinical trial can begin. Accordingly, submission of an IND may or may not result in the FDA allowing clinical trials to commence. A separate submission to an existing IND must also be made for each successive clinical trial conducted during product development.

Clinical trials involve the administration of the investigational new drug or biologic to healthy volunteers or patients under the supervision of a qualified investigator. Clinical trials must be conducted:

(i) in compliance with federal regulations; (ii) in compliance with good clinical practice, or GCP, an international standard meant to protect the rights and health of patients and to define the roles of clinical trial sponsors, administrators, and monitors; as well as (iii) under protocols detailing the objectives of the trial, the parameters to be used in monitoring safety, and the effectiveness criteria to be evaluated. Each protocol involving testing on United States patients and subsequent protocol amendments must be submitted to the FDA as part of the IND.

The FDA may order the temporary, or permanent, discontinuation of a clinical trial at any time, or impose other sanctions, if it believes that the clinical trial either is not being conducted in accordance with FDA requirements or presents an unacceptable risk to the clinical trial patients. The trial protocol and informed consent information for patients in clinical trials must also be submitted to an institutional review board, or IRB, for approval. An IRB may also require the clinical trial at the site to be halted, either temporarily or permanently, for failure to comply with the IRB's requirements, or may impose other conditions.

Clinical trials to support NDAs or BLAs for marketing approval are typically conducted in three sequential phases, but the phases may overlap. In Phase 1, the initial introduction of the drug or biologic into a limited population of healthy human subjects or patients, the product is tested to assess metabolism, pharmacokinetics, pharmacological actions, side effects associated with increasing doses, and, if possible, early evidence on effectiveness. Phase 2 usually involves trials in a limited patient population to evaluate preliminarily the effectiveness of the drug or biologic for a particular indication, dosage tolerance, and optimum dosage, and to identify common adverse effects and safety risks. If a compound demonstrates evidence of effectiveness and an acceptable safety profile in Phase 2 evaluations, Phase 3 trials are undertaken in a larger number of patients, typically at geographically dispersed clinical trial sites, to provide substantial evidence of clinical efficacy, to further test for safety in an expanded and diverse patient population, to permit the FDA to evaluate the overall benefit-risk relationship of the drug or biologic and to provide adequate information for the labeling of the product. In reviewing an NDA or a BLA, the FDA will consider all information submitted in the NDA or BLA, including the results of all clinical trials conducted. In most cases, the FDA requires two adequate and well-controlled Phase 3 clinical trials to demonstrate the efficacy of the drug or biologic. A single Phase 3 trial with other confirmatory evidence such as supportive results from Phase 1 and Phase 2 trials, including non-prespecified analyses, may be sufficient in rare instances where the trial is a large multicenter trial demonstrating internal consistency and a statistically very persuasive finding of a clinically meaningful effect on mortality, irreversible morbidity or prevention of a disease with a potentially serious outcome and confirmation of the result in a second trial would be practically or ethically impossible.

After completion of the required clinical testing, an NDA or BLA is prepared and submitted to the FDA. FDA approval of the NDA or BLA is required before marketing of the product may begin in the United States. The NDA or BLA must include, among other things, the results of all trials and preclinical testing, and other testing and a compilation of data relating to the product's pharmacology, chemistry, manufacture, and controls. The cost of preparing and submitting an NDA or BLA is substantial. The submission of most NDAs and BLAs is additionally subject to a substantial application user fee, currently \$2,335,200, and the manufacturer and/or sponsor under an approved new drug application are also subject to annual product and establishment user fees, currently \$110,370 per product and \$569,200 per establishment. These fees are typically increased annually.

The FDA has 60 days from its receipt of an NDA or BLA to determine whether the application will be accepted for filing based on the agency's threshold determination that it is sufficiently complete to permit substantive review. Once the submission is accepted for filing, the FDA begins an in-depth review. The FDA has agreed to certain performance goals in the review of NDAs and BLAs. Under the Prescription Drug User Fee Act, the FDA has a goal of responding to standard review NDAs within ten months after the 60-day filing review period, but this timeframe is often extended. Most applications for standard review drug or biologic products are reviewed within ten to 12 months; most applications for priority review drugs

or biologics are reviewed in six to eight months. Priority review can be applied to drugs that the FDA determines offer major advances in treatment, or provide a treatment where no adequate therapy exists. For biologics, priority review is further limited only for products intended to treat a serious or life-threatening disease relative to the currently approved products. The review process for both standard and priority review may be extended by the FDA for three additional months to consider certain late-submitted information, or information intended to clarify information already provided in the submission.

The FDA may also refer applications for novel drug or biologic products, or drug or biologic products that present difficult questions of safety or efficacy, to an advisory committee—typically a panel that includes clinicians and other experts—for review, evaluation, and a recommendation as to whether the application should be approved. The FDA is not bound by the recommendation of an advisory committee, but it generally follows such recommendations. Before approving an NDA or BLA, the FDA will typically inspect one or more clinical sites to assure compliance with GCP. Additionally, the FDA will inspect the facility or the facilities at which the drug is manufactured. FDA will not approve the product unless compliance with cGMP is satisfactory and the NDA or BLA contains data that provide evidence that the drug or biologic is safe and effective in the indication studied.

After the FDA evaluates the NDA or BLA and the manufacturing facilities, it issues either an approval letter or a complete response letter. A complete response letter indicates that the review cycle of the application is complete and the application is not ready for approval. A complete response letter generally outlines the deficiencies in the submission and may require substantial additional clinical data and/or other significant, expensive, and time-consuming requirements related to clinical trials, preclinical studies and/or manufacturing. The FDA has committed to reviewing resubmissions of the NDA or BLA addressing such deficiencies in two or six months depending on the type of information included. Even if such data are submitted, however, the FDA may ultimately decide that the NDA or BLA does not satisfy the criteria for approval.

An approval letter authorizes commercial marketing of the drug or biologic with specific prescribing information for specific indications. As a condition of NDA or BLA approval, the FDA may require a risk evaluation and mitigation strategy, or REMS, to help ensure that the benefits of the drug or biologic outweigh the potential risks. REMS can include medication guides, communication plans for healthcare professionals, and elements to assure safe use, or ETASU. ETASU can include, but are not limited to, special training or certification for prescribing or dispensing, dispensing only under certain circumstances, special monitoring, and the use of patient registries. The requirement for REMS can materially affect the potential market and profitability of the product. Moreover, product approval may also be conditioned on substantial post-approval testing and surveillance to monitor the product's safety or efficacy. Once granted, product approvals may be withdrawn if compliance with regulatory standards is not maintained or problems are identified following initial marketing.

Changes to some of the conditions established in an approved application, including changes in indications, labeling, or manufacturing processes or facilities, require submission and FDA approval of a new NDA or BLA or NDA or BLA supplement before the change can be implemented. An NDA or BLA supplement for a new indication typically requires clinical data similar to that in the original application, and the FDA uses the same procedures and actions in reviewing NDA or BLA supplements as it does in reviewing NDAs or BLAs. As with new NDAs, the review process is often significantly extended by the FDA requests for additional information or clarification.

U.S. Patent Term Restoration

Depending upon the timing, duration and specifics of the FDA approval of PRT-201 and any future product candidates, some of our U.S. patents may be eligible for limited patent term extension. The Hatch-Waxman Amendments permit a patent restoration term, often referred to as patent term extension, of up

to five years as compensation for patent term lost during product development and the FDA regulatory review process. However, patent term restoration cannot extend the remaining term of a patent beyond a total of 14 years from the product's approval date. The patent term restoration period is generally one-half the time between the effective date of an IND and the submission date of an NDA or BLA plus the time between the submission date of an NDA or BLA and the approval of that application. Only one patent applicable to an approved drug or biologic is eligible for the extension and the application for the extension must be submitted prior to the expiration of the patent. The USPTO, in consultation with the FDA, reviews and approves or denies the application for any patent term extension or restoration. In the future, we intend to apply for extension of patent term for one of our patents covering PRT-201 to add patent life beyond its current expected expiration date.

Post-approval requirements

Once an NDA or BLA is approved, a product will be subject to certain post-approval requirements. For instance, the FDA closely regulates the post-approval marketing and promotion of drugs and biologics, including standards and regulations for direct-to-consumer advertising, off-label promotion, industry-sponsored scientific and educational activities and promotional activities involving the internet. Drugs and biologics may be marketed only for the approved indications and in accordance with the provisions of the approved labeling. Failure to comply with these requirements can result in adverse publicity, warning letters, corrective advertising, and potential civil and criminal penalties.

Adverse event reporting and submission of periodic reports is required following FDA approval of an NDA or BLA. The FDA also may require post-marketing testing, known as Phase 4 testing, REMS, and surveillance to monitor the effects of an approved product, or the FDA may place conditions on an approval that could restrict the distribution or use of the product. In addition, quality control, drug manufacture, packaging, and labeling procedures must continue to conform to cGMPs after approval. Drug and biologic manufacturers and certain of their subcontractors are required to register their establishments with the FDA and certain state agencies. Registration with the FDA subjects entities to periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA, during which the agency inspects manufacturing facilities to assess compliance with cGMPs. Accordingly, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money, and effort in the areas of production and quality-control to maintain compliance with cGMPs. Regulatory authorities may withdraw product approvals or request product recalls if a company fails to comply with regulatory standards, if it encounters problems following initial marketing, or if previously unrecognized problems are subsequently discovered.

Orphan drug designation

Under the Orphan Drug Act, the FDA may grant orphan drug designation to drugs or biologics intended to treat a rare disease or condition—generally a disease or condition that affects fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States. Orphan drug designation must be requested before submitting an NDA or BLA. After the FDA grants orphan drug designation, the generic identity of the drug or biologic and its potential orphan use are disclosed publicly by the FDA. Orphan drug designation does not convey any advantage in, or shorten the duration of, the regulatory review and approval process. The first NDA or BLA applicant to receive FDA approval for a particular active ingredient to treat a particular disease with FDA orphan drug designation is entitled to a seven-year exclusive marketing period in the United States for that product, for that indication. During the seven-year exclusivity period, the FDA may not approve any other applications to market the same drug or biologic for the same disease, except in limited circumstances, such as a showing of clinical superiority to the product with orphan drug exclusivity. Orphan drug exclusivity does not prevent the FDA from approving a different drug or biologic for the same disease or condition, or the same drug or biologic for a different disease or condition. Among the other benefits of orphan drug designation are tax credits for certain research and a waiver of the NDA or BLA application user fee.

Fast track designation and accelerated approval

The FDA is required to facilitate the development, and expedite the review, of drugs or biologics that are intended for the treatment of a serious or life-threatening disease or condition for which there is no effective treatment and which demonstrate the potential to address unmet medical needs for the condition. Under the fast track program, the sponsor of a new drug or biologic candidate may request that the FDA designate the candidate for a specific indication as a fast track drug or biologic concurrent with, or after, the filing of the IND for the candidate. The FDA must determine if the drug or biologic candidate qualifies for fast track designation within 60 days of receipt of the sponsor's request. Unique to a fast track product, the FDA may initiate review of sections of a fast track product's NDA or BLA before the application is complete. This rolling review is available if the applicant provides, and the FDA approves, a schedule for the submission of the remaining information and the applicant pays applicable user fees. However, the FDA's time period goal for reviewing an application does not begin until the last section of the NDA or BLA is submitted. Additionally, the fast track designation may be withdrawn by the FDA if the FDA believes that the designation is no longer supported by data emerging in the clinical trial process.

Any product submitted to the FDA for marketing, including under a fast track program, may be eligible for other types of FDA programs intended to expedite development and review, such as accelerated approval. Drug or biological products studied for their safety and effectiveness in treating serious or life-threatening illnesses and that provide meaningful therapeutic benefit over existing treatments may receive accelerated approval, which means the FDA may approve the product based upon a surrogate endpoint that is reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit, or on a clinical endpoint that can be measured earlier than irreversible morbidity or mortality, that is reasonably likely to predict an effect on irreversible morbidity or mortality or other clinical benefit, taking into account the severity, rarity, or prevalence of the condition and the availability or lack of alternative treatments.

In clinical trials, a surrogate endpoint is a measurement of laboratory or clinical signs of a disease or condition that substitutes for a direct measurement of how a patient feels, functions, or survives. Surrogate endpoints can often be measured more easily or more rapidly than clinical endpoints. A drug or biologic candidate approved on this basis is subject to rigorous post-marketing compliance requirements, including the completion of Phase 4 or post-approval clinical trials to confirm the effect on the clinical endpoint. Failure to conduct required post-approval studies, or confirm a clinical benefit during post-marketing studies, will allow the FDA to withdraw the drug or biologic from the market on an expedited basis. All promotional materials for drug candidates approved under accelerated regulations are subject to prior review by the FDA.

Pediatric information

Under the Pediatric Research Equity Act, or PREA, NDAs or BLAs or supplements to NDAs or BLAs must contain data to assess the safety and effectiveness of the drug for the claimed indications in all relevant pediatric subpopulations and to support dosing and administration for each pediatric subpopulation for which the drug is safe and effective. The FDA may grant full or partial waivers, or deferrals, for submission of data. Unless otherwise required by regulation, PREA does not apply to any drug for an indication for which orphan designation has been granted.

Additional controls for biologics

To help reduce the increased risk of the introduction of adventitious agents, the PHSA emphasizes the importance of manufacturing controls for products whose attributes cannot be precisely defined. The PHSA also provides authority to the FDA to immediately suspend licenses in situations where there exists a danger to public health, to prepare or procure products in the event of shortages and critical public health needs, and to authorize the creation and enforcement of regulations to prevent the introduction or spread of communicable diseases in the United States and between states.

After a BLA is approved, the product may also be subject to official lot release as a condition of approval. As part of the manufacturing process, the manufacturer is required to perform certain tests on each lot of the product before it is released for distribution. If the product is subject to official release by the FDA, the manufacturer submits samples of each lot of product to the FDA together with a release protocol showing a summary of the history of manufacture of the lot and the results of all of the manufacturer's tests performed on the lot. The FDA may also perform certain confirmatory tests on lots of some products, such as viral vaccines, before releasing the lots for distribution by the manufacturer. In addition, the FDA conducts laboratory research related to the regulatory standards on the safety, purity, potency, and effectiveness of biological products. As with drugs, after approval of biologics, manufacturers must address any safety issues that arise, are subject to recalls or a halt in manufacturing, and are subject to periodic inspection after approval.

Biosimilars

The Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009, or BPCIA, creates an abbreviated approval pathway for biological products shown to be highly similar to or interchangeable with an FDA-licensed reference biological product. Biosimilarity sufficient to reference a prior FDA-approved product requires that there be no differences in conditions of use, route of administration, dosage form, and strength, and no clinically meaningful differences between the biological product and the reference product in terms of safety, purity, and potency. Biosimilarity must be shown through analytical studies, animal studies, and at least one clinical trial, absent a waiver by the Secretary. A biosimilar product may be deemed interchangeable with a prior approved product if it meets the higher hurdle of demonstrating that it can be expected to produce the same clinical results as the reference product and, for products administered multiple times, the biologic and the reference biologic may be switched after one has been previously administered without increasing safety risks or risks of diminished efficacy relative to exclusive use of the reference biologic. No biosimilar or interchangeable products have been approved under the BPCIA to date. Complexities associated with the larger, and often more complex, structures of biological products, as well as the process by which such products are manufactured, pose significant hurdles to implementation which are still being evaluated by the FDA.

A reference biologic is granted twelve years of exclusivity from the time of first licensure of the reference product, and no application for a biosimilar can be submitted for four years from the date of licensure of the reference product. The first biologic product submitted under the abbreviated approval pathway that is determined to be interchangeable with the reference product has exclusivity against a finding of interchangeability for other biologics for the same condition of use for the lesser of (i) one year after first commercial marketing of the first interchangeable biosimilar, (ii) eighteen months after the first interchangeable biosimilar is approved if there is no patent challenge, (iii) eighteen months after resolution of a lawsuit over the patents of the reference biologic in favor of the first interchangeable biosimilar applicant, or (iv) 42 months after the first interchangeable biosimilar's application has been approved if a patent lawsuit is ongoing within the 42-month period.

Disclosure of clinical trial information

Sponsors of clinical trials of FDA-regulated products, including drugs, are required to register and disclose certain clinical trial information. Information related to the product, patient population, phase of investigation, trial sites and investigators, and other aspects of the clinical trial is then made public as part of the registration. Sponsors are also obligated to discuss the results of their clinical trials after completion. Disclosure of the results of these trials can be delayed until the new product or new indication being studied has been approved. Competitors may use this publicly available information to gain knowledge regarding the progress of development programs.

European Union—EMA process

In the European Union, medicinal products are authorized following a similar demanding process as that required in the United States and applications are based on the ICH Common Technical Document. Prior to submitting a European Marketing Authorization Application, or MAA, it is necessary to gain approval of a detailed Pediatric Investigation Plan, or PIP, with the European Medicines Agency's Pediatric Committee, or PDCO. After gaining PIP approval, medicines can be authorized in the European Union by using either the centralized authorization procedure or national authorization procedures.

Centralized procedure

Under the centralized procedure, after the EMA issues an opinion, the European Commission issues a single marketing authorization valid across the European Union, as well as Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway. The centralized procedure is compulsory for human medicines that are: derived from biotechnology processes, such as genetic engineering; contain a new active substance indicated for the treatment of certain diseases, such as HIV/AIDS, cancer, diabetes, neurodegenerative disorders or autoimmune diseases and other immune dysfunctions; and officially designated orphan medicines. For medicines that do not fall within these categories, an applicant has the option of submitting an application for a centralized marketing authorization to the EMA, as long as the medicine concerned is a significant therapeutic, scientific or technical innovation, or if its authorization would be in the interest of public health.

National authorization procedures

There are also two other possible routes to authorize medicinal products in several countries, which are available for products that fall outside the scope of the centralized procedure:

- *Decentralized procedure.* Using the decentralized procedure, an applicant may apply for simultaneous authorization in more than one European Union country of a medicinal product that has not yet been authorized in any European Union country and that does not fall within the mandatory scope of the centralized procedure.
- *Mutual recognition procedure.* In the mutual recognition procedure, a medicine is first authorized in one European Union Member State, in accordance with the national procedures of that country. Thereafter, further marketing authorizations can be sought from other European Union countries in a procedure whereby the countries concerned agree to recognize the validity of the original, national marketing authorization.

While we believe that our development program, proposed Phase 3 trial design, and overall non-clinical and clinical data package could support future regulatory approval of PRT-201 in the European Union, we have not submitted such information to the European Union for their review.

Good manufacturing practices

Like the FDA, the EMA, the competent authorities of the European Union Member States and other regulatory agencies regulate and inspect equipment, facilities and processes used in the manufacturing of pharmaceutical and biologic products prior to approving a product. If, after receiving clearance from regulatory agencies, a company makes a material change in manufacturing equipment, location, or process, additional regulatory review and approval may be required. Once we or our partners commercialize products, we will be required to comply with cGMP, and product-specific regulations enforced by, the European Commission, the EMA and the competent authorities of European Union Member States following product approval. Also like the FDA, the EMA, the competent authorities of the European Union Member States and other regulatory agencies also conduct regular, periodic visits to re-inspect equipment, facilities, and processes following the initial approval of a product. If, as a result of these inspections, it is determined that our or our partners' equipment, facilities, or processes do not comply

with applicable regulations and conditions of product approval, regulatory agencies may seek civil, criminal or administrative sanctions and/or remedies against us, including the suspension of our manufacturing operations or the withdrawal of our product from the market.

Data and market exclusivity

Similar to the United States, there is a process for authorization of generic versions of innovator drug products in the European Union. Abridged applications for the authorization of generic versions of drugs authorized by EMA can be submitted to the EMA through a centralized procedure referencing the innovator's data and demonstrating bioequivalence to the reference product, among other things.

New medicinal products in the European Union can receive eight years of data exclusivity coupled with two years of market exclusivity, and a potential one year extension, if the marketing authorizations holder obtains an authorization for one or more new therapeutic indications that demonstrates "significant clinical benefit" in comparison with existing therapies; this system is usually referred to as "8+2+1". We expect to be eligible for at least ten years of market exclusivity following any approval of PRT-201.

Abridged applications cannot rely on an innovator's data until after expiry of the eight year data exclusivity term; applications for a generic product can be filed but the product cannot be marketed until the end of the market exclusivity term.

Other international markets—drug approval process

In some international markets (*e.g.*, China or Japan), although data generated in United States or European Union trials may be submitted in support of a marketing authorization application, additional clinical trials conducted in the host territory, or studying people of the ethnicity of the host territory, may be required prior to the filing or approval of marketing applications within the country.

Pricing and reimbursement

In the United States and internationally, sales of products that we market in the future, and our ability to generate revenues on such sales, are dependent, in significant part, on the availability and level of reimbursement from third-party payors such as state and federal governments, managed care providers and private insurance plans. Substantial uncertainty exists as to the reimbursement status of newly approved healthcare products by third-party payors. In the United States no uniform policy of coverage and reimbursement for drug products exists. Accordingly, decisions regarding the extent of coverage and amount of reimbursement to be provided for any of our products will be made on a payor by payor basis. As a result, the coverage determination process is often a time-consuming and costly process that will require us to provide scientific and clinical support for the use of our product candidates to each payor separately, with no assurance that coverage and adequate reimbursement will be obtained.

Private insurers, such as health maintenance organizations and managed care providers, have implemented cost-cutting and reimbursement initiatives and likely will continue to do so in the future. These include establishing formularies that govern the drugs and biologics that will be offered and also the out-of-pocket obligations of member patients for such products. In addition, particularly in the United States and increasingly in other countries, we may be required to provide discounts and pay rebates to state and federal governments and agencies in connection with purchases of our products that are reimbursed by such entities. It is possible that future legislation in the United States and other jurisdictions could be enacted which could potentially impact the reimbursement rates for the products we are developing and may develop in the future and also could further impact the levels of discounts and rebates paid to federal and state government entities. Any legislation that impacts these areas could impact, in a significant way, our ability to generate revenues from sales of products that, if successfully developed, we bring to market.

There is no legislation at the European Union level governing the pricing and reimbursement of medicinal products in the European Union. As a result, the competent authorities of each of the 27 European Union Member States have adopted individual strategies regulating the pricing and reimbursement of medicinal products in their territory. These strategies often vary widely in nature, scope and application. However, a major element that they have in common is an increased move towards reduction in the reimbursement price of medicinal products, a reduction in the number and type of products selected for reimbursement and an increased preference for generic products over innovative products. These efforts have mostly been executed through these countries' existing price control methodologies. The government of the UK announced the phase-out of its established Pharmaceutical Pricing Reimbursement Scheme approach in January 2014 and the adoption of a new value-based pricing approach. Under this approach, in a complete departure from established methodologies, reimbursement levels of each drug will be explicitly based on an assessment of value, looking at the benefits for the patient, unmet need, therapeutic innovation, and benefit to society as a whole. It is increasingly common in many European Union Member States for Marketing Authorization Holders to be required to demonstrate the pharmacoeconomic superiority of their products as compared to products already subject to pricing and reimbursement in specific countries. In order for drugs to be evaluated positively under such criteria, pharmaceutical companies may need to re-examine, and consider altering, a number of traditional functions relating to the selection, study, and management of drugs, whether currently marketed, under development, or being evaluated as candidates for research and/or development.

Sales and marketing

Sales, promotion and other activities following product approval are subject to regulation by numerous regulatory authorities in addition to the FDA, including, in the United States, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, other divisions of the Department of Health and Human Services, the U.S. Department of Justice, and similar foreign, state, and local government authorities.

As described above, the FDA regulates all advertising and promotion activities for products under its jurisdiction both prior to and after approval. A company can make only those claims relating to safety and efficacy that are approved by the FDA in labeling. Physicians may prescribe legally available drugs for uses that are not described in the drug's labeling and that differ from those tested by us and approved by the FDA. Such off-label uses are common across medical specialties, and often reflect a physician's belief that the off-label use is the best treatment for the patients. The FDA does not regulate the behavior of physicians in their choice of treatments, but FDA regulations do impose stringent restrictions on manufacturers' communications regarding off-label uses. Failure to comply with applicable FDA requirements may subject a company to adverse publicity, enforcement action by the FDA, corrective advertising, consent decrees and the full range of civil and criminal penalties available to the FDA.

In the United States sales, marketing and scientific/educational programs must also comply with various federal and state laws pertaining to healthcare "fraud and abuse," including anti-kickback laws and false claims laws. Anti-kickback laws make it illegal for a prescription drug manufacturer to solicit, offer, receive, or pay any remuneration in exchange for, or to induce, the referral of business, including the purchase or prescription of a particular drug. Due to the breadth of the statutory provisions and the absence of guidance in the form of regulations and very few court decisions addressing industry practices, it is possible that our practices might be challenged under anti-kickback or similar laws. Moreover, recent healthcare reform legislation has strengthened these laws. For example, the recently enacted Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, or ACA, among other things, amends the intent requirement of the federal anti-kickback and criminal healthcare fraud statutes to clarify that a person or entity does not need to have actual knowledge of this statute or specific intent to violate it. In addition, ACA clarifies that the government may assert that a claim that includes items or services resulting from a violation of the federal anti-kickback statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the false claims statutes to clarify that. False claims laws prohibit anyone from knowingly and willingly presenting, or causing to be

presented for payment, to third-party payors (including Medicare and Medicaid) claims for reimbursed drugs or services that are false or fraudulent, claims for items or services not provided as claimed, or claims for medically unnecessary items or services. Our activities relating to the sale and marketing of our products may be subject to scrutiny under these laws. Violations of fraud and abuse laws may be punishable by criminal and civil sanctions, including fines and civil monetary penalties, the possibility of exclusion from federal healthcare programs (including Medicare and Medicaid) and corporate integrity agreements, which impose, among other things, rigorous operational and monitoring requirements on companies. Similar sanctions and penalties also can be imposed upon executive officers and employees, including criminal sanctions against executive officers under the so-called "responsible corporate officer" doctrine, even in situations where the executive officer did not intend to violate the law and was unaware of any wrongdoing.

Given the significant penalties and fines that can be imposed on companies and individuals if convicted, allegations of such violations often result in settlements even if the company or individual being investigated admits no wrongdoing. Settlements often include significant civil sanctions, including fines and civil monetary penalties, and corporate integrity agreements. If the government were to allege or convict us or our executive officers of violating these laws, our business could be harmed. In addition, private individuals have the ability to bring similar actions. Our activities could be subject to challenge for the reasons discussed above and due to the broad scope of these laws and the increasing attention being given to them by law enforcement authorities. Further, there are an increasing number of state laws that require manufacturers to make reports to states on pricing and marketing information. Many of these laws contain ambiguities as to what is required to comply with the laws. Given the lack of clarity in laws and their implementation, our reporting actions could be subject to the penalty provisions of the pertinent state authorities.

Similar rigid restrictions are imposed on the promotion and marketing of medicinal products in the European Union and other countries. Laws (including those governing promotion, marketing and anti-kickback provisions), industry regulations and professional codes of conduct often are strictly enforced. Even in those countries where we are not directly responsible for the promotion and marketing of our products, inappropriate activity by our international distribution partners can have adverse implications for us.

Other laws and regulatory processes

We will become subject to a variety of financial disclosure and securities trading regulations as a public company in the United States, including laws relating to the oversight activities of the SEC and, following the listing of our capital stock on the NASDAQ Global Market, we will be subject to the regulations of the NASDAQ Global Market. In addition, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, the SEC and other bodies that have jurisdiction over the form and content of our accounts, our financial statements and other public disclosure are constantly discussing and interpreting proposals and existing pronouncements designed to ensure that companies best display relevant and transparent information relating to their respective businesses.

Our international operations are subject to compliance with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or the FCPA, which prohibits corporations and individuals from paying, offering to pay, or authorizing the payment of anything of value to any foreign government official, government staff member, political party, or political candidate in an attempt to obtain or retain business or to otherwise influence a person working in an official capacity. We also may be implicated under the FCPA for activities by our partners, collaborators, CROs, vendors or other agents.

Our present and future business has been and will continue to be subject to various other laws and regulations. Various laws, regulations and recommendations relating to safe working conditions, laboratory practices, the experimental use of animals, and the purchase, storage, movement, import and export and

use and disposal of hazardous or potentially hazardous substances used in connection with our research work are or may be applicable to our activities. Certain agreements entered into by us involving exclusive license rights or acquisitions may be subject to national or supranational antitrust regulatory control, the effect of which cannot be predicted. The extent of government regulation, which might result from future legislation or administrative action, cannot accurately be predicted.

Legal Proceedings

We are not currently a party to any material legal proceedings.

Facilities

Our primary facility is located in Waltham, Massachusetts, where we occupy approximately 4,943 square feet of office space. Our lease expires in June 2018. We also have facility located in Kansas City, Kansas, where we occupy approximately 250 square feet of office space. Our lease in Kansas City expires in December 2014. We are currently reviewing options with respect to our primary facility in Waltham, Massachusetts upon expiration of our current lease, including re-letting our current facility or re-locating our primary facility to another location in the greater Boston, Massachusetts metropolitan area. We believe that suitable space will be available on commercially reasonable terms.

Employees

As of September 30, 2014, we had 11 full-time employees and one part-time employee, including seven in research and development and five in general and administrative functions. None of our employees is subject to a collective bargaining agreement or represented by a labor or trade union. We believe that our relations with our employees are good.

MANAGEMENT**Executive Officers and Directors**

The following table sets forth the names, ages and positions of the directors and executive officers of Proteon as of September 30, 2014.

Name	Age	Position(s)
Timothy P. Noyes	52	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Steven K. Burke, M.D.	54	Senior Vice President and Chief Medical Officer
George A. Eldridge	51	Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary
Daniel P. Gottlieb	44	Vice President, Marketing and Business Development
Non-Employee Directors		
Hubert Birner, Ph.D.(1)(2)(3)	48	Director
Garen Bohlin(2)	67	Director
Todd Foley*	42	Director
F. Nicholas Franano, M.D.*	47	Director
John G. Freund, M.D.	60	Director
Tim Haines(1)(3)	56	Director
Dmitry Kobzyev, Ph.D.(2)(3)	29	Director
Brendan M. O'Leary, Ph.D.(1)(2)	42	Director
Gregory D. Phelps(1)	65	Chairman of the Board of Directors

(1) Member of the Compensation Committee.

(2) Member of the Audit Committee.

(3) Member of the Governance and Nominating Committee.

* Immediately prior to the effectiveness of this offering, Mr. Foley and Dr. Franano resigned from our board of directors and we will reduce the size of our board of directors by two from 10 to eight upon completion of this offering.

Executive Officers

Timothy P. Noyes joined Proteon in April 2006 as our President and Chief Executive Officer and has also been a member of our board of directors since joining Proteon. From 2002 to 2006, Mr. Noyes served as Chief Operating Officer of Trine Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Before joining Trine, Mr. Noyes held several management positions with GelTex Pharmaceuticals from 1996 to 2001, prior to its acquisition by Genzyme Corporation. After the acquisition, from 2001 to 2002, he held the positions of President, Renal Division and President, GelTex Pharmaceuticals. Prior to GelTex, he worked for several years at Merck & Co. across multiple roles in its hypertension and heart failure group and managed care division, and on its Vasotec and Prilosec products. Mr. Noyes received an A.B. from Harvard College and an M.B.A. from Harvard Business School. We believe Mr. Noyes is qualified to serve as a member of our board of directors because of his role with us and his extensive operational knowledge of, and executive level management experience in, the biopharmaceutical industry.

Steven K. Burke, M.D., joined Proteon in August 2006 as our Senior Vice President and Chief Medical Officer. Prior to joining Proteon, Dr. Burke held various roles at Genzyme Corporation from 2000 to 2006, where he served most recently as Senior Vice President of Medical and Regulatory Affairs and Vice President of Clinical Research. From 1994 to 2000, Dr. Burke held roles at GelTex Pharmaceuticals, including Vice President of Clinical Research and Medical Director, and before that he held positions at

Glaxo. Dr. Burke received an A.B. from Harvard College and an M.D. from Cornell University Medical College. He completed a medical residency and fellowship at Brigham and Women's Hospital and is certified by the American Board of Internal Medicine.

George A. Eldridge joined Proteon in September 2013 as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Prior to joining Proteon, from 2009 to 2013, Mr. Eldridge served as a consultant to companies in the biotechnology industry, acting as a chief financial officer and providing advisory services. From 2006 to 2009, Mr. Eldridge was Chief Financial Officer of Targanta Therapeutics Corporation until its acquisition in 2009 by The Medicines Company. Before working at Targanta, Mr. Eldridge served as Chief Financial Officer of Therion Biologics from 2002 to 2006. In the fourth quarter of 2006, Therion filed a petition under the federal bankruptcy laws, which was rejected. Prior to Therion Mr. Eldridge served as Chief Financial Officer of Curis, Inc. (previously Ontogeny, Inc.) and Boston Life Sciences, Inc. Prior to working in the biotechnology field, Mr. Eldridge was an investment banker at Kidder Peabody & Co, Inc.. He holds a B.A. from Dartmouth College and an M.B.A. from the University of Chicago, Booth School of Business.

Daniel P. Gottlieb joined Proteon in September 2007 and has served as our Vice President, Marketing and Business Development since March 2013, prior to which he was the Senior Director of Marketing and Business Development from June 2010 until March 2013 and Director of Marketing and Business Development from 2007 until 2010. Prior to joining Proteon, Mr. Gottlieb served as Strategic Marketing Manager of Endovascular Products at Abbott Vascular from 2006 to 2007. Prior to that, Mr. Gottlieb spent seven years, from 1999 to 2006, at Guidant Corporation in a variety of roles, including marketing and market research, strategic planning, and business development and corporate venture investing as part of Guidant's Compass Group. Mr. Gottlieb holds a B.A. from the University of Pennsylvania and an M.B.A. from the Tuck School of Business at Dartmouth College.

Non-Employee Directors

Hubert Birner, Ph.D., has served as a member of our board of directors since 2007. Dr. Birner is the managing partner of TVM Capital, a venture capital firm, which he joined in 2000. Before joining TVM Capital, Dr. Birner served as Head of Business Development Europe and Director of Marketing for Germany at Zeneca from 1998 to 2000. Dr. Birner joined Zeneca from McKinsey & Company's European Health Care and Pharmaceutical practice where he worked from 1995 to 1998. From 1992 to 1994, Dr. Birner was also an Assistant Professor for biochemistry at the Ludwig-Maximilian-University in Munich. Dr. Birner currently serves as Chairman of the Board of Argos Therapeutics Inc. and Sphepharm Holding BV and he previously served as a member of the board of directors of Horizon Pharma, Evotec AG, and BioXcell SPA. Dr. Birner received an M.B.A. from Harvard Business School and a Ph.D. in biochemistry from Ludwig-Maximilian-University Munich, where he graduated summa cum laude. We believe Dr. Birner is qualified to serve as a member of our board of directors because of his business and professional experience.

Garen Bohlin has served as a member of our board of directors since September 2014. Since May 2012, Mr. Bohlin has served as a consultant to various life sciences and healthcare companies. From January 2010 until April 2012, he served as Executive Vice President of Constellation Pharmaceuticals, a biopharmaceutical company. Prior to joining Constellation Pharmaceuticals, Mr. Bohlin served as Chief Operating Officer of Sirtris Pharmaceuticals, a biopharmaceutical company, from 2006 to December 2009. Mr. Bohlin was the founding Chief Executive Officer of Syntonix Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a biopharmaceutical company, from 1999 through December 2008. Earlier in his career, he held multiple executive positions at Genetics Institute, a biopharmaceutical company, and was a partner at Arthur Andersen & Co., a public accounting and consulting organization. Mr. Bohlin currently serves on the board of directors of Tetrphase Pharmaceuticals and Karyopharm Therapeutics, both NASDAQ listed, and Acusphere, Inc. He also served on the board of directors for Targanta Therapeutics from 2007 to 2009, SpringLeaf Therapeutics from 2010 to 2013 and Precision Dermatology from 2012 to July 2014. Mr. Bohlin

received his B.S. in accounting and finance from The University of Illinois. We believe that Mr. Bohlin is qualified to serve on our board of directors because of his business and professional experience.

Todd Foley has served as a member of our board of directors since May 2012. Mr. Foley is a managing director with MPM Capital, a venture capital firm, which he joined in 1999. Prior to joining MPM, Mr. Foley worked in business development at Genentech in 1998 and in management consulting with Arthur D. Little from 1994 to 1997. Mr. Foley currently serves as a member of the board of directors of Chiasma, Inc., Iconic Therapeutics, Inc., OSS Inc., Selexys Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Valeritas Inc. and Rhythm Pharmaceuticals Inc. and he previously served as a member of the board of directors of Aires Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Celladon Corporation, and Zalicus Inc. Mr. Foley received a B.S. in chemistry from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and an M.B.A. from Harvard Business School. We believe Mr. Foley is qualified to serve as a member of our board of directors because of his business and professional experience

F. Nicholas Franano, M.D., has served as a member of our board of directors since March 2006. Dr. Franano is currently President and Chief Executive Officer of Flow Forward Medical, Inc., and Metactive Medical, Inc., two companies developing cardiovascular medical devices that may compete with our products, where he has served since January 2014. Prior to this, Dr. Franano was founder, President and Chief Executive Officer of Novita Therapeutics, a medical device incubator company, from 2009 to 2013. Dr. Franano founded Proteon, and served as our Chief Executive Officer from 2001 to 2006, and, after that, as our Chief Scientific Officer from 2006 to 2009. Dr. Franano received an M.D. and an M.A. in biomedical research from Washington University, St. Louis, and a B.S. in cell biology from the University of Kansas. He completed a residency in diagnostic radiology and a fellowship in interventional radiology at the Johns Hopkins Hospital. Prior to founding Proteon, Dr. Franano maintained a clinical practice in interventional radiology from 2000 through 2005. We believe Dr. Franano is qualified to serve as a member of our board of directors because of his business and professional experience.

John G. Freund, M.D., became a member of our board of directors in February 2014. Dr. Freund co-founded Skyline Ventures, a venture capital firm, in September 1997, where he has served as a partner since its founding. Prior to joining Skyline, Dr. Freund served as managing director in the private equity group of Chancellor Capital Management from 1995 to 1997. In 1995, he co-founded Intuitive Surgical, Inc. and served on its board of directors until 2000. From 1988 to 1994, Dr. Freund served in various positions at Acuson Corporation, now part of Siemens, most recently as Executive Vice President. Prior to joining Acuson, Dr. Freund was a general partner of Morgan Stanley Venture Partners from 1987 to 1988. From 1982 to 1988, Dr. Freund worked at Morgan Stanley & Co., where he co-founded the Healthcare Group in the Corporate Finance Department. Dr. Freund currently serves as a member of the board of directors of the following public companies: XenoPort, Inc., Tetrphase Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Concert Pharmaceuticals, Inc. He was on the board of MAKO Surgical Corp. from 2008 until its acquisition in 2013. Dr. Freund also serves as a member of the board of directors of the following private companies: Advion, Inc., Collegium Pharmaceuticals, Inc., DiscoverX Corporation, SI Bone, Inc. and Sutro Biopharma, Inc. He is a director of three mutual funds managed by Capital Research and Management. He is a member of the Advisory Board for the Harvard Business School Healthcare Initiative and is a member of the Therapeutics Advisory Council of Harvard Medical School. He received an A.B. in history from Harvard College, an M.D. from Harvard Medical School and an M.B.A. from Harvard Business School, where he was a Baker Scholar and won the Loeb Fellowship in Finance. We believe Dr. Freund is qualified to serve as a member of our board of directors because of his business and professional experience.

Tim Haines became a member of our board of directors in May 2014. Mr. Haines joined Abingworth in 2005 and is currently a partner. From 2000 to 2005, he was Chief Executive of Astex Therapeutics, an Abingworth portfolio company. From 1993 to 2000, Mr. Haines was Chief Executive of two divisions of the publicly-listed medical technology company, Datascope Corp. Prior to Datascope, he held a number of other senior management positions in the US and Europe, including CEO of Thackray Inc and General

Manager Baxter UK. Current and past board positions include Astex Pharmaceuticals, Chroma, Fovea, Pixium Vision, PowderMed, Kspine, Stanmore Implants, Lombard Medical, Sientra, and XCounter. Mr. Haines received a B.Sc. from Exeter University and an M.B.A. from INSEAD. We believe Mr. Haines is qualified to serve as a member of our board of directors because of his business and professional experience.

Dmitry Kobzyev, Ph.D., became a member of our board of directors in May 2014. Dr. Kobzyev joined Inbio Ventures, a venture capital management company representing Pharmstandard International S.A., in 2014 and is an Investment Manager. From 2009 to 2014, he served as an Investment Director of one of the top Russian life science venture capital teams at OJSC RUSNANO. From 2007 to 2009, Dr. Kobzyev advised international private equity and Russian corporate clients within the transactions practice at PricewaterhouseCoopers Russia. Dr. Kobzyev received a Ph.D. degree in economics from Moscow State University. We believe Dr. Kobzyev is qualified to serve as a member of our board of directors because of his business and professional experience.

Brendan M. O'Leary, Ph.D., has been a member of our board of directors since March 2006. Dr. O'Leary joined Prism VentureWorks, a venture capital firm, in 2003 and is currently a general partner. Dr. O'Leary began his professional career with numerous operating roles at IGEN International, a medical diagnostics company (acquired by Roche), where he served from 1999 to 2003, and Meso Scale Discovery, a high-throughput drug discovery start-up, where he served from 1999 to 2003. Dr. O'Leary previously served on the board of directors of Alacer Biomedical Inc. (acquired by Allergan), Atritech (acquired by Boston Scientific), Serica Technologies (acquired by Allergan), and Trius Therapeutics (acquired by Cubist). Dr. O'Leary received a Ph.D. in organic chemistry from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and a B.A. in chemistry and economics from Middlebury College, and was a Kauffman Fellow. We believe Dr. O'Leary is qualified to serve as a member of our board of directors because of his business and professional experience.

Gregory D. Phelps has been a member of our board of directors since February 2008 and has served as Chairman of the Board since July 2009. Mr. Phelps is an independent advisor to biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies. He was a founder and Partner of Red Sky Partners LLC, an advisory firm providing corporate development, product strategy and leadership support to life sciences companies, from February 2009 to February 2014. Prior to that, Mr. Phelps served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of RenaMed Biologics, Inc. from 2004 to 2007. Prior to that, he served as Chief Executive Officer of Ardaís Corporation from 2002 to 2003, as Vice Chairman and member of the executive committee of Dyax Corporation from 1998 to 2002, as Executive Vice President and Senior Vice President of Genzyme Corporation from 1991 to 1997. Mr. Phelps has previously served as a member of the board of directors of the following companies: EPIX Pharmaceuticals Inc. from 2004 to 2009, Ostex International Inc. from 1995 to 2001, Atlantic Biopharmaceuticals (now Merrimack Pharmaceuticals Inc.) from 1998 to 2000, Neozyme II Corporation from 1992 to 1996, and Genzyme Transgenics Corporation (now rEVO Biologics Inc.) from 1993 to 1995. Mr. Phelps received a B.S. in electrical engineering from Bradley University and an M.B.A. from Harvard Business School. We believe Mr. Phelps is qualified to serve as a member of our board of directors because of his business and professional experience.

Composition of the Board of Directors after this Offering

Our board of directors currently consists of 10 members. However, effective immediately prior to the effectiveness of this offering, Mr. Foley and Dr. Franano resigned from our board of directors and we will reduce the size of our board from 10 to eight upon completion of this offering. Our board of directors has determined that each of our Board members except Mr. Noyes is independent for NASDAQ purposes. The members of our board of directors were elected in compliance with the provisions of the voting agreement among us and our major stockholders. The voting agreement will be terminated upon the closing of this offering, and at present we do not have any contractual obligations regarding the election of our directors. See "Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions." Our directors hold office until their

successors have been elected and qualified or until their earlier death, resignation or removal. There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

In connection with this offering, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides for a classified board. At each annual meeting of the stockholders, a class of directors will be elected for a three-year term to succeed the directors of the same class whose terms are then expiring. As a result approximately one-third of our directors will be elected each year. The initial term of office of the directors of Class I shall expire as of the first annual meeting of the Company's stockholders following the closing of this offering; the initial term of office of the directors of Class II shall expire as of the second annual meeting of the Company's stockholders following the closing of this offering; and the initial term of office of the directors of Class III shall expire as of the third annual meeting of the Company's stockholders following the closing of this offering.

- Our Class I directors will be Timothy Noyes, Garen Bohlin and John Freund;
- Our Class II directors will be Hubert Birner, Dmitry Kobzyev and Gregory Phelps; and
- Our Class III directors will be Brendan O'Leary and Tim Haines.

Board Committees

Our board of directors has three standing committees: an audit committee, a compensation committee and a governance and nominating committee. The initial composition and responsibilities of each committee are described below.

Audit Committee

Our audit committee is composed of Hubert Birner, Garen Bohlin, Dmitry Kobzyev and Brendan O'Leary, with Garen Bohlin serving as chairman of the committee. Our board of directors has determined that each of Hubert Birner, Garen Bohlin, Dmitry Kobzyev and Brendan O'Leary satisfies the NASDAQ Stock Market independence standards and the independence standards of Rule 10A-3(b)(1) of the Exchange Act. In the case of Mr. Birner, the board of directors specifically determined that he is independent under Rule 10A-3 of the Exchange Act, notwithstanding Mr. Birner's affiliation with TVM Capital and its related funds, which will beneficially own more than 10% of our outstanding common stock following the IPO, and thus falls outside the safe harbor of such rule. Our board of directors has determined that Garen Bohlin is an "audit committee financial expert" under applicable rules and regulations of the SEC and the NASDAQ Stock Market.

Our audit committee will provide oversight of our accounting and financial reporting process, the audit of our financial statements and our internal control function. Among other things, our audit committee will be responsible for the following:

- appointing, approving the compensation of, and assessing the qualifications, performance and independence of our independent registered public accounting firm;
- pre-approving audit and permissible non-audit services, and the terms of such services, to be provided by our independent registered public accounting firm;
- reviewing the internal audit plan with the independent registered public accounting firm and members of management responsible for preparing our financial statements;
- reviewing and discussing with management and the independent registered public accounting firm our annual and quarterly financial statements and related disclosures as well as critical accounting policies and practices used by us;
- reviewing the adequacy of our internal control over financial reporting;
- establishing policies and procedures for the receipt and retention of accounting-related complaints and concerns;

- recommending, based upon the audit committee's review and discussions with management and the independent registered public accounting firm, whether our audited financial statements shall be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K;
- monitoring our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements as they relate to our financial statements and accounting matters;
- preparing the audit committee report required by the rules of the SEC to be included in our annual proxy statement;
- viewing all related party transactions for potential conflict of interest situations and approving all such transactions; and
- reviewing and discussing with management and our independent registered public accounting firm our earnings releases and scripts.

Compensation Committee

The members of our compensation committee are Hubert Birner, Tim Haines, Brendan O'Leary and Gregory Phelps, with Brendan O'Leary serving as chairman of the committee. Our board of directors has determined that each of Hubert Birner, Tim Haines, Brendan O'Leary and Gregory Phelps satisfies the NASDAQ Stock Market independence standards. Among other things, our compensation committee will be responsible for the following:

- annually reviewing and approving corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer;
- evaluating the performance of our Chief Executive Officer in light of such corporate goals and objectives and determining and approving the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer;
- reviewing and approving the compensation of our other executive officers;
- appointing, compensating and overseeing the work of any compensation consultant, legal counsel or other advisor retained by the compensation committee;
- conducting the independence assessment outlined in NASDAQ rules with respect to any compensation consultant, legal counsel or other advisor retained by the compensation committee;
- annually reviewing and reassessing the adequacy of the committee charter in its compliance with the listing requirements of NASDAQ;
- reviewing and establishing our overall management compensation, philosophy and policy;
- overseeing and administering our equity compensation and other compensatory plans;
- reviewing and approving our equity and incentive policies and procedures for the grant of equity-based awards and approving the grant of such equity-based awards;
- reviewing and making recommendations to the board of directors with respect to director compensation; and
- reviewing and discussing with management the compensation discussion and analysis to be included in our annual proxy statement or Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Governance and Nominating Committee

Our governance and nominating committee is composed of Hubert Birner, Dmitry Kobzyev and Tim Haines with Hubert Birner serving as chair of the committee. Our board of directors has determined that each of Hubert Birner, Dmitry Kobzyev and Tim Haines satisfies the NASDAQ Stock Market independence standards.

Our governance and nominating committee will be responsible for, among other things, making recommendations regarding corporate governance, the composition of our board of directors, identification, evaluation and nomination of director candidates and the structure and composition of committees of our board of directors. In addition, our governance and nominating committee will:

- oversee our corporate governance guidelines;
- approve our committee charters;

- oversee compliance with our code of business conduct and ethics;
- contribute to succession planning;
- review actual and potential conflicts of interest of our directors and officers other than related party transactions reviewed by the related-party matters committee; and
- oversee the board self-evaluation process.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of the members of our compensation committee is or has at any time during the past year been one of our officers or employees. None of our executive officers currently serves, or in the past year has served, as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving on our board of directors or compensation committee.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

We plan to adopt a code of business conduct and ethics that will apply to all of our employees, including our officers and directors, and those employees responsible for financial reporting. The code of business conduct and ethics will be available on our website. We expect that, to the extent required by law, any amendments to the code, or any waivers of its requirements, will be disclosed on our website.

EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**Summary Compensation Table**

The following table presents compensation awarded in 2013 to our principal executive officer and our two other most highly compensated persons serving as executive officers as of December 31, 2013 or paid to or accrued for those executive officers for services rendered during 2013. We refer to these executive officers as our "named executive officers."

<u>Name & Principal Position</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Salary (\$)</u>	<u>Bonus (\$ (1)</u>	<u>Option Awards \$(2)</u>	<u>All Other Compensation \$(3)</u>	<u>Total (\$)</u>
Timothy P. Noyes <i>President and Chief Executive Officer</i>	2013	393,710	73,830	—	4,595	472,135
Steven K. Burke, M.D. <i>Senior Vice President and Chief Medical Officer</i>	2013	359,870	80,980	—	5,624	446,474
Daniel Gottlieb <i>Vice President, Marketing and Business Development</i>	2013	203,772	38,630	10,364	1,867	254,633

- (1) Amounts represent cash bonuses earned in 2013, and paid during 2014, based on achievement of performance goals and other factors deemed relevant by our board of directors. Our 2013 company objectives related primarily to development and strategic achievements.
- (2) The amounts reported in the Option Awards column granted to our named executive officers represent the retrospective fair value of the stock options as of the grant date as computed in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC, Topic 718, not including any estimates of forfeitures. The assumptions used in calculating the grant date fair value of the stock options reported in the option awards column are set forth in Note 11 to our financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. Note that the amounts reported in this column reflect the accounting cost for these stock options, and do not correspond to the actual economic value that may be received by the named executive officers from the options.
- (3) This column reflects term life and disability insurance premiums paid by us on behalf of the named executive officers. All of these benefits are provided to the named executive officers on the same terms as provided to all of our regular full-time employees.

Executive Compensation**Overview**

Our executive compensation program is based on a pay-for-performance philosophy. We designed our executive compensation program to achieve the following primary objectives: provide compensation and benefit levels that will attract, retain, motivate and reward a highly talented executive team within the context of responsible cost management; establish a direct link between our individual/team performance and results and our executives' compensation; and align the interests and objectives of our executives with those of our stockholders by linking executive equity awards to stockholder value creation. Compensation for our executive officers is composed primarily of the following three main components: base salary; annual cash incentive bonuses and long-term equity incentives.

Base Salary

Base salaries are determined on a case-by-case basis for each named executive officer, including consideration of each officer's experience, expertise and performance, as well as market compensation levels for similar positions.

<u>Name</u>	<u>2013 Base Salary (\$)</u>	<u>2014 Base Salary (\$)</u>
Timothy P. Noyes	393,710	401,590
Steven Burke	359,870	367,070
Daniel P. Gottlieb	206,000	210,120

The 2013 base salary for Mr. Gottlieb became effective in connection with a promotion effective March 1, 2013. The 2013 base salary for Mr. Noyes and Dr. Burke became effective January 1, 2013. The 2014 base salary for each named executive officer became effective January 1, 2014.

In connection with this offering, Messrs. Noyes and Gottlieb and Dr. Burke will receive an increase in base salary. See "—Employment Agreements" for additional information.

Annual Cash Incentive Bonuses

Annual cash incentive bonuses are contingent upon our achievement of certain operational and financial objectives, which for 2013 consisted primarily of research and development goals. Each named executive officer's target bonus amount is expressed as a percentage of the officer's base salary and is intended to be commensurate with the officer's position and responsibilities. Target bonuses for each officer were 25% of base salary for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Long-term Equity Incentives

We believe equity awards in the form of options to purchase shares of our common stock provide an incentive for our named executive officers to focus on driving growth in our stock price and long-term value creation and help us to attract and retain key talent. In addition, the granting of options helps ensure that the interests of our officers are aligned with those of our stockholders as the options only have value if the value of our common stock increases after the date the option is granted.

Our officers are entitled to certain benefits if the officer's employment terminates in certain circumstances or if a change of control occurs. We also may provide our officers with relocation, housing or other benefits in certain circumstances. However, we do not provide any of our officers with a tax gross-up payment on any severance or change-of-control benefits (although we may provide tax reimbursement payments on relocation and other benefits). Our board of directors reviews (and, after this offering, our compensation committee will review) our officers' overall compensation packages on an annual basis or more frequently as it deems appropriate. From time to time, we may retain independent compensation consultants as we consider appropriate to help identify appropriate peer group companies and to obtain and evaluate current executive compensation data. We did not retain compensation consultants in designing our executive compensation programs for 2013. However, the compensation committee has retained independent compensation consultants for 2014 and beyond.

Employment Agreements

Below are written descriptions of our agreements with each of our named executive officers. In addition to the specifics described below, our named executive officers' employment agreements also provide for grants of stock options as described in more detail in the "Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End" table below and the footnotes that follow the table.

Timothy P. Noyes

We have entered into an amended and restated employment agreement, effective upon the completion of this offering, with Mr. Noyes to serve as our President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Noyes's employment with us is "at-will," and the agreement does not include a specified term. The agreement provides that Mr. Noyes receives an annual base salary, initially established at \$437,280 in 2014, and that he is eligible for an annual incentive bonus, with his target bonus being 50% of his base salary. The board of directors determines his actual bonus amount based on its assessment of Proteon's and his individual performance during the year. The agreement also provides for Mr. Noyes to participate in our benefit programs made available to our employees generally.

Under Mr. Noyes's agreement, if his employment is terminated by us without cause or by reason of constructive termination (as such terms are defined in the agreement), he will be entitled to receive cash severance equal to 12 months of his base salary or, in the event constructive termination (as defined in the agreement) occurs within 30 days prior to or 365 days following a corporate transaction, 18 months plus, only following a corporate transaction (as defined in the agreement), an amount equal to his bonus pro rated to reflect the number of days worked during that fiscal year; reimbursement of his COBRA premiums for up to 12 months or, in the event constructive termination occurs within 30 days prior to or 365 days following a corporate transaction (as defined in the agreement), 18 months; and 50% of any unvested stock options or unvested restricted shares (excluding certain grants) shall vest in full, accelerated to 100% if the termination occurs 30 days prior to or 365 days after a corporate transaction (as defined in the agreement). Mr. Noyes's right to receive these severance benefits is subject to his providing a release of claims in favor of Proteon.

The agreement includes a noncompetition covenant during Mr. Noyes's employment under the agreement and for 12 months thereafter or, in the event constructive termination (as defined in the agreement) occurs within 30 days prior to or 365 days following a corporate transaction (as defined in the agreement), 18 months thereafter. The agreement provides that we shall indemnify Mr. Noyes against all losses, damages, expenses and claims against him by reason of act or omission in connection with the performance of his duties to the fullest extent permitted by the law.

Upon the effectiveness of this offering, we expect to make an option grant to purchase 78,818 shares of common stock to Mr. Noyes, which option grant will be at an exercise price equal to the price of our common stock in connection with the offering. This option grant will vest 25% annually over four years, generally subject to Mr. Noyes's continued employment.

Steven K. Burke, M.D.

We have entered into an amended and restated employment agreement effective upon completion of this offering with Dr. Burke to serve as our Senior Vice President and Chief Medical Officer. Dr. Burke's employment with us is "at-will," and the agreement does not include a specified term. The agreement provides that Dr. Burke receives an annual base salary, initially established at \$378,100 in 2014, and that he is eligible for an annual incentive bonus, with his target bonus being 35% of his base salary. The board of directors determines his actual bonus amount based on its assessment of Proteon's and his individual performance during the year. The agreement also provides for Dr. Burke to participate in our benefit programs made available to our employees generally.

Under Dr. Burke's agreement, if his employment is terminated by us without cause or by reason of constructive termination (as these terms are defined in the agreement), he will be entitled to receive cash severance equal to 12 months of his base salary or, in the event constructive termination (as defined in the agreement) occurs within 30 days prior to or 365 days following a corporate transaction (as defined in the agreement), 12 months plus an amount equal to his bonus pro rated to reflect the number of days worked during that fiscal year; reimbursement of his COBRA premiums for up to twelve months; and any unvested stock options or unvested restricted shares (excluding certain grants) shall vest in full if the termination

occurs 30 days prior to or 365 days after a corporate transaction (as defined in the agreement). Dr. Burke's right to receive these severance benefits is subject to his providing a release of claims in favor of Proteon.

The agreement includes a noncompetition covenant during Dr. Burke's employment under the agreement and for 12 months thereafter. The agreement provides that we shall indemnify Dr. Burke against all losses, damages, expenses and claims against him by reason of act or omission in connection with the performance of his duties to the fullest extent permitted by the law.

Daniel P. Gottlieb

We have entered into an amended and restated employment agreement effective upon completion of this offering with Mr. Gottlieb to serve as our Vice President of Marketing and Business Development. Mr. Gottlieb's employment with us is "at-will," and the agreement does not include a specified term. The agreement provides that Mr. Gottlieb receives an annual base salary, initially established at \$221,230 in 2014, and that he is eligible for an annual incentive bonus, with his target bonus being 30% of his base salary. The board of directors will determine his actual bonus amount based on its assessment of Proteon's and his individual performance during the year. The agreement also provides for Mr. Gottlieb to participate in our benefit programs made available to our employees generally.

Under Mr. Gottlieb's agreement, if Mr. Gottlieb's employment is terminated by us without cause or by reason of constructive termination (as such terms are defined in the agreement), he will be entitled to receive cash severance equal to nine months of his base salary or, in the event constructive termination (as defined in the agreement) occurs within 30 days prior to or 365 days following a corporate transaction (as defined in the agreement), 12 months plus an amount equal to his bonus pro rated to reflect the number of days worked during that fiscal year; reimbursement of his COBRA premiums for up to nine months; and any unvested stock options or unvested restricted shares (excluding certain grants) shall vest in full if termination occurs 30 days prior to or 365 days after a corporate transaction (as defined in the agreement).

The agreement includes a noncompetition covenant during Mr. Gottlieb's employment under the agreement and for 9 months or, in the event constructive termination (as defined in the agreement) occurs within 30 days prior to or 365 days following a corporate transaction (as defined in the agreement), 12 months thereafter. The agreement provides that we shall indemnify Mr. Gottlieb against all losses, damages, expenses and claims against him by reason of act or omission in connection with the performance of his duties to the fullest extent permitted by the law.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

The following table sets forth information regarding outstanding equity awards held by our named executive officers as of December 31, 2013.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Notes</u>	<u>Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable(1)</u>	<u>Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable</u>	<u>Option Exercise Price (\$)(2)</u>	<u>Option Expiration Date</u>
Timothy P. Noyes	(3)	83,742	—	\$ 1.91	8/1/2016
	(3)	31,505	—	\$ 2.39	9/10/2017
	(3)	55,829	—	\$ 3.18	6/18/2019
	(3)	3,479	—	\$ 3.18	12/15/2019
	(4)	85,388	—	\$ 1.27	10/26/2021
Steven K. Burke, M.D.	(3)	30,411	—	\$ 1.91	8/1/2016
	(3)	12,287	—	\$ 2.39	9/10/2017
	(3)	14,898	—	\$ 3.18	6/18/2019
	(4)	57,934	—	\$ 1.27	10/26/2021
Daniel P. Gottlieb	(3)	5,316	—	\$ 2.39	9/10/2017
	(3)	1,855	—	\$ 3.18	6/18/2019
	(4)	3,780	—	\$ 1.27	10/26/2021
	(5)	630	—	\$ 22.22	3/25/2023

- (1) All of the outstanding option awards were granted under and subject to the terms of our 2006 Equity Incentive plan, described below under "—Equity Benefit and Stock Plans." Except as otherwise indicated, as of December 31, 2013, each option award is immediately exercisable but is subject to repurchase by us until vested. All vesting is subject to the officer's continuous service with us through the vesting dates and the potential vesting acceleration described above under "—Employment Agreements."
- (2) All of the option awards were granted with a per share exercise price equal to the fair market value of one share of our common stock on the date of grant, as determined in good faith by our board of directors
- (3) These grants are fully vested.
- (4) The unvested shares under this option are scheduled to vest in approximately equal quarterly installments through October 1, 2015.
- (5) The unvested shares under this option are scheduled to vest in approximately equal quarterly installments through January 1, 2017.

Employee Benefit and Stock Plans

2006 Equity Incentive Plan

We adopted our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, or 2006 Plan, in March 2006, and stockholders approved the plan in March 2006. Under the 2006 Plan, we granted options to purchase shares of our common stock to our employees, directors and consultants. Options under the 2006 Plan are either incentive stock options, within the meaning of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code, or nonqualified stock options. All options granted under the 2006 Plan expire no later than ten years from their date of grant. On August 21, 2014, our board of directors resolved to amend and restate the 2006 Plan, effective upon completion of this offering. No new awards will be granted under the 2006 Plan after the consummation of this initial public offering.

Administration

Our board of directors, or a committee appointed by the board, administers the 2006 Plan. As is customary in incentive plans of this nature, the number of shares subject to outstanding awards under the 2006 Plan and the exercise prices of those awards are subject to adjustment in the event of changes in our capital structure, reorganizations and other extraordinary events.

Transactions

In the event of a transaction, including (i) any merger or consolidation of Proteon, (ii) any sale or exchange of all of the common stock of Proteon, (iii) any sale, transfer or other disposition of all or substantially all of Proteon's assets, or (iv) any liquidation or dissolution of Proteon, the compensation committee may, with respect to all or any outstanding stock options and SARS, (1) provide that such awards will be assumed, or substantially equivalent rights shall be provided in substitution therefore, (2) provide that the recipient's unexercised awards will terminate immediately prior to the consummation of such transaction unless exercised within a specified period following written notice to the recipient, (3) provide that outstanding awards shall become exercisable in whole or in part prior to or upon the transaction, (4) provide for cash payments, net of applicable tax withholdings, to be made to the recipients, (5) provide that, in connection with a liquidation or dissolution of Proteon, awards shall convert into the right to receive liquidation proceeds net of the exercise price of the awards and any applicable tax withholdings, or (6) any combination of the foregoing. With respect to outstanding awards other than stock options or SARS that are not terminated prior or upon the transaction, upon the occurrence of a transaction other than a liquidation or dissolution of the Company which is not part of another form of transaction, the repurchase and other rights of Proteon under each such award will transfer to Proteon's successor. Upon the occurrence of such a liquidation or dissolution of Proteon, all risks of forfeiture and performance goals applicable to such other awards will automatically be deemed terminated or satisfied, unless specifically provided to the contrary in the award. Any determinations required to carry out any of the foregoing will be made by the compensation committee in its sole discretion.

Change of Control

Upon the occurrence of a change of control, to the extent the surviving entity declines to continue, convert, assume or replace outstanding awards, then all outstanding stock options and SARS will accelerate with respect to such percentage of the shares not then exercisable as is determined by the compensation committee, the risk of forfeiture applicable to all outstanding restricted stock and restricted stock units not based on achievement of performance goals will lapse with respect to such percentage of the restricted stock and restricted stock units still subject to such risk of forfeiture as is determined by the compensation committee, and such percentage of any outstanding awards of performance units will be deemed to have been satisfied as is determined by the compensation committee. In each case, a pro rata portion of each unvested award will be vested.

A change of control is defined as the occurrence of any of the following: (1) a transaction, as described above, unless securities possessing more than 50% of the total combined voting power of the resulting entity or ultimate parent entity are held by a person who held securities possessing more than 50% of the total combined voting power of Proteon immediately prior to the transaction; (2) any person or group of persons, excluding Proteon and certain other related entities, directly or indirectly acquires beneficial ownership of securities possessing more than 50% of the total combined voting power of Proteon, unless pursuant to a tender or exchange offer that Proteon's board of directors recommends stockholders accept; (3) over a period of no more than 24 consecutive months there is a change in the composition of Proteon's board such that a majority of the board members ceases to be composed of individuals who either (i) have been board members continuously since the beginning of that period, or (ii) have been elected or nominated for election as board members during such period by at least a majority of the remaining board members who have been board members continuously since the beginning of that period.

Amendment and Termination

Our board of directors may amend or terminate the 2006 Plan at any time, except that any such amendment or termination may not adversely affect the rights of a holder of an outstanding award without the holder's consent. The 2006 Plan requires that certain amendments, to the extent required by applicable law or any applicable listing agency or deemed necessary or advisable by the board of directors, be submitted to stockholders for their approval.

2014 Equity Incentive Plan

The following is a summary of the material terms of the 2014 Equity Incentive Plan, or 2014 Plan, which became effective immediately prior to effectiveness of this offering. It does not purport to be complete and is qualified by reference to the full text of the 2014 Equity Incentive Plan, which we will file as an exhibit to our registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

The 2014 Plan provides for the grant of incentive stock option and nonstatutory stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and stock unit awards, performance units, stock grants and qualified performance-based awards under Section 162(m) of the Code, which we collectively refer to as "awards" in connection with the 2014 Plan. Directors, officers and other employees of Proteon and our subsidiaries, as well as others performing consulting or advisory services for us, are eligible for grants under the 2014 Plan. The purpose of the 2014 Plan is to provide incentives that will attract, retain and motivate highly competent officers, directors, employees and consultants to promote the success of our business.

Administration

Under its terms, the 2014 Plan is administered by the compensation committee of the board of directors, which is made up of independent outside non employee directors for the purposes of applicable securities and tax laws. The board of directors itself may also exercise any of the powers and responsibilities under the 2014 Plan. Subject to the terms of the 2014 Plan, the plan administrator (the board or its compensation committee) will select the recipients of awards and determine, among other things, the:

- number of shares of common stock covered by the awards and the dates upon which such awards become exercisable or any restrictions lapse, as applicable;
- type of award and the exercise or purchase price and method of payment for each such award;
- vesting period for awards, risks of forfeiture and any potential acceleration of vesting or lapses in risks of forfeiture; and
- duration of awards.

All decisions, determinations and interpretations made in good faith by the compensation committee with respect to the 2014 Plan and the terms and conditions of or operation of any award are final and binding on all participants, beneficiaries, heirs, assigns or other persons holding or claiming rights under the 2014 Plan or any award.

Available Shares

The aggregate number of shares of our common stock which may be issued or used for reference purposes under the 2014 Plan or with respect to which awards may be granted, subject to the automatic increase provisions described below, may not exceed 704,000 shares, which may be either authorized and unissued shares of our common stock or shares of common stock held in or acquired for our treasury. In general, if awards under the 2014 Plan are for any reason cancelled, or expire or terminate unexercised, the number of shares covered by such awards will again be available for the grant of awards under the 2014 Plan. In addition, (i) shares used to pay the exercise price of a stock option and (ii) shares delivered to or withheld by us to pay the withholding taxes related to an award do not count as shares issued under the 2014 Plan.

The number of shares of common stock authorized under the 2014 Equity Incentive Plan also will be increased each January 1 starting in 2015 by an amount equal to the lesser of (i) four percent (4%) of our outstanding common stock on a fully diluted basis as of the end of our immediately preceding fiscal year, and (ii) any lower amount determined by our board prior to each such January 1. In no event shall the number of shares of our common stock available for issuance pursuant to incentive options exceed 14,080,000 shares of common stock.

Eligibility for Participation

Members of our board of directors, as well as employees of, and consultants to, us or any of our subsidiaries and affiliates are eligible to receive awards under the 2014 Plan. The selection of participants is within the sole discretion of the compensation committee.

Incentive Stock Options

Incentive stock options are intended to qualify as incentive stock options under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code and will be granted pursuant to incentive stock option agreements. The plan administrator will determine the exercise price for an incentive stock option, which may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the stock underlying the option determined on the date of grant. In addition, incentive options granted to employees who own, or are deemed to own, more than 10% of our voting stock, must have an exercise price not less than 110% of the fair market value of the stock underlying the option determined on the date of grant.

Nonstatutory Stock Options

Nonstatutory stock options are not intended to qualify as incentive stock options under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code and will be granted pursuant to nonstatutory stock option agreements. The plan administrator will determine the exercise price for a nonstatutory stock option.

Stock Appreciation Rights

A stock appreciation right, or a SAR, entitles a participant to receive a payment equal in value to the difference between the fair market value of a share of stock on the date of exercise of the SAR over a specified exercise price of the SAR. SARs may be granted in tandem with a stock option, such that the recipient has the opportunity to exercise either the stock option or the SAR, but not both. The base exercise price (above which any appreciation is measured) will not be less than 50% of the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant of the SAR or, in the case of an SAR granted in tandem with a stock option, the exercise price will be the same as the exercise price of the related stock option. The administrator may pay that amount in cash, in shares of our common stock, or a combination. The terms, methods of exercise, methods of settlement, form of consideration payable in settlement, and any other terms and conditions of any SAR will be determined by the administrator at the time of the grant of award and will be reflected in the award agreement.

Restricted Stock and Stock Units

A restricted stock award or restricted stock unit award is the grant of shares of our common stock either currently (in the case of restricted stock) or at a future date (in the case of restricted stock units) at a price determined by the administrator (including zero), that is nontransferable and is subject to substantial risk of forfeiture until specific conditions or goals are met. Conditions are typically based on continuing employment. During the period of restriction, participants holding shares of restricted stock shall, except as otherwise provided in an individual award agreement, have full voting and dividend rights with respect to such shares but any stock dividends or other distributions payable in shares of stock or other securities of ours will be subject to the same vesting conditions that apply to the shares of restricted stock in respect of which the dividend was made. The receipt of cash dividends may also be deferred or required to be invested in additional shares of restricted stock. Participants holding restricted stock units may be entitled to receive payments equivalent to any dividends declared with respect to the common stock referenced in the grant of the restricted stock units, but only following the close of the applicable restriction period and then only if the underlying common stock has been earned. The restrictions will lapse in accordance with a schedule or other conditions determined by the administrator.

Performance Units

A performance unit award is a contingent right to receive predetermined shares of our common stock over an initial value for such number of shares (which may be zero) established by the compensation committee at the time of grant if certain performance goals or other business objectives are met within the specified performance period. The value of performance units will depend on the degree to which the specified performance goals are achieved but are generally based on the value of our common stock. The compensation committee may, in its discretion, pay earned performance shares in cash, or stock, or a combination of both.

Our compensation committee has discretion to select the length of any applicable restriction or performance period, the kind and/or level of the applicable performance goal, and whether the performance goal is to apply to us, one of our subsidiaries or any division or business unit, or to the recipient.

Stock Grants

A stock grant is an award of shares of common stock without restriction. Stock grants may only be made in limited circumstances, such as in lieu of other earned compensation. Stock grants are made without any forfeiture conditions.

Qualified Performance-Based Awards

Qualified performance-based awards include performance criteria intended to satisfy Section 162(m) of the Code. Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code limits our federal income tax deduction for compensation to certain specified senior executives to \$1 million, but excludes from that limit "performance-based compensation." Any form of award permitted under the 2014 Plan, other than stock grants, may be granted as a qualified performance-based award, but in the case of awards other than stock options or SARs will be subject to satisfaction of performance goals. The performance criteria used to establish performance goals are limited to the following: (i) cash flow (before or after dividends); (ii) earnings per share (including, without limitation, earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization); (iii) stock price; (iv) return on equity; (v) stockholder return or total stockholder return; (vi) return on capital (including, without limitation, return on total capital or return on invested capital); (vii) return on investment; (viii) return on assets or net assets; (ix) market capitalization; (x) economic value added; (xi) debt leverage (debt to capital); (xii) revenue; (xiii) sales or net sales; (xiv) backlog; (xv) income, pre-tax income or net income; (xvi) operating income or pre-tax profit; (xvii) operating profit, net operating profit or economic profit; (xviii) gross margin, operating margin or profit margin; (xix) return on operating revenue or return on operating assets; (xx) cash from operations; (xxi) operating ratio; (xxii) operating revenue; (xxiii) market share improvement; (xxiv) general and administrative expenses and (xxv) customer service.

Transferability

Awards, other than stock grants, granted under the 2014 Plan are generally nontransferable (other than by will or the laws of descent and distribution), except that the compensation committee may provide for the transferability of nonstatutory stock options at the time of grant or thereafter to certain family members.

Adjustment for Corporate Actions

In the event of any change in the outstanding shares of common stock as a result of a reorganization, recapitalization, reclassification, stock dividend, stock split, reverse stock split or other similar distribution with respect to the shares of common stock, an appropriate and proportionate adjustment will be made in (i) the maximum numbers and kinds of shares subject to the 2014 Plan, (ii) the numbers and kinds of shares or other securities subject to then outstanding awards, (iii) the exercise price for each share or other unit of any other securities subject to then outstanding stock options or SARs (without change in the aggregate purchase price as to which such stock options or SARs remain exercisable), and (iv) the repurchase price of each share of restricted stock then subject to a risk of forfeiture in the form of a Company repurchase right. Any such adjustment in awards will be determined and made by the Compensation Committee in its sole discretion.

Transactions

In the event of a transaction, including (i) any merger or consolidation of Proteon, (ii) any sale or exchange of all of the common stock of Proteon, (iii) any sale, transfer or other disposition of all or substantially all of Proteon's assets, or (iv) any liquidation or dissolution of Proteon, the compensation committee may, with respect to all or any outstanding stock options and SARs, (1) provide that such awards will be assumed, or substantially equivalent rights shall be provided in substitution therefore, (2) provide that the recipient's unexercised awards will terminate immediately prior to the consummation of such transaction unless exercised within a specified period following written notice to the recipient, (3) provide that outstanding awards shall become exercisable in whole or in part prior to or upon the transaction, (4) provide for cash payments, net of applicable tax withholdings, to be made to the recipients, (5) provide that, in connection with a liquidation or dissolution of Proteon, awards shall convert into the right to receive liquidation proceeds net of the exercise price of the awards and any applicable tax

withholdings, or (6) any combination of the foregoing. With respect to outstanding awards other than stock options or SARs that are not terminated prior to or upon the transaction, upon the occurrence of a transaction other than a liquidation or dissolution of the Company which is not part of another form of transaction, the repurchase and other rights of Proteon under each such award will transfer to Proteon's successor. Upon the occurrence of such a liquidation or dissolution of Proteon, all risks of forfeiture and performance goals applicable to such other awards will automatically be deemed terminated or satisfied, unless specifically provided to the contrary in the award. Any determinations required to carry out any of the foregoing will be made by the compensation committee in its sole discretion.

Change of Control

Upon the occurrence of a change of control, to the extent that the surviving entity declines to continue, convert, assume or replace outstanding awards, then all outstanding stock options and SARs will accelerate with respect to such percentage of the shares not then exercisable as is determined by the compensation committee, the risk of forfeiture applicable to all outstanding restricted stock and restricted stock units not based on achievement of performance goals will lapse with respect to such percentage of the restricted stock and restricted stock units still subject to such risk of forfeiture as is determined by the compensation committee, and such percentage of any outstanding awards of performance units will be deemed to have been satisfied as is determined by the compensation committee. In each case, a pro rata portion of each unvested award will be vested.

A change of control is defined as the occurrence of any of the following: (1) a transaction, as described above, unless securities possessing more than 50% of the total combined voting power of the resulting entity or ultimate parent entity are held by a person who held securities possessing more than 50% of the total combined voting power of Proteon immediately prior to the transaction; (2) any person or group of persons, excluding Proteon and certain other related entities, directly or indirectly acquires beneficial ownership of securities possessing more than 50% of the total combined voting power of Proteon, unless pursuant to a tender or exchange offer that Proteon's board of directors recommends stockholders accept; (3) over a period of no more than 24 consecutive months there is a change in the composition of Proteon's board such that a majority of the board members ceases to be composed of individuals who either (i) have been board members continuously since the beginning of that period, or (ii) have been elected or nominated for election as board members during such period by at least a majority of the remaining board members who have been board members continuously since the beginning of that period.

Amendment and Termination

Our board of directors may at any time amend any or all of the provisions of the 2014 Equity Incentive Plan, or suspend or terminate it entirely, retroactively or otherwise. Unless otherwise required by law or specifically provided in the 2014 Equity Incentive Plan, the rights of a participant under awards granted prior to any amendment, suspension or termination may not be adversely affected without the consent of the participant. The compensation committee of board of directors is expressly authorized to amend any or all outstanding options at any time and from time to time to effect a repricing thereof by lowering the exercise price applicable to the shares of stock subject to such option(s) without the consent or approval of the stockholders of the Company or the holder or holders of such option(s), and, in connection with such repricing, to amend or modify any of the other terms of the option(s) so repriced, including, without limitation, for purposes of reducing the number of shares subject to such option(s) or for purposes of adversely affecting the provisions applicable to such option(s) that relate to the vesting or exercisability thereof, in each case without the approval or consent of stockholders of the Company or the holder(s) of such option(s). The 2014 Equity Incentive Plan expires after ten years.

Allocation of Awards; Plan Benefits.

It is not presently possible to determine the dollar value of award payments that may be made or the number of options, shares of restricted stock, restricted stock units, or other awards that may be granted under the 2014 Equity Incentive Plan in the future, or the individuals who may be selected for such awards because awards under the 2014 Equity Incentive Plan are granted at the discretion of the compensation committee.

2014 Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The following is a summary of the material terms of the 2014 employee stock purchase plan, the ESPP, which will be in effect upon completion of this offering. It does not purport to be complete and is qualified by reference to the full text of the ESPP, which we will file as an exhibit to our registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. The ESPP provides an incentive to, and encourages stock ownership by, all of our eligible employees and those of our participating subsidiaries so that they may share in our growth by acquiring or increasing their share ownership in the Company. It is intended that the ESPP constitute an "employee stock purchase plan" within the meaning of Section 423 of the Code. Under the ESPP, eligible employees may purchase shares of our common stock through payroll deductions.

Administration

The ESPP is administered by the compensation committee of our board of directors. The board of directors itself may exercise any of the powers and responsibilities under the ESPP. The compensation committee may delegate its duties in order to facilitate the purchase and transfer of shares of our common stock and for the day-to-day administration of the ESPP. The compensation committee, has the discretion, subject to the provisions of the ESPP, to make or to select the manner of making all determinations with respect to options granted under the ESPP. Further, the compensation committee has complete authority to interpret the ESPP, to prescribe, amend and rescind rules and regulations relating to it, and to make all other determinations necessary or advisable for the administration of the ESPP. All decisions, determinations and interpretations made in good faith by the compensation committee with respect to the ESPP are final and binding on all persons having or claiming any interest in the ESPP or any option granted under the ESPP.

Shares Subject to the Plan

The shares issued or to be issued under the ESPP are authorized but unissued shares of our common stock. The ESPP authorizes the issuance of up to 140,500 shares of common stock. The number of shares authorized under the ESPP will be increased each January 1, commencing on January 1, 2015 and ending on (and including) January 1, 2024, by an amount equal to the lesser of (i) one percent (1%) of outstanding shares as of the end of the immediately preceding fiscal year and (ii) 281,000. Notwithstanding the foregoing, our board of directors may act prior to January 1 of a given year to provide that there will be no such January 1 increase in the number of shares authorized under the ESPP for such year, or that the increase in the number of shares authorized under the ESPP for such year will be a lesser number than would otherwise occur pursuant to the preceding sentence.

Terms of Participation

The ESPP will be implemented through a series of purchase periods called "plan periods." The initial plan period shall commence on such date following the closing of our initial public offering as the compensation committee may determine in its sole discretion and continue until December 31, 2014. After the initial plan period, each calendar year shall be divided into two plan periods, the first beginning on January 1 and ending on the immediately following June 30, and the second beginning on July 1 and ending on the immediately following December 31. An eligible employee will be granted an option at the beginning of the plan period, and can accumulate money to pay the exercise price for the option by electing to have payroll deductions taken from each payroll during a plan period of an amount, in whole

percentages, between 1% and 15% of his or her compensation, but will not exceed \$25,000 on an annual basis. At the end of each plan period, unless the participating employee has withdrawn from the ESPP, the option will be exercised by applying the employee's accumulated payroll deductions to the purchase of Common Stock. The exercise price paid by the employee will be the lower of 85% of the fair market value of our common stock at (i) the commencement of the plan period and (ii) the end of the plan period.

Withdrawal

An employee may withdraw from participation in an offering up to two weeks prior to the plan period termination date and permanently draw out the balance accumulated in his or her account. In such case, the employee's option for the plan period he or she is withdrawing from will be automatically terminated. A participant's withdrawal from a plan period will not have any effect upon his or her eligibility to participate in a succeeding plan period or in any similar plan which we may adopt. If a participant's employment ends prior to a plan period termination date for any reason, including retirement or death, the contributions credited to his or her account will be returned to him or her or, in the case of his or her death, to his or her designated beneficiaries, and his or her option will be automatically terminated.

Eligibility

Our employees and those of a participating subsidiary are eligible to participate in the ESPP if we employ them for at least 20 hours per week and more than five months per year. However, no employee shall be granted an option under the ESPP if, immediately after the grant, the employee would own stock, including any outstanding options to purchase stock, equaling 5% or more of the total voting power or value of all classes of our stock. In addition, the ESPP provides that no employee may be granted an option if the option would permit the employee to purchase stock under all of our employee stock purchase plans in an amount that exceeds \$25,000 of the fair market value of such stock for each calendar year in which the option is outstanding.

Adjustment for Corporate Actions

In the event of any change in the outstanding shares of common stock as a result of a reorganization, recapitalization, reclassification, stock dividend, stock split, reverse stock split, or other similar distribution with respect to the shares of common stock, an appropriate and proportionate adjustment will be made in (i) the maximum numbers and kinds of shares subject to the ESPP, (ii) the numbers and kinds of shares or other securities subject to the then outstanding options, and (iii) the exercise price for each share or other unit of any other securities subject to then outstanding options.

Corporate Transactions

In the event of our dissolution or liquidation, the plan period then in progress will terminate unless otherwise provided by the compensation committee. In the event of another significant corporate transaction such as a merger or consolidation of us with and into another person or entity or the sale or transfer of all or substantially all of our assets, each right to purchase stock under the ESPP may be assumed, or an equivalent right substituted by, the successor corporation or a parent or subsidiary of the successor corporation. In the event that the successor corporation refuses to assume each purchase right or to substitute an equivalent right, any ongoing offering period will be shortened so that employees' rights to purchase stock under the ESPP are exercised prior to the transaction, unless the employee has withdrawn.

Amendment and Termination

Our board of directors has the power to amend or terminate the ESPP and to change or terminate plan periods as long as any such action does not adversely affect any outstanding rights to purchase stock; provided, however, that the board of directors may amend or terminate the ESPP or a plan period even if it would adversely affect outstanding options in order to avoid our incurring adverse accounting charges or if the board of directors determines that termination of the ESPP and/or plan period is in our best interest

and the best interest of our stockholders. The ESPP will continue in effect until the tenth anniversary of the closing of the offering described in this prospectus, unless earlier terminated by the board of directors.

Amount of Benefits

The dollar value of benefits that will be received by any employee or group of employees in the ESPP is not determinable due to the voluntary nature of the ESPP and the variables involved in the calculation of any such benefits (including our stock price).

401(k) Plan

We maintain a defined contribution employee retirement plan, or 401(k) plan, for our employees. Our named executive officers are also eligible to participate in the 401(k) plan on the same basis as our other employees. The 401(k) plan is intended to qualify as a tax-qualified plan under Section 401(k) of the Code. The plan provides that each participant may contribute up to the statutory limit, which is \$17,500 for calendar year 2014. Participants that are 50 years or older can also make "catch-up" contributions, which in calendar year 2014 may be up to an additional \$5,500 above the statutory limit. We may also elect to provide for discretionary profit sharing contributions, but we did not provide any such contributions in 2013. In general, eligible compensation for purposes of the 401(k) plan includes an employee's earnings reportable on IRS Form W-2 subject to certain adjustments and exclusions required under the Code. The 401(k) plan currently does not offer the ability to invest in our securities.

Disclosure of Commission Position on Indemnification for Securities Act Liabilities

Our amended and restated bylaws provide for the indemnification of officers, directors and third parties acting on our behalf if such persons act in good faith and in a manner reasonably believed to be in and not opposed to our best interest, and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, such indemnified party had no reason to believe his or her conduct was unlawful.

To the extent that indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to directors, officers or persons controlling our company pursuant to the foregoing provisions, we have been informed that, in the opinion of the SEC, this indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is therefore unenforceable. If a claim for indemnification against these liabilities (other than the payment by us of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer or controlling person of our company in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by any of our directors, officers or controlling persons in connection with the securities being registered, we will, unless in the opinion of our counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether this indemnification by us is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of that issue.

Director Compensation

The following table sets forth a summary of the compensation we paid to our non-employee directors during 2013. Other than as set forth in the table below, we did not pay any compensation, make any equity awards or non-equity awards to, or pay any other compensation to any of the other non-employee members of our board of directors in 2013. Mr. Noyes received no compensation for his service as a director, and, consequently, is not included in this table.

Prior to this offering, we did not have a formal policy for compensating our non-employee directors. However, non-employee directors who are not affiliated with any of our major stockholders may receive stock options and other equity awards under our stock incentive plans from time to time as determined by our board of directors. We also reimburse non-employee directors for travel expenses incurred in connection with their duties as directors.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Fees Earned or Paid in Cash</u>	<u>Stock Options</u>	<u>All Other Compensation</u>
Hubert Birner, Ph.D.	—	—	—
Garen Bohlin	—	—	—
Todd Foley	—	—	—
F. Nicholas Franano, M.D.(1)	—	—	\$ 43,000
John G. Freund, M.D.	—	—	—
Tim Haines	—	—	—
Dmitry Kobzyev	—	—	—
Brendan M. O'Leary, Ph.D.	—	—	—
Gregory D. Phelps(2)	\$ 20,000	—	—

(1) Amount represents consulting fees for services rendered by Dr. Franano.

(2) Amount represents annual director fee for Mr. Phelps. Amount was paid in equal quarterly installments.

We will adopt a new compensation program for our non-employee directors concurrent with the consummation of this offering. We retained an independent compensation consultant to help us determine the terms of the non-employee director compensation program. Under the program, effective upon the closing of this offering, each non-employee director shall be paid an annual fee of \$35,000 and such additional fees as set out in the following table. All payments are to be made semi-annually, in arrears.

<u>Non-Employee Director</u>	<u>Annual Fee</u>
Chairman of the Company	\$ 25,000
Chairman of the audit committee	\$ 15,000
Member of the audit committee (other than chairman)	\$ 7,500
Chairman of the compensation committee	\$ 10,000
Member of the compensation committee (other than chairman)	\$ 5,000
Chairman of the governance and nominating committee	\$ 7,500
Member of the governance and nominating committee (other than chairman)	\$ 3,750

Upon the effectiveness of this offering, we made an initial option grant to purchase 13,333 shares of common stock to Mr. Bohlin, which option grant was at an exercise price equal to the price of our common stock in connection with the offering. Excluding Mr. Bohlin, each current non-employee director on the Board, upon the effectiveness of this offering, received an option grant being exercisable for such number of shares of common stock equal to 6,666, which option grant was at an exercise price equal to the price of our common stock in connection with the offering. Mr. Bohlin's initial option grant will vest annually over three years. The option grants received by the current non-employee directors, upon the effectiveness of this offering, will vest 100% on the date of the next annual meeting of the stockholders.

In addition, upon completion of this offering, we intend to provide our non-employee directors with equity compensation for service on our board of directors and committees on annual basis. We expect to make these grants around the time of the Company's annual meeting of stockholders. This equity compensation will consist of a grant of options to purchase 6,666 shares of common stock at an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant and will vest at the Company's next annual meeting of the stockholders. Additionally, after completion of this offering, we intend to provide any new non-employee director appointed to the board of directors an initial grant to purchase 13,333 shares of common stock at an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of such director's appointment which shall vest annually over three years. These annual grants and new director grants will be subject to approval by the Company's board of directors at the time.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Other than compensation arrangements, we describe below transactions and series of similar transactions, since January 1, 2011, to which we were a party or will be a party, in which:

- the amounts involved exceeded or will exceed \$120,000; and
- any of the directors, executive officers or holders of more than 5% of the capital stock of Proteon, or any member of the immediate family of the foregoing persons, had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

Compensation arrangements for our directors and named executive officers are described elsewhere in this prospectus.

Debt Financing

In April 2013, we entered into a convertible note purchase agreement with beneficial owners of more than 5% of our capital stock, pursuant to which, in September 2013, we issued secured convertible promissory notes. The notes carried interest at 8% per annum. In May 2014, these notes were converted and the aggregate amount of outstanding principal and unpaid accrued interest thereon was exchanged for shares of our Series D convertible preferred stock, as described below under "—Series D Preferred Stock Financing." The following table sets forth the aggregate principal amount of promissory notes that we issued to our directors, executive officers and 5% stockholders, and their affiliates or immediate family members:

<u>Investor</u>	<u>Aggregate Principal Amount of Notes</u>
Intersouth Partners VI, L.P.	\$ 653,950
Prism Venture Partners and related funds	\$ 937,000
Skyline Venture Partners Qualified Purchaser Fund IV, LP	\$ 921,241
TVM Capital and related funds	\$ 1,172,529

Preferred Stock Financing*Series C Preferred Stock Financing*

In August 2011, we issued and sold to investors an aggregate of 13,202,932 shares of our Series C convertible preferred stock and warrants to purchase 659,806 shares of our common stock, at a purchase price of \$1.15 per share, for aggregate consideration of approximately \$15,183,371, which was paid for in cash. As of June 30, 2014, there are 659,806 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of outstanding warrants at a weighted average exercise price of \$4.60 per share. The following table sets forth the aggregate amount of securities that we issued to our directors, executive officers and 5% stockholders, and their affiliates or immediate family members in this transaction:

<u>Investor</u>	<u>Shares of Series C Preferred Stock Issued</u>	<u>Shares of Common Stock Underlying the Warrants</u>	<u>Purchase Price</u>
TVM Capital and related funds	3,130,434	156,443	\$ 3,599,999
Skyline Venture Partners Qualified Purchaser Fund IV, L.P.	2,436,437	121,760	\$ 2,801,903
Prism Venture Partners and related funds	2,478,183	123,846	\$ 2,849,910
Intersouth Partners VI, L.P.	1,729,523	86,432	\$ 1,988,951
MPM Bio IV NVS Strategic Fund, LP	1,645,073	82,212	\$ 1,891,834

Series D Preferred Stock Financing

On May 13, 2014, we issued and sold to investors an aggregate of 52,813,827 shares of our Series D convertible preferred stock, at a purchase price of \$0.588656 per share, for aggregate consideration of \$25,000,000. This included 10,344,201 shares of our Series D preferred stock that was paid for by converting of approximately \$4.5 million of principal indebtedness and unpaid accrued interest thereon under the promissory notes described above under "—Debt Financing," at a conversion price of \$0.4414 per share, which represented a 25% discount on the purchase price per share of our Series D convertible preferred stock issued and sold in the offering.

The following table sets forth the aggregate amount of securities that we issued to our directors, executive officers and 5% stockholders, and their affiliates or immediate family members in this transaction:

<u>Investor</u>	<u>Shares of Series D Preferred Stock Issued</u>	<u>Purchase Price</u>
Abingworth Bioventures VI, LP	16,044,081	\$ 9,444,445
Pharmstandard International S.A.	8,493,925	\$ 5,000,000
Deerfield and related funds	8,493,925	\$ 5,000,000
TVM Capital and related funds	5,005,486	\$ 2,534,850
Skyline Venture Partners Qualified Purchaser Fund IV, L.P.	3,932,747	\$ 1,991,600
Prism Venture Partners and related funds	4,000,070	\$ 2,025,698
Intersouth Partners VI, L.P.	2,319,806	\$ 1,135,974
MPM Bio IV NVS Strategic Fund, LP	1,765,137	\$ 1,039,058

Participation in this Offering

Certain holders of more than 5% of our voting securities have agreed to purchase 2,986,920 shares of our common stock in this offering at the initial public offering price.

Investors' Rights Agreement

In connection with our Series D convertible preferred stock financing, on May 13, 2014, we entered into the Fourth Amended and Restated Investors' Rights Agreement with the holders of all of our then-outstanding shares of preferred stock including certain of our executive officers and entities with which certain of our directors are affiliated. The agreement provides that these holders have the right to demand that we file a registration statement with respect to the common stock issued upon conversion of our preferred stock. These holders may also request that shares of common stock held by them be included in certain registration statements that we are otherwise filing. See "Description of Capital Stock—Registration Rights."

Right of First Refusal and Co-Sale Agreement

In connection with our Series D convertible preferred stock financing, on May 13, 2014, we entered into the Fourth Amended and Restated Right of First Refusal and Co-Sale Agreement with the holders of all of our then-outstanding shares of preferred stock including certain of our executive officers and entities with which certain of our directors are affiliated. Pursuant to the terms of this agreement, in the event of a proposed sale of shares of our common or preferred stock, the seller is required to first offer such shares to the company and to the other investors, subject to certain conditions and restrictions. This agreement will terminate upon the completion of this offering.

Voting Agreement

In connection with our Series D convertible preferred stock financing on May 13, 2014, we entered into the Fourth Amended and Restated Voting Agreement with the holders of all of our then outstanding shares of preferred stock including certain of our executive officers and entities with which certain of our directors are affiliated, with respect to the election of directors and certain other matters. All of our current directors were elected pursuant to the terms of this agreement. This agreement will terminate upon the completion of this offering.

Related Party Transactions Policy

Prior to completion of the offering, we will adopt a related person transaction approval policy that will govern the review of related person transactions following the closing of this offering. Pursuant to this policy, if we want to enter into a transaction with a related person or an affiliate of a related person, our Chief Financial Officer will review the proposed transaction to determine, based on applicable NASDAQ and SEC rules, if such transaction requires pre-approval by the audit committee and/or board of directors. If pre-approval is required, the matters will be reviewed at the next regular or special audit committee and/or board of directors meeting. We may not enter into a related person transaction unless our Chief Financial Officer has either specifically confirmed in writing that no further reviews are necessary or that all requisite corporate reviews have been obtained.

Indemnification of Directors and Officers

Prior to the completion of this offering, we expect to enter into indemnification agreements with each of our directors and executive officers. These agreements will require us to indemnify these individuals and, in certain cases, affiliates of such individuals, to the fullest extent permissible under Delaware law against liabilities that may arise by reason of their service to us or at our direction, and to advance expenses incurred as a result of any proceeding against them as to which they could be indemnified.

PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS

The following table sets forth information relating to the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of September 30, 2014, by: each person, or group of affiliated persons, known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of our outstanding shares of common stock; each of our directors; each of our named executive officers; and all of our directors and executive officers as a group.

The number of shares beneficially owned by each entity, person, director or executive officer is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC, and the information is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Under such rules, beneficial ownership includes any shares over which the individual has sole or shared voting power or investment power as well as any shares that the individual has the right to acquire within 60 days of September 30, 2014 through the exercise of any stock option, warrants or other rights. Except as otherwise indicated, and subject to applicable community property laws, the persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock held by that person.

The percentage of shares beneficially owned is computed on the basis of 8,883,903 shares of our common stock outstanding as of September 30, 2014. Shares of our common stock that a person has the right to acquire within 60 days of September 30, 2014 are deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage ownership of the person holding such rights, but are not deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage ownership of any other person, except with respect to the percentage ownership of all directors and executive officers as a group. Unless otherwise indicated below, the address for each beneficial owner listed is c/o Proteon Therapeutics, Inc., 200 West Street, Waltham, MA 02451.

Certain holders of more than 5% of our common stock and their affiliated entities have agreed to purchase an aggregate of approximately \$29.8 million in shares of our common stock in this offering at the initial public offering price or an aggregate of up to approximately 2,986,920 of the 6,110,000 shares in this offering. The following table does not reflect any such purchases by these existing principal stockholders or their affiliated entities.

Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Shares Beneficially Owned	
		Before Offering	After Offering
5% Stockholders:			
Abingworth Bioventures VI, L.P.(1)	1,819,743	18.9%	11.6%
TVM Capital and related funds(2)	1,746,623	19.1	11.4
Prism Venture Partners and related funds(3)	1,394,053	15.3	9.2
Skyline Venture Partners Qualified Purchaser Fund IV, L.P.(4)	1,370,337	15.1	9.0
Pharmstandard International S.A.(6)	963,393	10.4	6.3
Deerfield and related funds(5)	963,392	10.4	6.3
Intersouth Partners VI, L.P.(7)	920,058	10.2	6.1
MPM Bio IV NVS Strategic Fund, L.P.(8)	916,100	10.1	6.0
Directors and Named Executive Officers:			
Timothy P. Noyes(9)	385,966	4.2	2.5
Gregory D. Phelps(10)	31,670	*	*
Hubert Birner, Ph.D.(2)	1,746,623	19.1	11.4
Brendan M. O'Leary, Ph.D.(3)	1,394,053	15.3	9.2
John G. Freund, M.D.(4)	1,370,337	15.1	9.0
Timothy Haines(1)	1,819,743	18.9	11.6
F. Nicholas Franano, M.D.(11)	310,252	3.5	2.1
Todd Foley(8)	916,100	10.1	6.0
Dmitry Kobyzhev(6)	963,392	10.4	6.3
Garen Bohlin	—	*	*
Steven K. Burke(12)	197,444	2.2	1.3
Daniel P. Gottlieb(13)	61,990	*	*
All executive officers and directors as a group (13 persons)(14)	9,323,586	79.3%	52.2%

* Indicates ownership of less than one percent.

- (1) Includes 1,064,188 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock. Includes 755,555 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock that the holder has the right to acquire, which right cannot be exercised during the period commencing on the date that the Company submits a registration statement on Form S-1 to the SEC and ending in the earlier of (a) the third business day following the withdrawal of such registration statement on Form S-1 in connection with any abandonment of the IPO and (b) the closing of the IPO. Abingworth Bioventures VI GP LP, a Scottish limited partnership, serves as the general partner of Abingworth Bioventures VI LP ("ABV VI"). Abingworth General Partner VI LLP, an English limited liability partnership, serves as the general partner of Abingworth Bioventures VI GP LP. ABV VI (acting by its general partner Abingworth Bioventures VI GP LP, acting by its general partner Abingworth General Partner VI LLP) has delegated to Abingworth LLP, an English limited liability partnership, all investment and dispositive power over the securities held by ABV VI. An investment committee of Abingworth LLP, comprised of Joseph Anderson, Michael F. Bigham, Stephen W. Bunting, Genghis Lloyd-Harris, and Timothy Haines, our director, approves investment and voting decisions by a majority vote, and no individual member has the sole control or voting power over the securities held by ABV VI. Each of Abingworth Bioventures VI GP LP, Abingworth General Partner VI LLP, Joseph Anderson, Michael F. Bigham, Stephen W. Bunting, Genghis Lloyd-Harris, and Timothy Haines disclaims beneficial ownership of the securities held by the ABV VI except to the extent of their proportionate pecuniary interest therein. The address of the principal place of business of each of the entities and individuals listed above is c/o Abingworth LLP, Princes House, 38 Jermyn Street, London, England SW1Y 6DN.
- (2) Includes (a) 1,106,776 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock and warrants to purchase 116,511 shares of common stock held by TVM Life Science Ventures VI GmbH & Co. KG and (b) 379,333 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock and warrants to purchase 39,932 shares of common stock held by TVM Life Science Ventures VI L.P. Includes 77,507 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock and 26,564 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock that TVM Life Science Ventures VI GmbH & Co. KG and TVM Life Science Ventures VI L.P., respectively, have the right to acquire, which right cannot be exercised during the period commencing on the date that the Company submits a registration statement on Form S-1 to the SEC and ending on the earlier of (x) the third business day following the withdrawal of such registration statement on Form S-1 in connection with any abandonment of the IPO and (y) the closing of the IPO. Alexandra Goll, Helmut Schühler, Stefan Fischer and Hubert Birner, our director, are members of the investment committee

of TVM Life Science Ventures Management Limited Partnership, a special limited partner of TVM Life Science Ventures VI GMBH & Co. KG and TVM Life Science Ventures VI L.P. with voting and dispositive power over the share held by those entities. TVM Life Science Venture Management Limited Partnership and these individuals each disclaim beneficial ownership of such shares except to the extent of any pecuniary interest therein. The address for each of the individuals and entities listed above is c/o TVM Capital GmbH, Ottostrasse 4, 80333, Munich, Germany. Dr. Birner's address is c/o TVM Capital GmbH, Ottostrasse 4, 80333, Munich, Germany.

- (3) Includes (a) 815,616 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock and warrants to purchase 85,095 shares of common stock held by Prism Venture Partners V, L.P., and (b) 371,424 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase 38,751 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock held by Prism Venture Partners V-A, L.P. Includes 57,145 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock and 26,022 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock that Prism Venture Partners V, L.P. and Prism Venture Partners V-A, L.P., respectively, have the right to acquire, which right cannot be exercised during the period commencing on the date that the Company submits a registration statement on Form S-1 to the SEC and ending in the earlier of (x) the third business day following the withdrawal of such registration statement on Form S-1 in connection with any abandonment of the IPO and (y) the closing of the IPO. Steven J. Benson, James A. Counihan, and Brendan M. O'Leary are the managing members of Prism Venture Partners V, LLC, the sole general partner of Prism Investment Partners V, L.P., which is the sole general partner of Prism Venture Partners V, L.P. and Prism Venture Partners V-A, L.P. Each of the managing members disclaims beneficial ownership of any such shares except to the extent of his proportionate pecuniary interest therein. The address for Dr. O'Leary and Prism Venture Partners is c/o Prism VentureWorks, 75 Second Avenue, Suite 210, Needham, MA 02494.
- (4) Includes 1,166,808 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock and warrants to purchase 121,760 shares of common stock. Includes 81,769 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock that the holder has the right to acquire, which right cannot be exercised during the period commencing on the date that the Company submits a registration statement on Form S-1 to the SEC and ending in the earlier of (a) the third business day following the withdrawal of such registration statement on Form S-1 in connection with any abandonment of the IPO and (b) the closing of the IPO. Skyline Venture Management IV, LLC ("SVM VI") is the sole general partner of Skyline Venture Partners Qualified Purchaser Fund IV, L.P. ("SVPQP IV"). Each of John G. Freund, our director, Yasunori Kaneko and Stephen Hoffman are managing directors of SVM IV and share voting and dispositive power over the shares held by the SVPQP IV; however, they disclaim beneficial ownership of the shares held by SVPQP IV, except to the extent of their pecuniary interests therein. The address for Dr. Freund and Skyline Venture Partners Qualified Purchaser Fund IV, L.P. is 525 University Avenue, Suite 520, Palo Alto, CA 94301.
- (5) Includes (a) 406,895 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock held by Deerfield Private Design Fund III, L.P., (b) 87,012 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock held by Deerfield Special Situations Fund, L.P., and (c) 69,484 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock held by Deerfield Special Situations International Master Fund, L.P. Includes 288,889 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock, 61,778 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock and 49,334 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock that Deerfield Private Design Fund III, L.P., Deerfield Special Situations Fund, L.P. and Deerfield Special Situations International Master Fund, L.P., respectively, have the right to acquire, which right cannot be exercised during the period commencing on the date that the Company submits a registration statement on Form S-1 to the SEC and ending in the earlier of (x) the third business day following the withdrawal of such registration statement on Form S-1 in connection with any abandonment of the IPO and (y) the closing of the IPO. Deerfield Mgmt, L.P. is the general partner of each of Deerfield Special Situations Fund, L.P. and Deerfield Special Situations International Master Fund, L.P. Deerfield Mgmt III, L.P. is the general partner of Deerfield Private Design Fund III, L.P. (together with Deerfield Special Situations Fund, L.P. and Deerfield Special Situations International Master Fund, L.P., the "Deerfield Funds"). Deerfield Management Company, L.P. is the investment manager of each of the Deerfield Funds. Mr. James E. Flynn is the sole member of the general partner of each of Deerfield Mgmt, L.P., Deerfield Mgmt III, L.P. and Deerfield Management Company, L.P. Deerfield Mgmt, L.P. may be deemed to beneficially own the shares held by Deerfield Special Situations Fund, L.P. and Deerfield Special Situations International Master Fund, L.P., Deerfield Mgmt III, L.P. may be deemed to beneficially own the shares held by Deerfield Private Design Fund III, L.P. Each of Deerfield Management Company, L.P. and Mr. Flynn may be deemed to beneficially own the shares held by the Deerfield Funds. The address of Deerfield Funds is 780 Third Avenue, 37th Floor, New York, NY 10017.
- (6) Includes 563,393 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock. Includes 400,000 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock that the holder has the

right to acquire, which right cannot be exercised during the period commencing on the date that the Company submits a registration statement on Form S-1 to the SEC and ending in the earlier of (a) the third business day following the withdrawal of such registration statement on Form S-1 in connection with any abandonment of the IPO and (b) the closing of the IPO. Pharmstandard International S.A. is a wholly owned subsidiary of public joint stock company "Pharmstandard." As the parent entity Pharmstandard has voting and investment control over the shares of the Company held by Pharmstandard International S.A. Dmitry Kobzyev, our director, is the representative of Pharmstandard International S.A. Dr. Kobzyev disclaims beneficial ownership of any such shares except to the extent of his proportionate pecuniary interest therein. The address for Dr. Kobzyev and Pharmstandard International S.A. is 65, Boulevard Grande Duchesse Charlotte, L-1331 Luxembourg, Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg.

- (7) Includes 797,805 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock and warrants to purchase 86,432 shares of common stock. Includes 35,821 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock that the holder has the right to acquire, which right cannot be exercised during the period commencing on the date that the Company submits a registration statement on Form S-1 to the SEC and ending in the earlier of (a) the third business day following the withdrawal of such registration statement on Form S-1 in connection with any abandonment of the IPO and (b) the closing of the IPO. Dennis J. Dougherty and Mitchell Mumma are the managing partners of Intersouth Associates VI, LLC, the general partner of Intersouth Partners VI, L.P. Each of the managing partners disclaims beneficial ownership of any such shares except to the extent of his proportionate pecuniary interest therein. The address for Intersouth Partners VI, L.P. is 102 City Hall Plaza, Suite 200, Durham, NC 27701.
- (8) Includes 750,764 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock and warrants to purchase 82,212 shares of common stock. Includes 83,124 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock that the holder has the right to acquire, which right cannot be exercised during the period commencing on the date that the Company submits a registration statement on Form S-1 to the SEC and ending in the earlier of (a) the third business day following the withdrawal of such registration statement on Form S-1 in connection with any abandonment of the IPO and (b) the closing of the IPO. Todd Foley, our director, is a Member of MPM BioVentures IV LLC, which is the General Partner of MPM BioVentures IV GP LLC, which is the General Partner of MPM Bio IV NVS Strategic Fund, L.P. Mr. Foley shares the power to vote, hold and dispose of the shares held by MPM Bio IV NVS Strategic Fund, L.P. Mr. Foley disclaims beneficial ownership of any such shares except to the extent of his proportionate pecuniary interest therein. The address for Mr. Foley and MPM Bio IV NVS Strategic Fund, L.P. is 200 Clarendon Street, 54th Floor, Boston, MA 02116.
- (9) Includes 385,966 shares of common stock which Mr. Noyes has the right to acquire upon the exercise of stock options that were exercisable as of September 30, 2014, or that will become exercisable within 60 days after that date.
- (10) Includes 31,670 shares of common stock which Mr. Phelps has the right to acquire upon the exercise of stock options that were exercisable as of September 30, or that will become exercisable within 60 days after that date.
- (11) Includes (a) 217,860 shares of common stock and 6,741 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock held directly by Dr. Franano, (b) 413 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of convertible preferred stock held by Mr. Franano and Lorie Beth Whitaker, and (c) 85,238 shares of common stock which Dr. Franano has the right to acquire upon the exercise of stock options that were exercisable as of September 30, 2014, or that will become exercisable within 60 days after that date.
- (12) Includes 197,444 shares of common stock which Dr. Burke has the right to acquire upon the exercise of stock options that were exercisable as of September 30, 2014, or that will become exercisable within 60 days after that date.
- (13) Includes 61,990 shares of common stock which Mr. Gottlieb has the right to acquire upon the exercise of stock options that were exercisable as of September 30, 2014, or that will become exercisable within 60 days after that date.
- (14) Includes 888,343 shares of common stock which the directors and executive officers have the right to acquire upon the exercise of stock options that were exercisable as of September 30, 2014, or that will become exercisable within 60 days after that date.

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

The following description of our capital stock and provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, amended and restated bylaws and investors' rights agreement are summaries and are qualified by reference to the amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws that will become effective upon the closing of this offering as well as to those provisions of the investors rights' agreement that will remain in effect following the closing. We have filed copies of these documents with the SEC as exhibits to our registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part. The description of our capital stock reflects changes to our capital structure that will occur upon the closing of this offering. Currently, there is no established public trading market for our common stock.

General

As of September 30, 2014, we had issued and outstanding:

- 241,559 shares of our common stock;
- 120,318,776 shares of our convertible preferred stock that will automatically convert into 8,642,344 shares of our common stock upon the closing of this offering;
- warrants to purchase a total of 659,806 shares of our common stock with a weighted-average exercise price of \$4.60 per share that will be exercised, in whole or in part, immediately prior to the closing of this offering; and
- options to purchase a total of 1,133,052 shares of our common stock with a weighted-average exercise price of \$3.45 per share.

As of September 30, 2014, we had outstanding 8,883,903 shares of common stock held of record by 86 shareholders, assuming the conversion of 120,318,776 shares of preferred stock outstanding as of September 30, 2014 into shares of our common stock, and excluding the exercise of warrants to purchase an aggregate of 659,806 shares outstanding as of September 30, 2014 into shares of our common stock.

Common Stock

Voting Rights. Each holder of our common stock is entitled to one vote for each share on all matters submitted to a vote of the stockholders, including the election of directors. Our stockholders do not have cumulative voting rights in the election of directors. An election of directors by our stockholders shall be determined by a plurality of votes cast by the stockholders entitled to vote on the election.

Dividends. Subject to preferences that may be applicable to any then outstanding preferred stock, holders of our common stock are entitled to receive dividends, if any, as may be declared from time to time by our board of directors out of legally available funds.

Liquidation. In the event of our liquidation, dissolution or winding up, holders of our common stock will be entitled to share ratably in the net assets legally available for distribution to stockholders after the payment of all of our debts and other liabilities and the satisfaction of any liquidation preference granted to the holders of any then outstanding shares of preferred stock.

Rights and Preferences. Holders of common stock have no preemptive, conversion or subscription rights and there are no redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable to the common stock. The rights, preferences and privileges of the holders of common stock are subject to, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of the holders of shares of any series of preferred stock that we may designate in the future.

Preferred Stock

Immediately prior to this offering, our certificate of incorporation provided for five series of preferred stock. As of September 30, 2014, we had outstanding an aggregate of 120,318,776 shares of preferred stock held of record by 78 stockholders.

Upon closing of this offering, all outstanding shares of preferred stock will be automatically converted into 8,642,344 shares of our common stock. Under our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, our board of directors will have the authority, without further action by the stockholders, to issue up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more series, to establish from time to time the number of shares to be included in each such series, to fix the rights, preferences and privileges of the shares of each wholly unissued series and any qualifications, limitations or restrictions thereon and to increase or decrease the number of shares of any such series, but not below the number of shares of such series then outstanding.

Our board of directors may authorize the issuance of preferred stock with voting or conversion rights that could adversely affect the voting power or other rights of the holders of the common stock. The issuance of preferred stock, while providing flexibility in connection with possible acquisitions and other corporate purposes, could, among other things, have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in our control that may otherwise benefit holders of our common stock and may adversely affect the market price of the common stock and the voting and other rights of the holders of common stock. We have no current plans to issue any shares of preferred stock.

Warrants

As of September 30, 2014, we had outstanding warrants to purchase an aggregate of 659,806 shares of common stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$4.60, which will be exercised, in whole or in part, immediately prior to the closing of the offering.

Registration Rights

After our initial public offering, certain holders of shares of our common stock, including those shares of our common stock that will be issued upon conversion of our preferred stock in connection with this offering, and those shares of our common stock that are issuable pursuant to our outstanding preferred stock warrants, or warrant shares, will be entitled to certain rights with respect to registration of such shares under the Securities Act. These shares are collectively referred to herein as registrable shares.

Under our Fourth Amended and Restated Investors' Rights Agreement, holders of registrable shares (other than warrant shares) can demand that we file a registration statement or request that their shares be included on a registration statement that we are otherwise filing, in either case, registering the resale of their shares of common stock. These registration rights are subject to conditions and limitations, including the right, in certain circumstances, of the underwriters of an offering to limit the number of shares included in such registration and our right, in certain circumstances, not to effect a requested registration on Form S-1 or Form S-3 within 90 days before or 180 days following our estimated date of filing of a registration statement pertaining to an underwritten public offering of securities for our account, including this offering.

These registration rights are contained in our investors' rights agreement, which is described under "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions—Investors' Rights Agreement" above and a copy of which will be filed as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

Anti-Takeover Effects of Provisions of Delaware Law and Our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation and Amended and Restated Bylaws

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws will contain certain provisions that are intended to enhance the likelihood of continuity and stability in the composition of the board of directors and which may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a future takeover or change in control of the company unless such takeover or change in control is approved by the board of directors. These provisions include:

Classified Board. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will provide that our board of directors will be divided into three classes of directors, with the classes as nearly equal in number as

possible. At each annual meeting of the stockholders, a class of directors will be elected for a three-year term to succeed the directors of the same class whose terms are then expiring. As a result approximately one-third of our directors will be elected each year. The initial term of office of the directors of Class I shall expire as of the first annual meeting of the Company's stockholders following the closing of this offering; the initial term of office of the directors of Class II shall expire as of the second annual meeting of the Company's stockholders following the closing of this offering; and the initial term of office of the directors of Class III shall expire as of the third annual meeting of the Company's stockholders following the closing of this offering. The classification of directors will have the effect of making it more difficult for stockholders to change the composition of our board.

- Our Class I directors will be Timothy Noyes, Garen Bohlin and John Freund;
- Our Class II directors will be Hubert Birner, Dmitry Kobzyev and Gregory Phelps; and
- Our Class III directors will be Brendan O'Leary and Tim Haines.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will also provide that, subject to any rights of holders of preferred stock to elect additional directors under specified circumstances, the number of directors will be fixed exclusively pursuant to a resolution adopted by our board of directors. Any additional directorships resulting from an increase in the number of directors will be distributed among the three classes so that, as nearly as possible, each class shall consist of one third of the board of directors. Upon completion of this offering, we expect that our board of directors will have eight members.

Action by Written Consent; Special Meetings of Stockholders. Our certificate of incorporation will provide that stockholder action can be taken only at an annual or special meeting of stockholders and cannot be taken by written consent in lieu of a meeting. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and our amended and restated bylaws will also provide that, except as otherwise required by law, special meetings of the stockholders can be called only by or at the direction of the board of directors pursuant to a resolution adopted by a majority of the total number of directors. Except as described above, stockholders will not be permitted to call a special meeting or to require the board of directors to call a special meeting.

Removal of Directors. Our certificate of incorporation will provide that our directors may be removed only for cause by the affirmative vote of at least 75% of the voting power of our outstanding shares of capital stock, voting together as a single class and entitled to vote in the election of directors. This requirement of a supermajority vote to remove directors could enable a minority of our stockholders to prevent a change in the composition of our board.

Advance Notice Procedures. Our amended and restated bylaws will establish an advance notice procedure for stockholder proposals to be brought before an annual meeting of our stockholders, including proposed nominations of persons for election to the board of directors. Stockholders at an annual meeting will only be able to consider proposals or nominations specified in the notice of meeting or brought before the meeting by or at the direction of the board of directors or by a stockholder who was a stockholder of record on the record date for the meeting, who is entitled to vote at the meeting and who has given our Secretary timely written notice, in proper form, of the stockholder's intention to bring that business before the meeting. Although the amended and restated bylaws will not give the board of directors the power to approve or disapprove stockholder nominations of candidates or proposals regarding other business to be conducted at a special or annual meeting, the amended and restated bylaws may have the effect of precluding the conduct of certain business at a meeting if the proper procedures are not followed or may discourage or deter a potential acquirer from conducting a solicitation of proxies to elect its own slate of directors or otherwise attempting to obtain control of the company.

Super Majority Approval Requirements. The Delaware General Corporation Law generally provides that the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares entitled to vote on any matter is required to amend a corporation's certificate of incorporation or amended and restated bylaws, unless either a corporation's certificate of incorporation or bylaws requires a greater percentage. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws will provide that the affirmative vote of holders of at

least 75% of the outstanding shares of capital stock, voting together as a single class and entitled to vote in the election of directors will be required to amend, alter, change or repeal the amended and restated bylaws and the amended and restated certificate of incorporation. This requirement of a supermajority vote to approve amendments to our amended and restated bylaws could enable a minority of our stockholders to exercise veto power over any such amendments.

Authorized but Unissued Shares. Our authorized but unissued shares of common stock and preferred stock will be available for future issuance without stockholder approval. These additional shares may be utilized for a variety of corporate purposes, including future public offerings to raise additional capital, corporate acquisitions and employee benefit plans. The existence of authorized but unissued shares of common stock and preferred stock could render more difficult or discourage an attempt to obtain control of a majority of our common stock by means of a proxy contest, tender offer, merger or otherwise.

Exclusive Forum. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will provide that, subject to limited exceptions, the state or federal courts located in the State of Delaware will be the sole and exclusive forum for (i) any derivative action or proceeding brought on our behalf, (ii) any action asserting a claim of breach of a fiduciary duty owed by any of our directors, officers or other employees to us or our stockholders, (iii) any action asserting a claim against us arising pursuant to any provision of the Delaware General Corporation Law, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation or our amended and restated bylaws, or (iv) any other action asserting a claim against us that is governed by the internal affairs doctrine. Any person or entity purchasing or otherwise acquiring any interest in shares of our capital stock shall be deemed to have notice of and to have consented to the provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation described above. Although we believe these provisions benefit us by providing increased consistency in the application of Delaware law for the specified types of actions and proceedings, the provisions may have the effect of discouraging lawsuits against our directors and officers. The enforceability of similar choice of forum provisions in other companies' certificates of incorporation has been challenged in legal proceedings, and it is possible that, in connection with one or more actions or proceedings described above, a court could find the choice of forum provisions contained in our certificate of incorporation to be inapplicable or unenforceable.

Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law

Upon completion of this offering, we will be subject to the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. In general, Section 203 prohibits a publicly-held Delaware corporation from engaging in a "business combination" with an "interested stockholder" for a three-year period following the time that this stockholder becomes an interested stockholder, unless the business combination is approved in a prescribed manner. A "business combination" includes, among other things, a merger, asset or stock sale or other transaction resulting in a financial benefit to the interested stockholder. An "interested stockholder" is a person who, together with affiliates and associates, owns, or did own within three years prior to the determination of interested stockholder status, 15% or more of the corporation's voting stock. Under Section 203, a business combination between a corporation and an interested stockholder is prohibited unless it satisfies one of the following conditions: before the stockholder became interested, the board of directors approved either the business combination or the transaction which resulted in the stockholder becoming an interested stockholder; upon consummation of the transaction which resulted in the stockholder becoming an interested stockholder, the interested stockholder owned at least 85% of the voting stock of the corporation outstanding at the time the transaction commenced, excluding for purposes of determining the voting stock outstanding, shares owned by persons who are directors and also officers, and employee stock plans, in some instances; or at or after the time the stockholder became interested, the business combination was approved by the board of directors of the corporation and authorized at an annual or special meeting of the stockholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the outstanding voting stock which is not owned by the interested stockholder. A Delaware corporation may "opt out" of these provisions with an express provision in its original certificate of incorporation or an express provision in its certificate of incorporation or bylaws resulting from a stockholders' amendment approved by at least a majority of the outstanding voting shares. We have not

opted out of these provisions. As a result, mergers or other takeover or change in control attempts of us may be discouraged or prevented.

Transfer Agent and Registrar

The transfer agent and registrar for our common stock is Computershare Trust Company, N.A.

Listing

Our common stock has been approved for listing on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol "PRTO."

SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE

Prior to this offering, there has been no public market for our common stock. Future sales of our common stock, including shares issued upon the exercise of outstanding options or warrants, in the public market after this offering, or the perception that those sales may occur, could cause the prevailing market price for our common stock to fall or impair our ability to raise equity capital in the future. As described below, only a limited number of shares of our common stock will be available for sale in the public market for a period of several months after completion of this offering due to contractual and legal restrictions on resale described below. Future sales of our common stock in the public market either before (to the extent permitted) or after restrictions lapse, or the perception that those sales may occur, could adversely affect the prevailing market price of our common stock at such time and our ability to raise equity capital at a time and price we deem appropriate.

Sale of Restricted Shares

As of September 30, 2014, based on the number of shares of our common stock then outstanding, upon the closing of this offering and assuming (1) the conversion of our outstanding preferred stock into common stock, (2) no exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares of common stock, and (3) no exercise of outstanding options or warrants, we would have had outstanding an aggregate of approximately 14,993,903 shares of common stock. Of these shares, all of the 6,110,000 shares of common stock to be sold in this offering, and any shares sold upon exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares will be freely tradable in the public market without restriction or further registration under the Securities Act, unless the shares are held by any of our "affiliates" as such term is defined in Rule 144 of the Securities Act. All remaining shares of common stock held by existing stockholders immediately prior to the completion of this offering will be "restricted securities" as such term is defined in Rule 144. These restricted securities were issued and sold by us, or will be issued and sold by us, in private transactions and are eligible for public sale only if registered under the Securities Act or if they qualify for an exemption from registration under the Securities Act, including the exemptions provided by Rule 144 or Rule 701, which rules are summarized below.

As a result of the lock-up agreements referred to below and the provisions of Rule 144 and Rule 701 under the Securities Act, the shares of our common stock (excluding the shares sold in this offering) that will be available for sale in the public market are as follows:

<u>Approximate Number of Shares</u>	<u>First Date Available for Sale into Public Market</u>
8,852,388	180 days after the date of this prospectus upon expiration of the lock up agreements referred to below, subject in some cases to applicable volume limitations under Rule 144.

Lock-up Agreements

In connection with this offering, we, our directors, our officers and stockholders beneficially owning more than 99% of our shares of common stock outstanding as of September 30, 2014 (assuming conversion of all of our outstanding shares of preferred stock and warrants), have agreed with the underwriters not to dispose of or hedge any shares of our common stock or securities convertible into or exchangeable for shares of common stock during the period from the date of the lock-up agreement continuing through the date 180 days after the date of this prospectus, except with the prior written consent of Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated and JMP Securities LLC, the representatives of the underwriters. The representatives of the underwriters have advised us that they have no current intent or arrangement to release any of the shares subject to the lock-up agreements prior to the expiration of the lock-up period.

The lock-up agreements do not contain any pre-established conditions to the waiver by Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated and JMP Securities LLC on behalf of the underwriters of any terms of the lock-up agreements. Any determination to release shares subject to the lock-up agreements would be based on a number of factors at the time of determination, including but not necessarily limited to the market price of the common stock, the liquidity of the trading market for the common stock, general market conditions, the number of shares proposed to be sold, contractual obligations to release certain shares subject to the lock-up agreements in the event any such shares are released, subject to certain specific limitations and thresholds, and the timing, purpose and terms of the proposed sale.

Following the lock-up periods set forth in the agreements described above, and assuming that the representatives of the underwriters do not release any parties from these agreements, all of the shares of our common stock that are restricted securities or are held by our affiliates as of the date of this prospectus will be eligible for sale in the public market in compliance with Rule 144 under the Securities Act.

In addition to the restrictions contained in the lock-up agreements described above, we have entered into agreements with certain of our security holders, including our amended and restated investors rights agreement and our standard forms of option agreements under our equity incentive plan, that contain market stand-off provisions imposing restrictions on the ability of such security holders to offer, sell or transfer our equity securities for a period of 180 days following the date of this prospectus.

Rule 144

In general, persons who have beneficially owned restricted shares of our common stock for at least six months, and any affiliate of the Company who owns either restricted shares of our common stock, are entitled to sell their securities without registration with the SEC under an exemption from registration provided by Rule 144 under the Securities Act.

Non-Affiliates

Any person who is not deemed to have been one of our affiliates at the time of, or at any time during the three months preceding, a sale may sell an unlimited number of restricted securities under Rule 144 if:

- the restricted securities have been held for at least six months, including the holding period of any prior owner other than one of our affiliates;
- we have been subject to the Exchange Act periodic reporting requirements for at least 90 days before the sale; and
- we are current in our Exchange Act reporting at the time of sale.

Any person who is not deemed to have been an affiliate of ours at the time of, or at any time during the three months preceding, a sale and has held the restricted securities for at least one year, including the holding period of any prior owner other than one of our affiliates, will be entitled to sell an unlimited number of restricted securities without regard to the length of time we have been subject to Exchange Act periodic reporting or whether we are current in our Exchange Act reporting.

Affiliates

Persons seeking to sell restricted securities who are our affiliates at the time of, or any time during the three months preceding, a sale would be subject to the restrictions described above. They are also subject to additional restrictions, by which such person would be required to comply with the manner of sale and notice provisions of Rule 144 and would be entitled to sell within any three-month period only that number of securities that does not exceed the greater of either of the following:

- 1% of the number of shares of our common stock then outstanding, which will equal approximately 150,000 shares immediately after the completion of this offering based on the number of shares outstanding as of September 30, 2014; or

- the average weekly trading volume of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market during the four calendar weeks preceding the filing of a notice on Form 144 with respect to the sale.

Rule 701

In general, under Rule 701 a person who purchased shares of our common stock pursuant to a written compensatory plan or contract and who is not deemed to have been one of our affiliates during the immediately preceding 90 days may sell these shares in reliance upon Rule 144, but without being required to comply with the notice, manner of sale or public information requirements or volume limitation provisions of Rule 144. Rule 701 also permits affiliates to sell their Rule 701 shares under Rule 144 without complying with the holding period requirements of Rule 144. All holders of Rule 701 shares, however, are required to wait until 90 days after the date of this prospectus before selling such shares pursuant to Rule 701. Substantially all Rule 701 shares are subject to lock-up agreements as described below and in the section of this prospectus titled "Underwriting" and will become eligible for sale upon the expiration of the restrictions set forth in those agreements.

Registration Rights

Upon the completion of this offering, the holders of 8,501,403 shares of our common stock issuable upon the conversion of our preferred stock, or their transferees, will be entitled to specified rights with respect to the registration of the offer and sale of their shares under the Securities Act. Registration of the offer and sale of these shares under the Securities Act would result in the shares becoming freely tradable without restriction under the Securities Act immediately upon the effectiveness of the registration. See the section of this prospectus titled "Description of Capital Stock—Registration Rights" for additional information.

Equity Incentive Plans

We intend to file with the SEC one or more registration statements on Form S-8 under the Securities Act covering the shares of common stock that we may issue upon exercise of outstanding options reserved for issuance under our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan and/or 2014 Equity Incentive Plan. This registration statement is expected to be filed and become effective as soon as practicable after the completion of this offering. Accordingly, shares registered under this registration statement will be available for sale in the open market following its effective date, subject to Rule 144 volume limitations and the lock-up agreements described above, if applicable.

**MATERIAL UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES TO
NON-U.S. HOLDERS OF OUR COMMON STOCK**

The following is a summary of the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership, and disposition of our common stock by a non-U.S. holder that purchases shares of our common stock for cash in this offering. For purposes of this summary, a "non-U.S. holder" means a beneficial owner of our common stock that is, for U.S. federal income tax purposes:

- an individual who is not a citizen or resident of the United States;
- a corporation (or an entity treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes) that is created or organized under the laws of a jurisdiction other than the United States, any state thereof, or the District of Columbia;
- a foreign estate (i.e., an estate other than an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source); or
- a foreign trust (i.e., a trust other than a trust (i) with respect to which a court within the United States is able to exercise primary supervision over its administration and one or more U.S. persons (as defined in Section 386 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, the Code) have the authority to control all substantial decisions or (ii) that has in effect a valid election under the applicable Treasury regulations to be treated as a United States person).

In the case of a holder that is classified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes, the tax treatment of a person treated as a partner in that partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes generally will depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partner and the partnership. Partnerships and other entities treated as partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes and persons holding our common stock through a partnership or such entity should consult with their own tax advisors.

This summary is based upon the provisions of the Code, the U.S. Treasury regulations promulgated thereunder, judicial decisions, and published rulings and administrative procedures of the Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS, all as in effect as of the date hereof. These authorities are subject to differing interpretations and to change, possibly with retroactive effect, which could result in U.S. federal income tax consequences different from those summarized below. No ruling has been or will be sought from the IRS with respect to the matters summarized below, and there can be no assurance that the IRS will not take a contrary position regarding the U.S. federal income tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership, or disposition of our common stock, or that any such contrary position would not be sustained by a court.

This summary is not a complete analysis of all of the potential U.S. federal income tax consequences relating to the acquisition, ownership, and disposition of our common stock by non-U.S. holders, nor does it address any U.S. federal estate or gift tax consequences, any tax consequences arising under any state, local, or foreign tax laws, any consequences under the unearned income Medicare contribution tax enacted by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, or any consequences under other U.S. federal tax laws (including the alternative minimum tax). In addition, this discussion does not address tax consequences resulting from a non-U.S. holder's particular circumstances or to non-U.S. holders that may be subject to special tax rules, including, without limitation:

- partnerships, other pass-through entities, or beneficial owners of interests in those entities;
- foreign governments or entities they control;
- "controlled foreign corporations" and their shareholders;
- "passive foreign investment companies" and their shareholders;
- corporations that accumulate earnings to avoid U.S. federal income tax;
- U.S. expatriates or former long-term residents of the United States;
- banks, insurance companies or other financial institutions;
- persons subject to the alternative minimum tax;
- tax-exempt pension funds or other tax-exempt organizations;
- tax-qualified retirement plans;

- traders, brokers, or dealers in securities, commodities, or currencies;
- persons that own or have owned, or are deemed to own or have owned, more than 5% of our common stock (except to the extent specifically set forth below);
- persons who hold our common stock as a position in a hedging transaction, "straddle," "conversion transaction" or other risk reduction transaction;
- persons who do not hold our common stock as a capital asset within the meaning of Section 1221 of the Code (generally, for investment purposes);
- persons deemed to sell our common stock under the constructive sale provisions of the Code; or
- persons who hold or receive our common stock pursuant to the exercise of any employee stock option or otherwise as compensation.

Prospective investors should consult their tax advisors regarding the particular U.S. federal income tax consequences to them of acquiring, owning, and disposing of our common stock, as well as any tax consequences arising under any state, local, or foreign tax laws and any other U.S. federal tax laws.

Distributions on Common Stock

As described in the section entitled "Dividend Policy," we have never paid any dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate doing so in the foreseeable future. The disclosure in this section addresses the consequences should our board of directors, in the future, determine to make a distribution of cash or property with respect to our common stock (other than certain distributions of stock which may be made free of tax), or to effect a redemption that is treated for tax purposes as a distribution. Any such distribution will constitute a dividend for U.S. federal tax purposes to the extent paid from our current or accumulated earnings and profits, as determined under U.S. federal income tax principles. To the extent such a distribution exceeds both our current and our accumulated earnings and profits, such excess will be allocated ratably among the shares of common stock with respect to which the distribution is made, will constitute a return of capital, and will first be applied against and reduce the non-U.S. holder's adjusted tax basis in those shares of common stock, but not below zero. Distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits and in excess of a non-U.S. holder's tax basis in that non-U.S. holder's shares of common stock then will be treated as gain from the sale of that common stock, subject to the tax treatment described below under "Gain on Disposition of Common Stock." A non-U.S. holder's adjusted tax basis in a share of common stock is generally the purchase price of the share, reduced by the amount of any distributions constituting a return of capital with respect to that share.

Any dividend paid to a non-U.S. holder of our common stock generally will be subject to U.S. federal withholding tax at a rate of 30% of the gross amount of the dividend, or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty. If a non-U.S. holder is eligible for benefits under an income tax treaty and wishes to claim a reduced rate of withholding, the non-U.S. holder generally will be required to provide us or our paying agent with a properly completed IRS Form W-8BEN, Form W-8BEN-E, or other applicable form, certifying under penalties of perjury the non-U.S. holder's qualification for the reduced rate. This certification must be provided to us or our paying agent prior to the payment of the dividend and may be required to be updated periodically. Special certification requirements apply to non-U.S. holders that hold common stock through certain foreign intermediaries. Non-U.S. holders that do not timely provide the required certifications, but that qualify for a reduced treaty rate, may obtain a refund of any excess amounts withheld by timely filing an appropriate claim for refund with the IRS. If we are not able to determine whether or not a distribution will exceed current and accumulated earnings and profits at the time the distribution is made, we may withhold tax on the entire amount of any distribution at the same rate as we would withhold on a dividend. However, a non-U.S. holder may obtain a refund of amounts that we withhold to the extent attributable to the portion of the distribution in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits.

If a non-U.S. holder holds our common stock in connection with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States, and dividends paid on the common stock are effectively connected with the non-U.S.

holder's U.S. trade or business (and, if required by an applicable income tax treaty, are attributable to a permanent establishment or fixed base maintained by the non-U.S. holder in the United States, as defined under the applicable treaty), the non-U.S. holder will be exempt from U.S. federal withholding tax on the dividends. To claim the exemption, the non-U.S. holder must furnish a properly executed IRS Form W-8ECI (or other applicable form) prior to the payment of the dividends. Any dividends paid on our common stock that are effectively connected with a non-U.S. holder's U.S. trade or business (and satisfy any other applicable treaty requirements) generally will be subject to U.S. federal income tax on a net income basis at the regular graduated U.S. federal income tax rates generally applicable to U.S. persons or at such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty. A non-U.S. holder that is treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes also may be subject to an additional branch profits tax equal to 30% (or such lower rate as is specified by an applicable income tax treaty) of a portion of its earnings and profits for the taxable year that are effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business, as adjusted for certain items.

Gain on Disposition of Common Stock

Subject to the discussions under "—Information Reporting and Backup Withholding" and "Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act," a non-U.S. holder generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax on any gain realized upon the sale, exchange, or other taxable disposition of our common stock unless:

- the gain is effectively connected with the non-U.S. holder's conduct of a U.S. trade or business (and, if required by an applicable income tax treaty, the gain is attributable to a permanent establishment or fixed base maintained by the non-U.S. holder in the United States), in which case the non-U.S. holder will be required to pay tax on the net gain derived from the sale, exchange, or other taxable disposition (net of certain deductions or credits) under regular graduated U.S. federal income tax rates generally applicable to U.S. persons or at such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty, and in the case of a non-U.S. holder that is treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, such non-U.S. holder may be subject to a branch profits tax at a 30% rate or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty;
- the non-U.S. holder is an individual who is present in the United States for a period or periods aggregating 183 days or more during the taxable year in which the sale, exchange, or other taxable disposition occurs and certain other conditions are met, in which case the non-U.S. holder will be subject to U.S. federal income tax at a flat 30% rate (or such lower rate as is specified by an applicable income tax treaty) on the gain derived from the sale, exchange, or other taxable disposition, which gain may be offset by U.S. source capital losses (even though the non-U.S. holder is not considered a resident of the United States) provided that the non-U.S. holder has timely filed U.S. federal income tax returns reporting those losses; or
- our common stock is a U.S. real property interest by reason of our status as a U.S. real property holding corporation, or a USRPHC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

We believe we are not now and we do not anticipate becoming a USRPHC. However, because the determination of whether we are a USRPHC at any time depends on the proportion of our assets, by fair market value, that consists of U.S. real property interests, there can be no assurance we are not now a USRPHC or we will not become one in the future. Even if we are or become a USRPHC, for so long as our common stock is "regularly traded," as defined by applicable Treasury Regulations, on an established securities market, sales of our common stock generally will not be subject to tax for non-U.S. holders that have held less than 5% of our common stock, actually or constructively, during the applicable statutory period.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

Generally, we must report annually to the IRS and to each non-U.S. holder the amount of dividends and other distributions paid to the non-U.S. holder and the amount of tax, if any withheld with respect to

those distributions. Pursuant to applicable income tax treaties or other agreements, the IRS may make these reports available to tax authorities in the non-U.S. holder's country of residence.

In addition, a non-U.S. holder may be subject to information reporting requirements and backup withholding with respect to dividends paid on, and the proceeds of disposition of, shares of our common stock, unless, generally, the non-U.S. holder certifies under penalties of perjury (usually on IRS Form W-8BEN or W-8BEN-E) that the non-U.S. holder is not a U.S. person or otherwise establishes an exemption. The current backup withholding rate is 28%. Additional rules relating to information reporting requirements and backup withholding with respect to payments of the proceeds from the disposition of shares of our common stock are as follows:

- If the proceeds are paid to or through the United States office of a broker, the proceeds generally will be subject to backup withholding and information reporting, unless the non-U.S. holder certifies under penalties of perjury (usually on IRS Form W-8BEN or W-8BEN-E) that the non-U.S. holder is not a U.S. person or otherwise establishes an exemption.
- If the proceeds are paid to or through a non-U.S. office of a broker that is not a U.S. person and is not a foreign person with certain specified U.S. connections, which we refer to below as a "U.S.-related person," information reporting and backup withholding generally will not apply.
- If the proceeds are paid to or through a non-U.S. office of a broker that is a U.S. person or a U.S.-related person, the proceeds generally will be subject to information reporting (but not to backup withholding), unless the non-U.S. holder certifies under penalties of perjury (usually on IRS Form W-8BEN or W-8BEN-E) that the non-U.S. holder is not a U.S. person.

Backup withholding is not a tax. Any amounts withheld from a non-U.S. holder under the backup withholding rules may be allowed as a refund or a credit against the non-U.S. holder's U.S. federal income tax liability, provided that the non-U.S. holder timely furnishes the required information to the IRS.

Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act

Legislation enacted in 2010 and related guidance, commonly referred to as "FATCA," will impose withholding taxes on certain types of payments made to "foreign financial institutions" and other non-U.S. entities after June 30, 2014 (or, as discussed below, after later dates) unless those institutions and entities meet additional certification, information reporting and other requirements. The legislation will generally impose a 30% withholding tax on dividends on, or gross proceeds from the sale or other disposition of, our common stock paid to a foreign financial institution unless the foreign financial institution enters into an agreement with the U.S. Treasury to, among other things, (i) undertake to identify accounts held by certain U.S. persons (including certain equity and debt holders of such institution) or by U.S.-owned foreign entities, (ii) annually report certain information about such accounts, and (iii) withhold 30% on payments to account holders whose actions prevent it from complying with these reporting and other requirements. In addition, subject to certain exceptions, the legislation will impose a 30% withholding tax on the same types of payments to a foreign entity that is not a foreign financial institution unless the entity certifies that it does not have any substantial U.S. owners (which generally include any U.S. persons who directly or indirectly own more than 10% of the entity) or furnishes identifying information regarding each such substantial U.S. owner. These withholding taxes will be imposed on dividends paid on our common stock after June 30, 2014 (or, in certain cases, after later dates), and on gross proceeds from sales or other dispositions of our common stock after December 31, 2016. Withholding under FATCA generally will not be reduced or limited by bilateral income tax treaties. However, a non-U.S. holder may be exempt from FATCA withholding under an applicable intergovernmental agreement between the United States and a foreign government relating to the implementation of FATCA, provided that the non-U.S. holder and the foreign government comply with the terms of the agreement.

UNDERWRITING

Subject to the terms and conditions set forth in an underwriting agreement, each of the underwriters named below has severally agreed to purchase from us the aggregate number of shares of common stock set forth opposite their respective names below:

<u>Underwriters</u>	<u>Number of Shares</u>
Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated	2,444,000
JMP Securities LLC	1,833,000
Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated	916,500
Oppenheimer & Co. Inc.	916,500
Total	6,110,000

The underwriting agreement provides that the obligations of the several underwriters are subject to various conditions, including approval of legal matters by counsel. The nature of the underwriters' obligations commits them to purchase and pay for all of the shares of common stock listed above if any are purchased. The underwriters reserve the right to withdraw, cancel or modify offers to the public and to reject orders in whole or in part.

Option to Purchase Additional Shares

We have granted the underwriters an option, exercisable for 30 days from the date of this prospectus, to purchase up to a total of 916,500 additional shares of our common stock from us, at the initial public offering price, less the underwriting discounts and commissions payable by us, as set forth on the cover page of this prospectus. If the underwriters exercise this option in whole or in part, then each of the underwriters will be separately committed, subject to the conditions described in the underwriting agreement, to purchase the additional shares of our common stock in proportion to their respective commitments set forth in the table above. We will pay the expenses associated with the exercise of the option to purchase additional shares.

Determination of Offering Price

Prior to this offering, there has been no public market for our common stock. The initial public offering price was determined through negotiations between us and Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated and JMP Securities LLC, as the representatives of the several underwriters. In addition to prevailing market conditions, the factors considered in determining the initial public offering price included:

- the information set forth in this prospectus and otherwise available to the representatives;
- our history and prospects, including our past and present financial performance and our prospects for future earnings;
- the history and prospects of companies in our industry;
- prior offerings of those companies;
- our capital structure;
- an assessment of our management and their experience;
- general conditions of the securities markets at the time of the offering; and
- other factors as we deem relevant.

We cannot assure you that an active or orderly trading market will develop for our common stock or that our common stock will trade in the public markets subsequent to this offering at or above the initial public offering price.

Commissions and Discounts

The underwriters propose to offer the shares of common stock directly to the public at the initial public offering price set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, and at this price less a concession not in excess of \$0.42 per share of common stock to other securities dealers. After this offering, the offering price, concessions, and other selling terms may be changed by the underwriters. Our common stock is offered subject to receipt and acceptance by the underwriters and to certain other conditions, including the right to reject orders in whole or in part.

The following table summarizes the compensation to be paid to the underwriters by us and the proceeds, before expenses, payable to us. Such amounts are shown assuming both no exercise and full exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares.

	Total		
	Per Share	No Exercise	Full Exercise
Public offering price	\$ 10.00	\$ 61,100,000	\$ 70,265,000
Underwriting discounts and commissions	\$ 0.70	\$ 4,277,000	\$ 4,918,550
Proceeds, before expenses, to us	\$ 9.30	\$ 56,823,000	\$ 65,346,450

Pursuant to the terms of the underwriting agreement, we have also agreed to reimburse the underwriters for certain expenses, including reasonable fees and expenses of counsel, relating to certain aspects of this offering that will not exceed \$30,000.

We estimate that the total expenses of the offering payable by us, including registration, filing and listing fees, printing fees and legal and accounting expenses, but excluding underwriting discounts and commissions, will be approximately \$2,607,000.

Indemnification of Underwriters

We will indemnify the underwriters against some civil liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act. If we are unable to provide this indemnification, we will contribute to payments the underwriters may be required to make in respect of those liabilities.

No Sale of Similar Securities

We and each of our directors and executive officers and holders of substantially all of our outstanding capital stock, options and warrants prior to this offering have agreed, subject to specified exceptions, that we and they will not, for a period of 180 days after the date of this prospectus, without the prior written consent of each of Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated and JMP Securities LLC, directly or indirectly:

- offer, sell, contract to sell (including any short sale), pledge, hypothecate transfer, establish an open "put equivalent position" within the meaning of Rule 16a-1(h) under the Exchange Act, grant any option, right or warrant for the sale of, purchase any option or contract to sell, sell any option or contract to purchase;
- otherwise encumber, dispose of or transfer, or grant any rights with respect to, directly or indirectly, any shares of our common stock or securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for any shares of our common stock, enter into a transaction which would have the same effect, or enter into any swap, hedge or other arrangement that transfers, in whole or in part, any of the economic consequences of ownership of our common stock, whether any such aforementioned transaction is to be settled by delivery of our common stock or such other securities, in cash or otherwise; or
- publicly disclose the intention to do any of the foregoing.

Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated and JMP Securities LLC may, in their sole discretion and at any time or from time to time before the termination of the 180-day period, release all or any portion of

the securities subject to lock-up agreements. There are no existing agreements between the underwriters and any of our stockholders who will execute a lock-up agreement providing consent to the sale of shares prior to the expiration of the restricted period.

NASDAQ Market Listing

Our common stock has been approved for listing on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol "PRTO."

Short Sales, Stabilizing Transactions, and Penalty Bids

In order to facilitate this offering, persons participating in this offering may engage in transactions that stabilize, maintain, or otherwise affect the price of our common stock during and after this offering. Specifically, the underwriters may engage in the following activities in accordance with the rules of the SEC.

Short sales. Short sales involve the sales by the underwriters of a greater number of shares than they are required to purchase in the offering. Covered short sales are short sales made in an amount not greater than the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares from us in this offering. The underwriters may close out any covered short position by either exercising their option to purchase shares or purchasing shares in the open market. In determining the source of shares to close out the covered short position, the underwriters will consider, among other things, the price of shares available for purchase in the open market as compared to the price at which they may purchase shares through the option to purchase additional shares. Naked short sales are any short sales in excess of such option to purchase additional shares. The underwriters must close out any naked short position by purchasing shares in the open market. A naked short position is more likely to be created if the underwriters are concerned that there may be downward pressure on the price of the common stock in the open market after pricing that could adversely affect investors who purchase in this offering.

Stabilizing transactions. The underwriters may make bids for or purchases of the shares for the purpose of pegging, fixing, or maintaining the price of the shares, so long as stabilizing bids do not exceed a specified maximum.

Penalty bids. If the underwriters purchase shares in the open market in a stabilizing transaction or syndicate covering transaction, they may reclaim a selling concession from the underwriters and selling group members who sold those shares as part of this offering. Stabilization and syndicate covering transactions may cause the price of the shares to be higher than it would be in the absence of these transactions. The imposition of a penalty bid might also have an effect on the price of the shares if it discourages resales of the shares.

The transactions above may occur on the NASDAQ Global Market or otherwise. Neither we nor the underwriters make any representation or prediction as to the effect that the transactions described above may have on the price of the shares. If these transactions are commenced, they may be discontinued without notice at any time.

Discretionary Sales

The underwriters have informed us that they do not expect to confirm sales of common stock offered by this prospectus to accounts over which they exercise discretionary authority without obtaining the specific approval of the account holder.

Electronic Distribution

A prospectus in electronic format may be made available on the internet sites or through other online services maintained by one or more of the underwriters participating in this offering, or by their affiliates. Other than the prospectus in electronic format, the information on any underwriter's web site and any information contained in any other web site maintained by an underwriter is not part of the prospectus or the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part, has not been approved and/or endorsed by us or any underwriter in its capacity as underwriter and should not be relied upon by investors.

Relationships

The underwriters and their respective affiliates are full service financial institutions engaged in various activities, which may include securities trading, commercial and investment banking, financial advisory, investment management, principal investment, hedging, financing and brokerage activities. Certain of the underwriters and their affiliates may in the future from time to time provide, investment banking and other financing and banking services to us, for which they may receive, customary fees and reimbursement for their expenses. In the ordinary course of their various business activities, the underwriters and their respective affiliates may make or hold a broad array of investments and actively trade debt and equity securities (or related derivative securities) and financial instruments (including bank loans) for their own account and for the accounts of their customers and may at any time hold long and short positions in such securities and instruments. Such investment and securities activities may involve our securities and instruments.

Notice to Prospective Investors in the European Economic Area

In relation to each member state of the European Economic Area that has implemented the Prospectus Directive (each, a relevant member state), with effect from and including the date on which the Prospectus Directive is implemented in that relevant member state (the relevant implementation date), an offer of securities described in this prospectus may not be made to the public in that relevant member state other than:

- to any legal entity that is authorized or regulated to operate in the financial markets or, if not so authorized or regulated, whose corporate purpose is solely to invest in securities;
- to any legal entity that has two or more of (1) an average of at least 250 employees during the last financial year; (2) a total balance sheet of more than €43,000,000 and (3) an annual net turnover of more than €50,000,000, as shown in its last annual or consolidated accounts;
- to fewer than 100 natural or legal persons (other than qualified investors as defined in the Prospectus Directive) subject to obtaining the prior consent of the representatives; or
- in any other circumstances that do not require the publication of a prospectus pursuant to Article 3 of the Prospectus Directive,

provided that no such offer of securities shall require us or any underwriter to publish a prospectus pursuant to Article 3 of the Prospectus Directive. For purposes of this provision, the expression an "offer of securities to the public" in any relevant member state means the communication in any form and by any means of sufficient information on the terms of the offer and the securities to be offered so as to enable an investor to decide to purchase or subscribe the securities, as the expression may be varied in that member state by any measure implementing the Prospectus Directive in that member state, and the expression "Prospectus Directive" means Directive 2003/71/EC and includes any relevant implementing measure in each relevant member state.

We have not authorized and do not authorize the making of any offer of securities through any financial intermediary on their behalf, other than offers made by the underwriters with a view to the final placement of the securities as contemplated in this prospectus. Accordingly, no purchaser of the securities,

other than the underwriters, is authorized to make any further offer of the securities on behalf of us or the underwriters.

Notice to Prospective Investors in the United Kingdom

This prospectus is only being distributed to, and is only directed at, persons in the United Kingdom that are qualified investors within the meaning of Article 2(1)(e) of the Prospectus Directive (Qualified Investors) that are also (1) investment professionals falling within Article 19(5) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Financial Promotion) Order 2005 (the Order) or (2) high net worth entities, and other persons to whom it may lawfully be communicated, falling within Article 49(2)(a) to (d) of the Order (all such persons together being referred to as relevant persons). This prospectus and its contents are confidential and should not be distributed, published or reproduced (in whole or in part) or disclosed by recipients to any other persons in the United Kingdom. Any person in the United Kingdom that is not a relevant person should not act or rely on this document or any of its contents.

Notice to Prospective Investors in France

This prospectus has not been prepared in the context of a public offering of financial securities in France within the meaning of Article L.411-1 of the French Code Monétaire et Financier and Title I of Book II of the Règlement Général of the Autorité des marchés financiers, or the AMF, and therefore has not been and will not be filed with the AMF for prior approval or submitted for clearance to the AMF. Consequently, the shares of our common stock may not be, directly or indirectly, offered or sold to the public in France and offers and sales of the shares of our common stock may only be made in France to qualified investors (investisseurs qualifiés) acting for their own, as defined in and in accordance with Articles L.411-2 and D.411-1 to D.411-4, D.734-1, D.744-1, D.754-1 and D.764-1 of the French Code Monétaire et Financier. Neither this prospectus nor any other offering material may be released, issued or distributed to the public in France or used in connection with any offer for subscription on sale of the shares of our common stock to the public in France. The subsequent direct or indirect retransfer of the shares of our common stock to the public in France may only be made in compliance with Articles L.411-1, L.411-2, L.412-1 and L.621-8 through L.621-8-3 of the French Code Monétaire et Financier.

Notice to Prospective Investors in Germany

Each person who is in possession of this prospectus is aware of the fact that no German securities prospectus (wertpapierprospekt) within the meaning of the securities prospectus act (wertpapier-prospektgesetz, the "act") of the federal republic of Germany has been or will be published with respect to the shares of our common stock. In particular, each underwriter has represented that it has not engaged and has agreed that it will not engage in a public offering in the federal republic of Germany (öffentliches angebot) within the meaning of the act with respect to any of the shares of our common stock otherwise than in accordance with the act and all other applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

Notice to Prospective Investors in Switzerland

The securities which are the subject of the offering contemplated by this prospectus may not be publicly offered in Switzerland and will not be listed on the SIX Swiss Exchange, or SIX, or on any other stock exchange or regulated trading facility in Switzerland. This prospectus has been prepared without regard to the disclosure standards for issuance prospectuses under art. 652a or art. 1156 of the Swiss Code of Obligations or the disclosure standards for listing prospectuses under art. 27 ff. of the SIX Listing Rules or the listing rules of any other stock exchange or regulated trading facility in Switzerland. None of this prospectus or any other offering or marketing material relating to the securities or the offering may be publicly distributed or otherwise made publicly available in Switzerland.

None of this prospectus or any other offering or marketing material relating to the offering, us or the securities have been or will be filed with or approved by any Swiss regulatory authority. In particular, this prospectus will not be filed with, and the offer of securities will not be supervised by, the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority FINMA and the offer of securities has not been and will not be authorized under the Swiss Federal Act on Collective Investment Schemes, or CISA. The investor protection afforded to acquirers of interests in collective investment schemes under the CISA does not extend to acquirers of the securities.

Notice to Prospective Investors in the Netherlands

The offering of the shares of our common stock is not a public offering in The Netherlands. The shares of our common stock may not be offered or sold to individuals or legal entities in The Netherlands unless (1) a prospectus relating to the offer is available to the public, which has been approved by the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (Autoriteit Financiële Markten) or by the competent supervisory authority of another state that is a member of the European Union or party to the Agreement on the European Economic Area, as amended or (2) an exception or exemption applies to the offer pursuant to Article 5:3 of The Netherlands Financial Supervision Act (Wet op het financieel toezicht) or Article 53 paragraph 2 or 3 of the Exemption Regulation of the Financial Supervision Act, for instance due to the offer targeting exclusively "qualified investors" (gekwalificeerde beleggers) within the meaning of Article 1:1 of The Netherlands Financial Supervision Act.

Notice to Prospective Investors in Japan

The underwriters will not offer or sell any of the shares of our common stock directly or indirectly in Japan or to, or for the benefit of, any Japanese person or to others, for re-offering or re-sale directly or indirectly in Japan or to any Japanese person, except in each case pursuant to an exemption from the registration requirements of, and otherwise in compliance with, the Financial Instruments and Exchange Law of Japan and any other applicable laws and regulations of Japan. For purposes of this paragraph, "Japanese person" means any person resident in Japan, including any corporation or other entity organized under the laws of Japan.

Notice to Prospective Investors in Hong Kong

The underwriters and each of their affiliates have not (1) offered or sold, and will not offer or sell, in Hong Kong, by means of any document, any shares of our common stock other than (a) to "professional investors" within the meaning of the Securities and Futures Ordinance (Cap. 571) of Hong Kong and any rules made under that Ordinance or (b) in other circumstances which do not result in the document being a "prospectus" as defined in the Companies Ordinance (Cap. 32) of Hong Kong or which do not constitute an offer to the public within the meaning of that Ordinance; and (2) issued or had in its possession for the purposes of issue, and will not issue or have in its possession for the purposes of issue, whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere any advertisement, invitation or document relating to the shares of our common stock which is directed at, or the contents of which are likely to be accessed or read by, the public in Hong Kong (except if permitted to do so under the securities laws of Hong Kong) other than with respect to the shares of our common stock which are or are intended to be disposed of only to persons outside Hong Kong or only to "professional investors" within the meaning of the Securities and Futures Ordinance and any rules made under that Ordinance. The contents of this document have not been reviewed by any regulatory authority in Hong Kong. You are advised to exercise caution in relation to the offer. If you are in any doubt about any of the contents of this document, you should obtain independent professional advice.

Notice to Prospective Investors in Singapore

This document has not been registered as a prospectus with the Monetary Authority of Singapore. Accordingly, this document and any other document or material in connection with the offer or sale, or

invitation for subscription or purchase, of shares of our common stock may not be circulated or distributed, nor may shares of our common stock be offered or sold, or be made the subject of an invitation for subscription or purchase, whether directly or indirectly, to persons in Singapore other than (1) to an institutional investor under Section 274 of the Securities and Futures Act, Chapter 289 of Singapore, or the Securities and Futures Act, (2) to a relevant person, or any person pursuant to Section 275(1A), and in accordance with the conditions, specified in Section 275 of the Securities and Futures Act or (3) otherwise pursuant to, and in accordance with the conditions of, any other applicable provision of the Securities and Futures Act.

Where shares of our common stock are subscribed or purchased under Section 275 by a relevant person which is:

- a) a corporation (which is not an accredited investor) the sole business of which is to hold investments and the entire share capital of which is owned by one or more individuals, each of whom is an accredited investor; or
- b) a trust (where the trustee is not an accredited investor) whose sole purpose is to hold investments and each beneficiary is an accredited investor, shares, debentures and units of shares and debentures of that corporation or the beneficiaries' rights and interest in that trust shall not be transferable for six months after that corporation or that trust has acquired the shares of our common stock under Section 275 except:
 - 1) to an institutional investor or to a relevant person, or to any person pursuant to an offer that is made on terms that such rights or interest are acquired at a consideration of not less than \$200,000 (or its equivalent in a foreign currency) for each transaction, whether such amount is to be paid for in cash or by exchange of securities or other assets;
 - 2) where no consideration is given for the transfer; or
 - 3) by operation of law.

LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the shares of common stock offered hereby will be passed upon for us by Bingham McCutchen LLP. Certain legal matters in connection with this offering will be passed upon for the underwriters by Ropes & Gray LLP.

EXPERTS

The financial statements of Proteon Therapeutics, Inc. at December 31, 2012 and 2013 and for the years then ended, appearing in this prospectus and registration statement, have been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, as set forth in their report thereon appearing elsewhere herein, and are included in reliance upon such report given on the authority of such firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We have filed with the SEC a registration statement on Form S-1 (including exhibits, schedules, and amendments) under the Securities Act with respect to the shares of common stock offered by this prospectus. This prospectus does not contain all the information set forth in the registration statement. For further information about us and the shares of common stock to be sold in this offering, you should refer to the registration statement. Statements contained in this prospectus relating to the contents of any contract, agreement or other document are not necessarily complete and are qualified in all respects by the complete text of the applicable contract, agreement or other document, a copy of which has been filed as an exhibit to the registration statement. Whenever this prospectus refers to any contract, agreement, or other document, you should refer to the exhibits that are a part of the registration statement for a copy of the contract, agreement, or document.

You may read and copy all or any portion of the registration statement or any other information we file at the SEC's public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. You can request copies of these documents, upon payment of a duplicating fee, by writing to the SEC. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information about the operation of the public reference rooms. Our SEC filings, including the registration statement, are also available to you on the SEC's Website (<http://www.sec.gov>).

Upon completion of this offering, we will become subject to the information and periodic reporting requirements of the Exchange Act. Under the Exchange Act, we will file annual, quarterly and current reports, as well as proxy statements and other information with the SEC. These periodic reports, proxy statements, and other information will be available for inspection and copying at the SEC's Public Reference Room and the website of the SEC referred to above.

Proteon Therapeutics, Inc.

Index to Financial Statements

	<u>Pages</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Audited Financial Statements	
Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2013 and as of June 30, 2014 (unaudited) and June 30, 2014 pro forma (unaudited)	F-3
Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013 and the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 (unaudited)	F-4
Statements of Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013, the six months ended June 30, 2014 (unaudited) and the six months ended June 30, 2014, pro forma (unaudited)	F-5
Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013 and the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 (unaudited)	F-6
Notes to Financial Statements	F-7

Proteon Therapeutics, Inc.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Proteon Therapeutics, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Proteon Therapeutics, Inc. as of December 31, 2012 and 2013, and the related statements of operations and comprehensive loss, redeemable convertible preferred stock and stockholders' deficit, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Proteon Therapeutics, Inc. at December 31, 2012 and 2013, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Boston, MA
June 25, 2014,

except for Note 15,
as to which the date is October 6, 2014

Proteon Therapeutics, Inc.

Balance Sheets

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	December 31,		June 30, 2014	
	2012	2013	Actual	Pro forma
Assets				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,409	\$ 2,793	\$ 8,646	\$ 8,646
Available-for-sale investments	5,062	2,359	16,770	16,770
Prepaid expenses	152	61	392	392
Other current assets	41	78	16	16
Short-term deposits	39	39	39	39
Total current assets	7,703	5,330	25,863	25,863
Property and equipment, net	79	62	85	85
Deferred tax asset	—	267	—	—
Other non-current assets	—	—	1,194	1,194
Total assets	<u>\$ 7,782</u>	<u>\$ 5,659</u>	<u>\$ 27,142</u>	<u>\$ 27,142</u>
Liabilities, redeemable convertible preferred stock and stockholders' equity (deficit)				
Current liabilities:				
Convertible notes, including accrued interest payable of \$0 and \$112 as of December 31, 2012 and 2013, \$0 as of June 30, 2014, and \$0 pro forma	\$ —	\$ 3,727	\$ —	\$ —
Derivative liability	—	1,443	—	—
Accounts payable	469	399	994	994
Accrued expenses	735	984	2,006	2,006
Deferred tax liability	—	267	—	—
Current portion of deferred revenue from sale of option to acquire company	—	2,948	2,948	2,948
Total current liabilities	1,204	9,768	5,948	5,948
Non-current liabilities:				
Deferred revenue from sale of option to acquire company	—	2,948	—	—
Investors' rights/obligations	—	—	6,580	—
Total liabilities	4,152	9,768	12,528	5,948
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)				
Redeemable convertible preferred stock:				
Series A redeemable convertible preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 22,638,465 shares authorized, issued, and outstanding at December 31, 2012, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2014 (unaudited); no shares issued and outstanding pro forma (unaudited); aggregate liquidation preference of \$22,638, \$22,638 and \$12,288 at December 31, 2012, 2013 and June 30, 2014 (unaudited) and none pro forma (unaudited)	32,633	34,230	35,015	—
Series A-1 redeemable convertible preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 10,909,091 shares authorized, issued, and outstanding at December 31, 2012, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2014 (unaudited); and no shares issued and outstanding pro forma (unaudited); aggregate liquidation preference of \$12,000, \$12,000 and \$6,514 at December 31, 2012, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2014 (unaudited) and none pro forma (unaudited)	16,526	17,374	17,790	—
Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 20,754,461 shares authorized, issued, and outstanding at December 31, 2012, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2014 (unaudited); no shares issued and outstanding pro forma (unaudited); aggregate liquidation preference of \$23,867, \$23,867 and \$12,955 at December 31, 2012, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2014 (unaudited) and none pro forma (unaudited)	24,926	27,401	28,573	—
Series C redeemable convertible preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 17,550,758 shares authorized, 13,202,932 issued, and outstanding at December 31, 2012, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2014 (unaudited); no shares issued and outstanding pro forma (unaudited); aggregate liquidation preference of \$15,183, \$15,183 and \$8,241 at December 31, 2012, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2014 (unaudited) and none pro forma (unaudited)	16,201	17,400	17,982	—
Series D redeemable convertible preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 0, 0 and 86,789,527 shares authorized, 0, 0 and 52,813,827 issued, and outstanding at December 31, 2012, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2014 (unaudited); no shares issued and outstanding pro forma (unaudited); aggregate liquidation preference of \$0, \$0 and \$31,089 at December 31, 2012, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2014 (unaudited) and none pro forma (unaudited)	—	—	24,544	—
Stockholders' equity (deficit):				
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,370,203, 100,370,203 and 205,926,290 shares authorized at December 31, 2012, December 31, 2013, June 30, 2014 (unaudited) and pro forma (unaudited); 230,607, 239,905 and 240,378 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2014, respectively, and 8,741,816 shares issued and outstanding pro forma (unaudited)	0	0	0	9
Additional paid-in capital	—	—	—	123,895
Accumulated deficit	(86,657)	(100,514)	(109,267)	(102,687)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	1	—	(23)	(23)
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	(86,656)	(100,514)	(109,290)	21,194
Total liabilities, redeemable convertible preferred stock and stockholders' equity (deficit)	<u>\$ 7,782</u>	<u>\$ 5,659</u>	<u>\$ 27,142</u>	<u>\$ 27,142</u>

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Proteon Therapeutics, Inc.

Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Year Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2013	2013	2014
			(unaudited)	
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	\$ 5,907	\$ 3,994	\$ 2,003	\$ 2,785
General and administrative	2,089	3,128	1,417	1,656
Total operating expenses	7,996	7,122	3,420	4,441
Loss from operations	(7,996)	(7,122)	(3,420)	(4,441)
Other income (expense):				
Investment income	20	4	3	3
Interest expense	—	(861)	—	(857)
Other income (expense)	6	67	5	(99)
Total other income (expense)	26	(790)	8	(953)
Net loss	\$ (7,970)	\$ (7,912)	\$ (3,412)	\$ (5,394)
Unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale investments	(5)	(1)	—	(23)
Comprehensive loss	\$ (7,975)	\$ (7,913)	\$ (3,412)	\$ (5,417)
Reconciliation of net loss to net loss attributable to common stockholders:				
Net loss	\$ (7,970)	\$ (7,912)	\$ (3,412)	\$ (5,394)
Accretion of redeemable convertible preferred stock to redemption value	(6,133)	(6,119)	(3,039)	(3,409)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$ (14,103)	\$ (14,031)	\$ (6,451)	\$ (8,803)
Net loss per share attributable to common stockholders—basic and diluted	\$ (61.16)	\$ (59.66)	\$ (27.97)	\$ (36.64)
Weighted-average number of common shares used in net loss per share attributable to common stockholders—basic and diluted	230,607	235,184	230,607	240,254
Pro forma net loss per share attributable to common stockholders—basic and diluted (unaudited)		\$ (1.54)		\$ (0.66)
Pro forma weighted-average number of common shares used in net loss per share attributable to common stockholders—basic and diluted (unaudited)		4,565,620		6,763,218

See accompanying notes to financial statements

Statements of Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Series A Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock		Series A-1 Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock		Series B Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock		Series C Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock		Series D Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock		Common stock			Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Stockholder (Deficit) Equity	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital			Accumulated Deficit
Balance at December 31, 2011	22,638,465	\$ 31,031	10,909,091	\$ 15,677	20,754,461	\$ 22,446	13,202,932	\$ 14,999			230,607	\$ 0	\$ —	(72,664)	\$ 5	(72,664)
Accretion of Series A, A-1, B and C redeemable convertible preferred stock to redemption value	—	1,602	—	849	—	2,480	—	1,202			—	—	(110)	(6,023)	—	(6,113)
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			—	—	110	—	—	110
Unrealized gain (loss) on short term investments	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	—	(4)	(4)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	(7,970)	—	(7,970)
Balance at December 31, 2012	22,638,465	\$ 32,633	10,909,091	\$ 16,526	20,754,461	\$ 24,926	13,202,932	\$ 16,201	—	\$ —	230,607	\$ 0	\$ —	(86,657)	\$ 1	(86,657)
Accretion of Series A, A-1, B and C redeemable convertible preferred stock to redemption value	—	1,597	—	848	—	2,475	—	1,199			—	—	(174)	(5,945)	—	(6,119)
Exercise of common stock options	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			9,298	0	19	—	—	9,317
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			—	—	155	—	—	155
Unrealized gain (loss) on short term investments	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	—	(1)	(1)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	(7,912)	—	(7,912)
Balance at December 31, 2013	22,638,465	\$ 34,230	10,909,091	\$ 17,374	20,754,461	\$ 27,401	13,202,932	\$ 17,400	—	\$ —	239,905	\$ 0	\$ —	(100,514)	\$ —	(100,514)
Issuance of Series D redeemable convertible preferred stock net of \$6,639 discount associated with investors rights and obligations and issuance costs of \$437	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	52,813,827	24,090	—	—	—	—	—	52,837,917
Accretion of Series A, A-1, B, C and D redeemable convertible preferred stock to redemption value	—	785	—	416	—	1,172	—	582	454	—	—	—	(50)	(3,359)	—	(3,409)
Exercise of common stock options	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	473	0	1	—	—	—	474
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	49	—	—	—	49
Unrealized gain (loss) on short term investments	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(23)	(23)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(5,394)	—	(5,394)
Balance at June 30, 2014	22,638,465	\$ 35,015	10,909,091	\$ 17,790	20,754,461	\$ 28,573	13,202,932	\$ 17,982	52,813,827	\$ 24,544	240,378	\$ 0	\$ —	(109,267)	\$ (23)	(109,290)
Conversion of redeemable convertible preferred stock into common stock (unaudited)	(22,638,465)	(35,015)	(10,909,091)	(17,790)	(20,754,461)	(28,573)	(13,202,932)	(17,982)	(52,813,827)	(24,544)	8,501,438	9	123,895	—	—	123,904
Extinguishment of investors rights and obligations (unaudited)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6,580	—	6,580
Pro forma balance at June 30, 2014 (unaudited)	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	8,741,816	\$ 9	\$ 123,895	\$ (102,687)	\$ (23)	\$ 21,193

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Proteon Therapeutics, Inc.

Statements of Cash Flows

(in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2013	2013	2014
			(unaudited)	
Operating activities:				
Net loss	\$ (7,970)	\$ (7,912)	\$ (3,412)	\$ (5,394)
Reconciliation of net loss to net cash used in operating activities:				
Depreciation	57	27	15	13
Amortization of premium/discount on available-for-sale securities	138	30	20	6
Gain on sale of fixed assets	(5)	(65)	(4)	—
Accretion of discount & debt issuance cost of convertible notes payable	—	749	—	742
Stock-based compensation	110	155	105	49
Change in fair value of investor rights/obligations	—	—	—	18
Change in fair value of derivative liability	—	(2)	—	81
Changes in:				
Prepaid expenses and other assets	320	71	97	(1,480)
Interest receivable	28	1	8	(1)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(912)	177	(215)	1,617
Accrued interest payable	—	112	—	115
Net cash used in operating activities	(8,234)	(6,657)	(3,386)	(4,234)
Investing activities:				
Purchases of available-for-sale investments	(8,658)	(3,878)	(1,510)	(16,795)
Proceeds from maturities of available for sale investments	16,075	6,550	5,800	2,355
Purchase of property and equipment	(67)	(10)	—	(36)
Sale of property and equipment	33	65	4	—
Deposits	(1)	—	—	—
Net cash provided by investing activities	7,382	2,727	4,294	(14,476)
Financing activities:				
Proceeds from issuance of Series D preferred stock	—	—	—	25,000
Issuance costs for preferred stock	—	—	—	(437)
Proceeds from issuance of convertible notes payable	—	4,339	—	—
Payments for debt issuance costs	—	(46)	—	—
Exercise of stock options	—	19	—	0
Early exercise of stock options	—	2	—	—
Repayments of note payable	(9)	—	—	—
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(9)	4,314	—	24,563
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(861)	384	908	5,853
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	3,270	2,409	2,409	2,793
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 2,409	\$ 2,793	\$ 3,317	\$ 8,646
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:				
Accretion of redeemable convertible preferred stock to redemption value	\$ 6,133	\$ 6,119	\$ 1,515	\$ 3,409
Fair value of embedded derivative contained within convertible notes payable	\$ —	\$ 1,445	\$ —	\$ —

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Notes to Financial Statements

1. Organization and operations

The Company

Proteon Therapeutics, Inc. is an early-stage biopharmaceutical company engaged in the development of elastases to treat the growing medical needs of renal and vascular disease patients.

Proteon Therapeutics, LLC (the "LLC" or the "Predecessor") was organized in June 2001. Proteon Therapeutics, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("the Company"), was incorporated on March 24, 2006. Effective March 27, 2006, the Predecessor and the Company merged, with the Company being the surviving entity. During 2013, the Company formed a wholly-owned subsidiary, organized in the United Kingdom. As of June 30, 2014 there has been no activity other than its formation. Since the inception of the Predecessor on June 1, 2001, the Company has been primarily involved in research and development activities.

The Company devotes substantially all of its efforts to product research and development, initial market development and raising capital. The Company has not generated any product revenue related to its primary business purpose to date and is subject to a number of risks similar to those of other development stage companies, including dependence on key individuals, competition from other companies, the need for development of commercially viable products and the need to obtain adequate additional financing to fund the development of its product candidates. The Company is also subject to a number of risks similar to other companies in the life sciences industry, including regulatory approval of products, uncertainty of market acceptance of products, competition from substitute products and larger companies, the need to obtain additional financing, compliance with government regulations, protection of proprietary technology, dependence on third parties, product liability and dependence on key individuals.

The Company had an accumulated deficit of \$100.5 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$109.3 million as of June 30, 2014 (unaudited) and will require substantial additional capital to fund its research and development and ongoing operating expenses.

Liquidity

The Company believes that its cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments of approximately \$5.2 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$25.4 million as of June 30, 2014 (unaudited) will be sufficient to allow the Company to fund its operations at least beyond December 31, 2014; however, the Company may be required to raise additional capital or obtain financing from other sources to fund operations in the future. As the Company continues to incur losses, a transition to profitability is dependent upon the successful development, approval and commercialization of its product candidate and the achievement of a level of revenues adequate to support the Company's cost structure. The Company may never achieve profitability, and unless and until it does, the Company will continue to need to raise additional capital or obtain financing from other sources, such as strategic partnerships or other sources. There can be no assurances, however, that additional funding will be available on terms acceptable to the Company, or at all.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation and Use of Estimates

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). Any reference in these notes to applicable guidance is meant to refer to the authoritative United States generally accepted accounting principles as found in the Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") and Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") of the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB"). The preparation of financial statements in

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. On an ongoing basis, the Company's management evaluates its estimates, which include, but are not limited to, estimates related to convertible notes, stock-based compensation expense, clinical trial accruals, and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and other market-specific or other relevant assumptions that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from those estimates or assumptions.

The Company utilizes significant estimates and assumptions in determining the fair value of its common stock ("Common Stock"). The Company utilized various valuation methodologies in accordance with the framework of the 2004 and 2013 American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Technical Practice Aids, *Valuation of Privately-Held Company Equity Securities Issued as Compensation*, to estimate the fair value of its Common Stock. Each valuation methodology includes estimates and assumptions that require the Company's judgment. These estimates and assumptions include a number of objective and subjective factors, including external market conditions affecting the biotechnology industry sector, the prices at which the Company sold shares of preferred stock, the superior rights and preferences of securities senior to the Company's Common Stock at the time and the likelihood of achieving a liquidity event, such as an initial public offering or a sale of the Company. Significant changes to the key assumptions used in the valuations could result in different fair values of Common Stock at each valuation date and materially affect the financial statements.

On October 1, 2014, the Board of Directors and, on October 3, 2014, the stockholders approved a 1-for-15.87 reverse stock split of the Company's Common Stock and a proportional adjustment to the existing conversion ratios for each series of Preferred Stock. The effective date of the reverse stock split is October 6, 2014. All share, share equivalent and per share amounts have been adjusted to reflect the reverse stock split. The ratios by which shares of Preferred Stock are convertible into shares of Common Stock have been adjusted to reflect the effects of the reverse stock split.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2014, the FASB issued authoritative guidance regarding disclosure requirements of development stage companies in GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. This newly issued accounting standard removes all incremental financial reporting requirements, including inception-to-date information, for development stage entities. This guidance is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014. However, the Company early adopted this guidance effective with the publication of its 2013 financial statements.

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued a new standard on revenue recognition providing a single, comprehensive revenue recognition model for all contracts with customers. The new revenue standard is based on the principle that revenue should be recognized to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The new standard is effective beginning January 1, 2017, with no early adoption permitted. The amendments may be applied retrospectively to each prior period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect recognized as of the date of initial application. We are currently evaluating the impact of the new guidance on our financial statements, if any.

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Unaudited Interim Financial Statements

The accompanying balance sheet as of June 30, 2014, the statements of operations and comprehensive loss and statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014, the statement of redeemable convertible preferred stock and stockholders' deficit for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and the related information contained within the notes to the financial statements are unaudited. These interim financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the annual audited financial statements and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments, consisting of normal and recurring adjustments, necessary for the fair presentation of the Company's financial position at June 30, 2014 and results of its operations and its cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014. The results for the six months ended June 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the year ending December 31, 2014 or any other interim or future period.

Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information

On June 24, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the Company to file a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") permitting the Company to sell shares of its Common Stock to the public. Upon the closing of a qualified (as defined in the Company's Articles of Incorporation) initial public offering ("IPO") or otherwise upon the election of the holders of the specified percentage of preferred stock, all of the Company's convertible notes plus accrued interest will convert into redeemable convertible preferred stock and the outstanding redeemable convertible preferred stock will automatically convert into Common Stock. The unaudited pro forma balance sheet and statement of redeemable convertible preferred stock and stockholders' (deficit) equity as of June 30, 2014 reflect the assumed conversion of: all of the outstanding shares of Series A Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock ("Series A Preferred Stock"), the Series A-1 Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock ("Series A-1 Preferred Stock"), the Series B Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock ("Series B Preferred Stock"), the Series C Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock ("Series C Preferred Stock") and the Series D Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock ("Series D Preferred Stock") (collectively "Preferred Stock") into shares of common stock.

Unaudited pro forma net loss per share attributable to common stockholders is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding after giving effect to the conversion of all Preferred Stock and Convertible Notes and associated accrued interest into shares of the Common Stock as if such conversion had occurred at the beginning of the period presented, or the date of original issuance, if later, and excludes the accretion of Preferred Stock to its redemption value and interest expense of the Convertible Notes. Accordingly, the pro forma basic and diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders does not include the effects of the cumulative Preferred Stock dividends and extinguishment of Series B redeemable convertible Preferred Stock. As the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013, the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 (unaudited), resulted in net losses, there is no income allocation required under the two-class method or dilution attributed to pro forma weighted average shares outstanding in the calculation of pro forma diluted loss per share attributable to common stockholders.

As noted above, the unaudited pro forma information reflects the automatic conversion, at the closing of an IPO of the Company's Common Stock of Preferred Stock into shares of Common Stock. The conversion of Preferred Stock has been reflected assuming shares of Series D Preferred Stock Series C Preferred Stock, Series B Preferred Stock, Series A-1 Preferred Stock and Series A Preferred Stock convert into shares of fully paid Common Stock at the applicable conversion ratios. The unaudited

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

pro forma information also assumes the extinguishment of the liability related to the Series D investors' purchase rights upon the closing of an IPO of the Company's Common Stock. See Note 9 for further discussion of the Preferred Stock conversion features, as well as a discussion of the rights and preferences of the redeemable convertible Preferred Stock.

As noted above, the unaudited pro forma information reflects the automatic conversion, upon the closing of this initial public offering of the Company's Common Stock, of all outstanding shares of Preferred Stock into shares of Common Stock. The conversion has been adjusted in connection with the 1-for-15.87 reverse stock split of the Company's Common Stock effected on October 6, 2014, for all series of Preferred Stock. For purposes of the unaudited pro forma information included within these financial statements, the conversion of the Preferred Stock has been reflected assuming the conversion ratio, adjusted for the 1-for-15.87 reverse stock split, in effect as of each balance sheet date or on the date of the assumed conversion (upon the closing of this initial public offering) for pro forma net loss per share considerations. See Note 9 for further discussion of the Preferred Stock conversion features, as well as a discussion of the rights and preferences of the redeemable convertible preferred stock.

Segment Information

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate discrete information is available for evaluation by the chief operating decision maker, or decision-making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company and the Company's chief operating decision maker view the Company's operations and manage its business in one operating segment, which is the business of developing and commercializing products for the treatment of renal and vascular disease. The Company operates in only one geographic segment.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with maturities of 90 days or less from the purchase date to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents are held in depository and money market accounts and are reported at fair value.

Short-Term Investments

The Company classifies its investments as available-for-sale and records such assets at estimated fair value in the balance sheets, with unrealized gains and losses, if any, reported as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) within the statements of operations and comprehensive loss and as a separate component of stockholders' (deficit) equity. The Company invests its excess cash balances primarily in government debt securities and money market funds with strong credit ratings and maturities of less than one year. There have been no realized gains and losses for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013 and for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 (unaudited).

At each balance sheet date, the Company assesses available-for-sale securities in an unrealized loss position to determine whether the unrealized loss is other-than-temporary. The Company considers factors including: the significance of the decline in value compared to the cost basis, underlying factors contributing to a decline in the prices of securities in a single asset class, the length of time the market value of the security has been less than its cost basis, the security's relative performance versus its peers, sector or asset class, expected market volatility and the market and economy in general. When the Company determines that a decline in the fair value below its cost basis is other-than-temporary, the Company recognizes an impairment loss in the year in which the other-than-temporary decline occurred. There have been no other-than-temporary declines in value of short-term investments for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013, the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 (unaudited), as it is more likely than not the Company will hold the securities until maturity or a recovery of the cost basis.

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**Concentrations of Credit Risk and Off-balance Sheet Risk**

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk are primarily cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments. The Company's cash and cash equivalents are held in accounts with financial institutions that management believes are creditworthy. The Company's investment policy includes guidelines on the quality of the institutions and financial instruments and defines allowable investments that the Company believes minimizes the exposure to concentration of credit risk. These amounts at times may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any credit losses in such accounts and does not believe it is exposed to any significant credit risk on these funds. The Company has no financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk of loss.

Deferred Public Offering Costs

Deferred public offering costs, which primarily consist of direct, incremental legal and accounting fees relating to the IPO, are capitalized within other assets. The deferred issuance costs will be offset against IPO proceeds upon the consummation of the offering. In the event the offering is terminated, deferred offering costs will be expensed. The Company has incurred \$1.2 million in IPO costs as of June 30, 2014 (unaudited).

Deferred Financing Costs

Deferred financing costs related to the Convertible Notes as of December 31, 2013 were included in prepaid expenses and other current assets and have been fully amortized as of June 30, 2014 (unaudited) (Note 5). Deferred financing costs are amortized over the life of the related debt using the effective interest method. For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013, and for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 (unaudited), deferred financing costs of \$0, \$18,000, \$0 and \$18,000, respectively, were amortized to interest expense.

As of June 30, 2014, the Company incurred \$437,000 (unaudited) of costs related to the issuance of the Series D Preferred Stock. The Series D Preferred Stock issuance costs were allocated to the various tranches resulting in \$360,000 allocated to the first tranche and \$77,000 allocated to the second and third tranche rights. The amount allocated to the first tranche was offset against the proceeds upon closing of the issuance of the first tranche of Series D Preferred Stock (Note 9). The amount allocated to the future tranche rights has been recorded against the tranche right liability.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts payable, accrued liabilities, Convertible Notes and features embedded in the Convertible Notes (see Note 5). The Company is required to disclose information on all assets and liabilities reported at fair value that enables an assessment of the inputs used in determining the reported fair values. FASB ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures*, established a hierarchy of inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the financial instrument based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions about the inputs that market participants would use in pricing the financial instrument and are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. The fair value hierarchy applies only to the valuation inputs used in determining the reported or disclosed fair value of the financial instruments and is

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

not a measure of the investment credit quality. Fair value measurements are classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

- Level 1—Valuations based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date.
- Level 2—Valuations based on quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly.
- Level 3—Valuations that require inputs that reflect the Company's own assumptions that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable.

To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised by the Company in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. A financial instrument's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis include cash equivalents, short-term investments (Note 3) and the derivative liability associated with the Convertible Notes (Note 5). The fair value of the derivative liability was determined based on Level 3 inputs as described in Note 5. An entity may elect to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value at specified election dates. Subsequent unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected will be reported in net loss. The Company did not elect to measure any additional financial instruments or other items at fair value. The Company is also required to disclose the fair value of financial instruments not carried at fair value. The carrying value of the Company's Convertible Notes approximates fair value considering their short-term maturity dates and considering that the stated interest rate is near current market rates for instruments with similar conversion and settlement features.

There have been no changes to the valuation methods utilized by the Company during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013, the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 (unaudited). The Company evaluates transfers between levels at the end of each reporting period. There were no transfers of financial instruments between levels during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013, the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 (unaudited).

Derivative Instruments

The Company occasionally issues financial instruments in which a derivative instrument is "embedded". Upon issuing the financial instrument, the Company assesses whether the economic characteristics of the embedded derivative are clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the remaining component of the financial instrument (i.e., the host contract) and whether a separate, non-embedded instrument with the same terms as the embedded instrument would meet the definition of a derivative instrument. When it is determined that (1) the embedded derivative possesses economic characteristics that are not clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the host contract and (2) a separate, stand-alone instrument with the same terms would qualify as a derivative instrument, the embedded derivative is separated from the host contract and carried at fair value with any changes in fair value recorded in current period earnings.

In connection with the issuance of the Convertible Notes in September 2013 and the Series D Preferred Stock in May 2014, the Company identified certain embedded features which require separation

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

under ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging* ("ASC 815"). See Note 5 and Note 9 for further discussion of these instruments.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Maintenance and repairs that do not improve or extend the lives of the respective assets are expensed to operations as incurred. Upon disposal, the related cost and accumulated depreciation is removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is included in the results of operations. Depreciation is recorded using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets, which are as follows:

<u>Asset</u>	<u>Estimated Useful Life</u>
Computer equipment and software	3 years
Furniture, fixtures, and other	5 years
Laboratory equipment	7 years

Revenue

In general, the Company recognizes revenue when all of the following criteria are met: persuasive evidence of arrangement exists; delivery has occurred or services have been rendered; the Company's price to the customer is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured.

Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs are charged to expense as incurred in performing research and development activities. The costs include employee compensation costs, facilities and overhead, clinical study and related clinical manufacturing costs, regulatory and other related costs. Nonrefundable advanced payments for goods or services to be received in the future for use in research and development activities are deferred and capitalized. The capitalized amounts are expensed as the related goods are delivered or the services are performed.

Stock-based compensation expense

The Company accounts for its stock-based compensation awards to employees and directors in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, *Compensation-Stock Compensation* ("ASC 718"). ASC 718 requires all stock-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options and restricted stock, to be recognized in the statements of operations and comprehensive loss based on their grant date fair values. Compensation expense related to awards to employees is recognized on a straight-line basis based on the grant date fair value over the associated service period of the award, ASC 718 which is generally the vesting term. Share-based payments issued to non-employees are recorded at their fair values and are periodically revalued as the equity instruments vest and are recognized as expense over the related service period in accordance with the provisions of ASC 718 and FASB ASC Topic 505, *Equity*, and are expensed using an accelerated attribution model.

The Company estimates the fair value of its stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which requires the input of subjective assumptions, including (a) the expected stock price volatility, (b) the expected term of the award, (c) the risk-free interest rate, (d) expected dividends and (e) the estimated fair value of its Common Stock on the measurement date. Due to the lack of a public market for

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

the trading of its Common Stock and a lack of company specific historical and implied volatility data, the Company has based its estimate of expected volatility on the historical volatility of a group of similar companies that are publicly traded. When selecting these public companies on which it has based its expected stock price volatility, the Company selected companies with comparable characteristics to it, including enterprise value, risk profiles, position within the industry and with historical share price information sufficient to meet the expected term of the stock based awards. The Company computes historical volatility data using the daily closing prices for the selected companies' shares during the equivalent period of the calculated expected term of the stock-based awards. The Company will continue to apply this process until a sufficient amount of historical information regarding the volatility of its own stock price becomes available. Due to the lack of Company specific historical option activity, the Company has estimated the expected term of its employee stock options using the "simplified" method, whereby, the expected term equals the arithmetic average of the vesting term and the original contractual term of the option. The expected term for nonemployee awards is the remaining contractual term of the option. The risk-free interest rates are based on the U.S. Treasury securities with a maturity date commensurate with the expected term of the associated award. The Company has never paid, and does not expect to pay dividends in the foreseeable future. Refer to "Note 2," "*Basis of presentation and use of estimates*," for a discussion of the Company's estimated fair value of its Common Stock.

The Company is also required to estimate forfeitures at the time of grant and revise those estimates in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from its estimates. The Company uses historical data to estimate forfeitures and records stock-based compensation expense only for those awards that are expected to vest. To the extent that actual forfeitures differ from the Company's estimates, the differences are recorded as a cumulative adjustment in the period the estimates were revised. Stock-based compensation expense recognized in the financial statements is based on awards that are ultimately expected to vest.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are recorded in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 740, "Income Taxes" ("ASC 740"), which provides for deferred taxes using an asset and liability approach. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial reporting and tax reporting basis of assets and liabilities and are measured using enacted tax rates and laws that are expected to be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. Valuation allowances are provided if, based upon the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The Company has evaluated available evidence and concluded that the Company may not realize the benefit of its deferred tax assets; therefore a valuation allowance has been established for the full amount of the deferred tax assets.

The Company accounts for uncertain tax positions in accordance with the provisions of ASC 740. When uncertain tax positions exist, the Company recognizes the tax benefit of tax positions to the extent that the benefit will more likely than not be realized. The determination as to whether the tax benefit will more likely than not be realized is based upon the technical merits of the tax position as well as consideration of the available facts and circumstances. As of December 31, 2012 and 2013, and June 30, 2014 (unaudited), the Company does not have any significant uncertain tax positions. The Company's practice is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. See Note 13 for further details.

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**Net loss per share attributable to common stockholders**

Basic net loss per share attributable to common stockholders is calculated by dividing net loss attributable to common stockholders by the weighted average shares outstanding during the period, without consideration for Common Stock equivalents. Net loss attributable to common stockholders is calculated by adjusting the net loss of the Company for cumulative preferred stock dividends and accretion of preferred stock issuance costs. During periods of income, the Company allocates participating securities a proportional share of income determined by dividing total weighted average participating securities by the sum of the total weighted average common shares and participating securities (the "two class method"). The Company's redeemable convertible preferred stock participates in any dividends declared by the Company and are therefore considered to be participating securities. Participating securities have the effect of diluting both basic and diluted earnings per share during periods of income. During periods of loss, the Company allocates no loss to participating securities because they have no contractual obligation to share in the losses of the Company. Diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders is calculated by adjusting weighted average shares outstanding for the dilutive effect of Common Stock equivalents outstanding for the period, determined using the treasury-stock and if-converted methods. For purposes of the diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders calculation, preferred stock, stock options, warrants and the Convertible Notes are considered to be Common Stock equivalents but have been excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders, as their effect would be anti-dilutive for all periods presented. Therefore, basic and diluted net loss per share were the same for all periods presented.

Comprehensive loss

Comprehensive loss consists of net income or loss and changes in equity during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances generated from non-owner sources. The Company's net loss equals comprehensive loss, net of any changes in the unrealized gains and losses of the Company's short-term investments, for all periods presented.

Subsequent events

The Company considers events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date but prior to the date the financial statements are available to be issued for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements. The Company has completed an evaluation of all subsequent events after the audited balance sheet date of December 31, 2013 through June 25, 2014 and after the unaudited balance sheet date of June 30, 2014 through August 5, 2014, the dates the financial statements were available to be issued, and through October 6, 2014, the date the revised financial statements were available to be issued, to ensure that this filing includes appropriate disclosure of events both recognized in the financial statements as of December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2014 (unaudited), and events which occurred subsequently but were not recognized in the financial statements. See Note 15 for further details concerning events subsequent to the balance sheet dates.

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

3. Financial Instruments

Below is a summary of assets and liabilities measured at fair value as of December 31, 2012 and 2013, and June 30, 2014:

	As of December 31, 2012			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
	(in thousands)			
Financial assets				
Cash equivalents	\$ 2,395	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 2,395
Government securities	5,062	—	—	5,062
Total	<u>\$ 7,457</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 7,457</u>
Financial liabilities				
Derivative liability	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

	As of December 31, 2013			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
	(in thousands)			
Financial assets				
Cash equivalents	\$ 2,781	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 2,781
Government securities	2,359	—	—	2,359
Total	<u>\$ 5,140</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 5,140</u>
Financial liabilities				
Derivative liability	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,443	\$ 1,443
Total	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 1,443</u>	<u>\$ 1,443</u>

	As of June 30, 2014 (unaudited)			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
	(in thousands)			
Financial assets				
Cash equivalents	\$ 8,486	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 8,486
Government securities	16,770	—	—	16,770
Total	<u>\$ 25,256</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 25,256</u>
Financial liabilities				
Derivative liability	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 6,580	\$ 6,580
Total	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 6,580</u>	<u>\$ 6,580</u>

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

3. Financial Instruments (Continued)

The Company's cash equivalents consist principally of money market funds. Short-term investments, consisting principally of government debt securities and money market funds, are classified as available-for-sale. Cash equivalents and short-term investments are stated at fair value and consist of Level 1 financial instruments in the fair value hierarchy. The Company determines the fair value of its debt security holdings based on pricing from a service provider. The service provider values the securities based on market prices from a variety of industry-standard independent data providers. Such market prices are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1 inputs).

The derivative liability and investors rights and obligations are considered Level 3 inputs because their fair value measurement is based, in part, on significant inputs not observed in the market. The Company determined the fair value of both liabilities as described in Note 5 and Note 9. Any reasonable changes in the assumptions used in the valuation could materially affect the financial results of the Company.

Available-for-sale securities at December 31, 2012, 2013 and June 30, 2014 (unaudited) consist of the following (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
December 31, 2012				
Government securities				
(Due within 1 year)	\$ 5,061	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ 5,062
	<u>\$ 5,061</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 5,062</u>
December 31, 2013				
Government securities				
(Due within 1 year)	\$ 2,359	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 2,359
	<u>\$ 2,359</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 2,359</u>
June 30, 2014				
Government securities				
(Due within 1 year)	\$ 16,793	\$ —	\$ 23	\$ 16,770
	<u>\$ 16,793</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 23</u>	<u>\$ 16,770</u>

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

4. Property and equipment, net

Property and equipment, net, consists of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,		June 30,
	2012	2013	2014 (unaudited)
Computer equipment and software	\$ 86	\$ 96	\$ 124
Furniture, fixtures, and other	85	84	83
Laboratory equipment	410	236	245
	581	416	452
Accumulated depreciation	(502)	(354)	(367)
Property and equipment, net	<u>\$ 79</u>	<u>\$ 62</u>	<u>\$ 85</u>

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013, and for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 (unaudited) was \$57,000, \$27,000, \$15,000 and \$13,000, respectively.

During 2013, the Company sold fully depreciated fixed assets with an original cost basis of \$0.2 million and a net book value of \$0, recognizing a gain on sale of \$0.1 million, of which, \$4,000 was recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2013. The Company did not sell or dispose of any fixed assets during 2012 or the six months ended June 30, 2014 (unaudited).

5. Convertible Notes

On April 29, 2013, the Company entered into a Convertible Note Purchase Agreement ("the Note Agreement") with certain existing Preferred Stockholders. Under the terms of the Note Agreement, the Company had the option, but not the obligation, to borrow up to \$4.3 million from the issuance of the Convertible Notes, subject to meeting at least one of two pre-determined conditions. On September 4, 2013, upon satisfying one of the conditions, the Company issued the Convertible Notes with total aggregate proceeds of \$4.3 million. All of the Convertible Notes were purchased by current Preferred Stockholders. The Convertible Notes accrue interest at 8% per annum and mature on or after March 31, 2014 upon written notice from a majority of the outstanding Convertible Note holders (the "Maturity Date").

In connection with the issuance of the Convertible Notes, the Company incurred \$36,000 of financing costs which were recorded in other current assets. The Company also reimbursed the lenders \$10,000 for financing costs which has been recorded as a discount on the Convertible Notes. The Convertible Notes included various embedded conversion and redemption features as further described below. The Company recorded approximately \$1.4 million as the fair value of the combined embedded derivative liability on September 4, 2013, with a corresponding amount recorded as debt discount. The debt discount has been amortized to interest expense over the life of the Convertible Notes. As of December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2014 (unaudited), the fair value of the combined embedded derivative liability was \$1.4 million and \$0, respectively. Amounts recorded for issuance costs and embedded features are being amortized to interest expense over the life of the Convertible Notes, approximately seven months. Changes in the estimated fair value of the embedded features are recorded in earnings in the period in which they occur.

The Convertible Notes provide for conversion upon maturity at the holder's option and mandatory conversion upon a reverse acquisition. Both of these features provide for the conversion of the outstanding principal of the Convertible Notes, plus accrued interest into Series C Preferred Stock at \$1.15 per share.

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

5. Convertible Notes (Continued)

In the event the Company issues or sells equity securities prior to the Maturity Date with aggregate proceeds of not less than \$7.0 million, the Convertible Notes plus all accrued interest automatically convert into either (i) the newly issued equity securities at 75% of the cash price per share paid by the investors in the new equity securities; or (ii) shares of Series C Preferred Stock at \$1.15 per share. In accordance with ASC 815, the Company determined that this embedded mandatory conversion feature should be separately accounted for as a freestanding financial instrument as the conversion feature was a substantial contingent call option.

In the event the Company issues or sells equity securities prior to the Maturity Date with aggregate proceeds less than \$7.0 million, the Convertible Notes plus all accrued interest can be converted at the option of the holders into the newly issued equity securities at 75% of the cash price per share paid by the investors in the new equity securities. In accordance with ASC 815, the Company determined that this embedded conversion feature should be separately accounted for as a freestanding financial instrument as the conversion feature was a substantial contingent call option.

In the event of a change in control of the Company prior to the Maturity Date, the Company has the option to prepay the Convertible Notes at 1.5 times principal, plus accrued interest. In accordance with ASC 815, the Company determined that this embedded redemption feature should be separately accounted for as a free-standing financial instrument as the conversion feature was a substantial contingent call option.

The Convertible Notes include a call feature, at the issuer's option, whereby the Convertible Notes may be prepaid at 1.5 times principal, plus accrued interest. In accordance with ASC 815, the Company determined that this embedded redemption feature should be separately accounted for as a free-standing financial instrument.

The Convertible Notes include a put feature, at the option of the holders, whereby upon a breach of the Note Agreement, repayment of the Convertible Notes can be accelerated at 1.5 times principal, plus accrued interest. In accordance with ASC 815, the Company determined that this embedded redemption feature should be separately accounted for as a free-standing financial instrument. The Convertible Notes also include an additional put feature, at the option of the holders, whereby upon an event of default, the repayment of the Convertible Notes can be accelerated in the amount of the outstanding principal, plus accrued interest. In accordance with ASC 815, the Company determined that this embedded redemption feature does not require separate accounting as a free-standing financial instrument.

The embedded features requiring separate accounting were combined and valued upon issuance using a single income valuation approach. The Company estimated the fair value of the combined embedded derivative identified above using a "with and without" income valuation approach. Under this approach, the Company estimated the present value of the fixed interest rate debt based on the fair value of similar debt instruments excluding the embedded features. This amount was then compared to the fair value of the debt instrument including the embedded features using a probability weighted approach by assigning each embedded derivative feature a probability of occurrence, with consideration provided for the settlement amount including conversion discounts, prepayment penalties, the expected life of the liability and the applicable discount rate.

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

5. Convertible Notes (Continued)

As of September 4, 2013 and December 31, 2013, the Company ascribed a probability to the mandatory conversion feature upon a financing of not less than \$7.0 million of 85% and 100%, respectively. As of September 4, 2013 and December 31, 2013 the Company ascribed a probability to the call feature upon a change in control of 15% and 0%, respectively. For all other features included in the combined embedded derivative, the Company estimated a 0% probability of occurrence as of September 4, 2013 and December 31, 2013. From December 31, 2013 to the conversion of the convertible notes into Series D Preferred Stock, as described below, the estimates of these probabilities did not change. The Company classified the liability within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy as the probability factor is an unobservable input and significant to the valuation model.

On May 13, 2014, we received net proceeds of approximately \$25.0 million from the issuance of Series D convertible preferred stock to new and existing investors at a price per share of \$0.588656. In aggregate, we issued 52,813,827 shares of Series D preferred stock including 10,344,201 shares for the conversion of \$4.6 million of convertible notes and accrued interest at a conversion price of \$0.4414 per share. In connection with the conversion, the compound embedded derivative liability, which had a fair value of \$1.5 million, was written-off. As a result, there was no gain or loss recognized upon conversion of the Convertible Notes.

6. Accrued expenses

Accrued expenses consist of the following (in thousands):

	<u>December 31,</u>		<u>June 30,</u>
	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
			(unaudited)
Payroll and employee-related costs	\$ 81	\$ 419	\$ 291
Contracted service costs		544	773
Professional fees		95	202
Other		15	3
Total	<u>\$ 735</u>	<u>\$ 984</u>	<u>\$ 2,006</u>

7. Option to Acquire Company

In March 2009, the Company entered into an option agreement with a major pharmaceutical entity that provides an exclusive option to acquire the Company under a pre-negotiated merger agreement. The Company received a \$10.0 million non-refundable payment as consideration for the agreement. The fair value of the option to acquire the Company was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

Expected volatility	76%
Expected option expiration date	June 30, 2013
Expected dividends	0%
Expected term (years)	4.34
Risk-free rate	1.80%

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

7. Option to Acquire Company (Continued)

Expected volatility was based on historical volatility of companies within the biotechnology industry. The exercise price of the option to acquire all outstanding shares of Company stock, prior to the payment of contingent program milestones, was \$240.0 million. The fair value of the option to acquire all of the outstanding shares of the Company was estimated to be \$7.1 million, which was recorded as additional paid-in capital during 2009. The \$2.9 million difference between the \$10.0 million non-refundable payment and the fair value of the option was recorded as deferred revenue during 2009, representing the value of certain residual rights in the event the acquisition option is not exercised (e.g., a right, under certain circumstances, to license the Company's underlying technology). The acquisition option expired unexercised in 2013. This amount will not be recognized as revenue until the residual rights lapse, which will occur during the second half of 2014.

8. Commitments and Contingencies**Significant Contracts and Agreements**

In February 2002, the Company entered into an agreement to license certain intellectual property from Johns Hopkins University. The agreement calls for payments to be made by the Company upon the commencement of product sales, in the form of a royalty of 2.5% on net sales of the product. As the Company has not commenced product sales, during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013 and the six months ended June 30, 2014 (unaudited), the Company has recognized no royalties on product sales.

Operating Leases

The Company has various non-cancellable operating leases for facilities and office equipment that expire at various dates through 2018. The facility leases require the Company to pay all electricity costs. In August 2014, the Company amended the Massachusetts office lease to extend the term of the lease by 42 months. The lease expires in June 2018 with one optional one-year extension period. Rental expense for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013, and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 (unaudited) was \$0.3 million, \$0.2 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

Future minimum payments required under the leases as of June 30, 2014, are summarized as follows (in thousands):

<u>Year Ending December 31:</u>	
2014	\$ 87

Restricted cash related to facilities leases

At December 31, 2012 and 2013, and June 30, 2014 (unaudited), the Company had \$38,000 in an outstanding letter of credit to be used as collateral for leased premises. At December 31, 2012 and 2013 and June 30, 2014 (unaudited), the Company has pledged an aggregate of \$39,000 to the bank as collateral for the letter of credit, which is included in short-term deposits.

9. Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock

As of June 30, 2014 (unaudited), the total authorized capital stock of the Company was 364,568,592 shares, which included 22,638,465 shares of Series A Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value per share; 10,909,091 shares of Series A-1 Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value per share; 20,754,461 shares of

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

9. Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock (Continued)

Series B Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value per share; 17,550,758 shares of Series C Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value per share; and 86,789,527 shares of Series D Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value per share.

On May 13, 2014, the Company issued 42,469,626 shares of Series D Preferred Stock to new and existing investors at a price of \$0.588656 per share for gross proceeds of \$25.0 million. Immediately upon closing this round of financing, \$4.6 million of Convertible Notes, including \$0.2 million of accrued and unpaid interest, automatically converted into 10,344,201 shares of Series D Preferred Stock at a conversion price of \$0.4414 per share. (See Note 5).

The Series D Purchase Agreement contemplates the sale in two additional subsequent closings (the "second and third tranches") of up to 33,975,700 additional shares of the Company's Series D Preferred Stock for aggregate gross proceeds of \$20.0 million. Both the Company and the tranche right holders have the right to exercise these tranche features. However, the Company's right is contingent upon the achievement of certain pre-defined milestones and terminates at the closing of an initial public offering. The holders of the tranche rights have the ability to exercise the second and third tranche rights to purchase additional shares of the Company's Series D Preferred Stock at any time prior to an initial public offering and excluding certain black-out dates. The Series D Purchase Agreement also provides to the Series D investors party to the agreement certain individual purchase rights, as further outlined below.

Individual Purchase Rights after the Closing of an Initial Public Offering. If, following the closing of an initial public offering, the second and third tranche features have not been exercised in full the Series D investors will have individual purchase rights under the Series D Purchase Agreement, until May 13, 2024. Up to \$20 million of Common Stock could be subject to these individual purchase rights provided for under the Series D Purchase Agreement. The purchase price per share for the Common Stock purchasable pursuant to the individual purchase rights will be the lower of (i) \$9.34, the Series D conversion price immediately prior to an initial public offering, and (ii) the initial public offering price. If the Company or its underwriters offer to the Series D investors the opportunity to purchase shares of Common Stock in an initial public offering, which offer to purchase will be made only if so determined by the Company or its underwriters at the sole discretion of the Company or its underwriters, then the individual purchase rights under the Series D Purchase Agreement of the Series D investors shall terminate at the closing of the initial public offering to the extent of the number of shares of the Company's Common Stock that these investors are offered the opportunity to purchase in the initial public offering, regardless of whether these investors actually purchase any of such shares so offered in the initial public offering. For example, if the individual purchase rights are exercisable to purchase from the Company a certain number of shares of the Company's Common Stock, and if the Company or their underwriters offer to such investors the opportunity to purchase this number of shares of the Company's Common Stock in an initial public offering, then the individual purchase rights under the Series D Purchase Agreement of such investors shall terminate at the closing of such an initial public offering, regardless of whether these investors actually purchase any of the shares of the Company's Common Stock. On the other hand, if, for example, the individual purchase rights are exercisable to purchase from the Company a certain number of shares of the Company's Common Stock, and if the Company or their underwriters offer to such investors the opportunity to purchase an aggregate of less than this certain number of shares of the Company's Common Stock in an initial public offering, then, regardless of whether such investors actually purchase any of such shares so offered in such an initial public offering, the individual purchase rights under the Series D Purchase Agreement of such investors shall terminate at the closing of the initial public offering with respect to only the number of shares of the Company's Common Stock offered and the individual purchase

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

9. Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock (Continued)

rights shall remain exercisable after the initial public offering until May 13, 2024, for the amount of the difference between the number of shares the Series D investors had the right to purchase and the number of shares of the Company's Common Stock offered.

Anti-dilution Protection for Series D Preferred Stock. At the closing of an initial public offering, the Company's Series D Preferred Stock will automatically convert into a number of shares of the Company's Common Stock determined by customary conversion formula, plus a potential incremental amount of shares. The incremental amount of shares will be applicable only if the Company or its underwriters offer to the Series D investors the opportunity to purchase shares in an initial public offering and these investors purchase shares in the initial public offering and the initial public offering price per share is greater than the purchase price per share of the Company's Series D Preferred Stock. The incremental amount of shares will be determined by multiplying (x) the number of shares of Common Stock purchased in the initial public offering by the holders of the Series D investors up to a maximum number of shares of the Company's Common Stock equal to the number of shares of the Company's Series D Preferred Stock that these Series D investors would have been entitled to purchase under the Series D Purchase Agreement at the second and third tranche closings if the second and third tranche closings had been consummated prior to the closing of the initial public offering, by (y) the remainder obtained by subtracting the number one from the quotient obtained by dividing the initial public offering price per share by the purchase price per share of the Company's Series D Preferred Stock.

As described above, in connection with the issuance of the Series D Preferred Stock, the holders received rights to purchase additional shares of Series D Preferred Stock at \$0.588656 per share. These investor rights represent freestanding financial instruments, and are accounted for as liabilities. The Company adjusts the carrying value of such investor rights to its estimated fair value at each reporting date up to the closing of the tranche financing. Increases or decreases in the fair value of such investor rights are recorded as other income (expense) in the Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Loss. The estimated fair value of the tranche rights was determined upon issuance using a Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following inputs:

Expected term (in years)	1.84 - 3.50
Expected volatility	63.0% - 86.0%
Risk-free interest rate	0.44% - 1.12%
Expected dividend yield	0%

At the date of issuance, the investor rights obligation was recorded at its fair value of \$6.6 million as a liability on the balance sheet. From the date of issuance to June 30, 2014 the change in fair value of the investor rights was \$18,000 and was recorded as other expense in the Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Loss. Under certain circumstances, the Series D investor rights will terminate upon the closing of an IPO of the Company's Common Stock. For purposes of the unaudited pro forma financial information, the Company has assumed these circumstances have occurred and therefore, the associated liability has been reflected as extinguished.

The Company incurred approximately \$0.4 million in costs related to the issuance of the Series D Preferred Stock which have been allocated to the shares issued to date and the tranche right liability. The \$360,000 of issuance costs allocated to the shares issued in May 2014 have been recorded as a discount on the Series D Preferred Stock and will be accreted over five years to the earliest redemption date of the

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

9. Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock (Continued)

Series D Preferred Stock. The remaining \$77,000 of issuance costs have been allocated to the tranche right liability.

Conversion

In connection with the 1-for-15.87 reverse stock split of the Company's Common Stock effected on October 6, 2014, shares of Series D Preferred Stock, Series C Preferred Stock, Series B Preferred Stock, Series A-1 Preferred Stock and Series A Preferred Stock, are convertible into Common stock at 0.06, 0.08, 0.08, 0.08 and 0.08, respectively, shares of common stock for each share of preferred stock. All outstanding shares of Preferred Stock are automatically convertible based on either: (i) stockholder approval, as defined in the Certificate of Incorporation, or (ii) the closing of a firm-commitment, underwritten IPO, in which the aggregate proceeds are at least \$40 million with an offering price of at least \$54.75 per share of Common Stock. The Preferred Stock conversion prices are subject to adjustment in the event additional shares of Common Stock or certain securities convertible into Common Stock, are issued for consideration per share less than the respective Preferred Stock conversion price.

Dividends

Holders of Preferred Stock are entitled to two types of dividends:

Accruing Dividends

Holders of the Series D Preferred Stock Series C Preferred Stock, Series B Preferred Stock, Series A-1 Preferred Stock and Series A Preferred Stock are entitled to receive, when and if declared by the Board of Directors, dividends at the annual rate of \$0.0412 \$0.0805, \$0.0805, \$0.077 and \$0.07 per share, subject to adjustment for stock dividends, stock splits, combinations, recapitalizations, or the like, with respect to such shares. The Preferred Stock Accruing Dividends are cumulative and non-compounding.

An aggregate of \$22.5 million, \$27.6 million, and \$30.5 million of accruing dividends have been recorded for the Preferred Stock as of December 31, 2012 and 2013, and June 30, 2014 (unaudited), respectively.

Non-Cumulative Dividends

Holders of the Series D Preferred Stock Series C Preferred Stock, Series B Preferred Stock, Series A-1 Preferred Stock and Series A Preferred Stock are entitled to receive, when and if declared by the Board of Directors, dividends at the annual rate of 7% of the issue price per share, subject to adjustment for stock dividends, stock splits, combinations, recapitalizations, or the like, with respect to such shares. These dividends are non-cumulative and non-compounding.

The Company shall not declare, pay, or set aside any dividends on Common stock (other than those payable in shares of Common stock) unless the holders of the Preferred Stock then outstanding shall first receive, or simultaneously receive, a dividend on each outstanding share of Preferred Stock in an amount at least equal to or greater than the product of (i) the dividend payable on each share of Common stock and (ii) the number of shares of Common stock issuable upon conversion of a share of Preferred Stock calculated on the record date for determination of holders entitled to receive such a dividend.

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

9. Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock (Continued)

Liquidation Preference

Holders of the Series D Preferred Stock have preference in the event of a liquidation or dissolution of the Company equal to \$0.588656 per share, plus any declared dividends, but specifically excluding any Accruing Dividends. Holders of the Series C Preferred Stock have preference in the event of a liquidation or dissolution of the Company, which preference is junior to the liquidation preference for the Series D Preferred Stock, equal to \$0.6242 per share, plus any declared dividends, but specifically excluding any Accruing Dividends. Holders of the Series B Preferred Stock have preference in the event of a liquidation or dissolution of the Company, which preference is junior to the liquidation preference for the Series C Preferred Stock, equal to \$0.6242 per share, plus any declared dividends, but specifically excluding any Accruing Dividends. Holders of the Series A Preferred Stock and the Series A-1 Preferred Stock have preference in the event of a liquidation or dissolution of the Company, which preference is junior to the liquidation preference for the Series B Preferred Stock, equal to \$0.5428 per share and \$0.5971 per share, respectively, plus any declared dividends but specifically excluding any Accruing Dividends,

After all preferred stockholders have received their respective initial preference amounts, any assets remaining for distribution shall be distributed to the holders of the Series D Preferred Stock, Series C Preferred Stock, Series B Preferred Stock, Series A-1 Preferred Stock, Series A Preferred Stock and Common Stock pro rata in proportion to the total number of shares of Series D Preferred Stock, Series C Preferred Stock, Series B Preferred Stock, Series A-1 Preferred Stock, Series A Preferred Stock and Common Stock, assuming conversion to Common Stock. As of June 30, 2014 (unaudited), the aggregate liquidation value for the Series D Preferred Stock, Series C Preferred Stock, Series B Preferred Stock, Series A-1 Preferred Stock and Series A Preferred Stock was \$31.1 million, \$8.2 million, \$13.0 million, \$6.5 million and \$12.3 million, respectively.

Voting Rights

Except for matters with specific voting rights, the holders of shares of Preferred Stock vote together with the holders of the Common Stock as a single class on any matter presented to the stockholders of the Company for their action or consideration at any meeting of the stockholders of the Company or by written consent of stockholders in lieu of meetings. The holders of the Preferred Stock are entitled to the number of votes equal to the number of shares of Common Stock into which each share of the Preferred Stock is convertible at the time of such vote. A vote of 80% of the Preferred Stockholders, voting as a single class, is required for events that would materially affect the business or change the rights of the Preferred Stock.

The number of directors of the Company constituting the entire Board of Directors shall be no less than five and no more than nine. The holders of Series A-1 Preferred Stock and Series A Preferred Stock have the right to elect three of the directors. The holders of Series B Preferred Stock have the right to elect one of the directors. The holders of Series D Preferred Stock have the right to elect two of the directors. The holders of the Common Stock and Designated Preferred Stock, exclusively and voting together as a single class, have the right to elect the balance of the total number of directors of the Company.

Redemption Rights

Each class of Preferred Stock is stated at its then current redemption value as of each balance sheet date presented.

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

9. Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock (Continued)

The Preferred Stock may be redeemed upon written election of the holders of 80% of the Series D Preferred Stock, Series C Preferred Stock, Series B Preferred Stock, Series A-1 Preferred Stock and Series A Preferred Stock on or after May 13, 2019. The Series D Preferred Stock, Series C Preferred Stock, Series B Preferred Stock, Series A-1 Preferred Stock and Series A Preferred Stock will receive, through a series of three installments \$0.588656, \$1.15, \$1.15, \$1.10 and \$1.00, respectively, per share (subject to certain adjustments) plus any Accruing Dividends accrued but unpaid thereon, whether or not declared, together with any other dividends declared but unpaid thereon.

If the Company does not have sufficient funds available to redeem all shares of Preferred Stock, then the Company shall redeem first, a pro rata portion of each holder's Series D Preferred Stock to the fullest extent of the funds available and shall redeem the remaining shares of Series D Preferred Stock as funds become available until all shares of Series D Preferred Stock have been redeemed in full. Then, it shall redeem a pro rata portion of each holder's Series C Preferred Stock to the fullest extent of the funds available and shall redeem the remaining shares of Series C Preferred Stock as funds become available until all shares of Series C Preferred Stock have been redeemed in full. Then, it shall redeem a pro rata portion of each holder's Series B Preferred Stock to the fullest extent of the funds available and shall redeem the remaining shares of Series B Preferred Stock as soon as practicable as funds become available until all shares of Series B Preferred Stock have been redeemed in full. Then, it shall redeem a pro rata portion of each holder's Series A Preferred Stock and Series A-1 Preferred Stock out of funds available and shall redeem the remaining shares of Series A Preferred Stock and Series A-1 Preferred Stock as soon as funds become available for such purpose. Refer to Note 15 for further details.

10. Common Stock

General

The voting, dividend and liquidation rights of the holders of shares of Common Stock are subject to and qualified by the rights, powers and preferences of the holders of shares of Preferred Stock. The Common Stock has the following characteristics:

Voting

The holders of shares of Common stock are entitled to one vote for each share of Common Stock held at all meetings of stockholders and written action in lieu of meetings; there is no cumulative voting.

Dividends

The holders of shares of Common Stock are entitled to receive dividends, if and when declared by the Board of Directors. Cash dividends may not be declared or paid to holders of shares of Common Stock until paid on each series of outstanding Preferred Stock in accordance with their respective terms. As of June 30, 2014 (unaudited), no dividends have been declared or paid since the Company's inception.

Liquidation

After payment to the holders of shares of Preferred Stock of their liquidation preferences, the holders of the Common Stock are entitled to share ratably in the Company's assets available for distribution to stockholders, in the event of any voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company or upon the occurrence of a deemed liquidation event.

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

10. Common Stock (Continued)

Reserve for future issuance

The Company has reserved for future issuances the following number of shares of Common Stock:

	December 31,		June 30,
	2012	2013	2014 (unaudited)
Conversion of Series A Preferred Stock	1,426,482	1,426,482	1,700,622
Conversion of Series A-1 Preferred Stock	687,395	687,395	838,101
Conversion of Series B Preferred Stock	1,307,771	1,307,771	1,610,383
Conversion of Series C Preferred Stock	1,105,907	1,105,907	1,361,809
Conversion of Series D Preferred Stock	—	—	3,327,894
Stock-based compensation awards	632,409	623,111	1,135,415
Warrants to purchase Common Stock	659,806	659,806	659,806
Total	<u>5,819,770</u>	<u>5,810,472</u>	<u>10,634,030</u>

11. Stock-based Compensation

In March 2006, the Company adopted the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the "Plan"). Under the Plan, the Company has granted stock options to selected officers, employees and consultants of the Company. As of June 30, 2014 (unaudited), the Plan, as amended by the May 2014 Series D Preferred Stock and other Board of Director actions, provides for the issuance of up to 1,148,214 shares of Common Stock.

Terms of stock award agreements, including vesting requirements, are determined by the Board of Directors, subject to the provisions of the Plan. Option and share awards generally vest over three to four years. Certain option and share awards provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control as defined in the Plan. The options are exercisable from the date of grant for a period of ten years. For options granted to date, the exercise price equaled the fair value of the Common Stock as determined by the Board of Directors on the date of grant.

Stock options issued to non-employees are accounted for using the fair value method of accounting, are periodically revalued as the options vest and are recognized as expense over the related service period. The total expense related to all options granted to non-employees for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013 and the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 (unaudited) was \$9,000, \$32,000, \$20,000 and \$2,000, respectively.

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

11. Stock-based Compensation (Continued)

Total stock-based compensation expense is recognized for stock options granted to employees and non-employees and has been reported in the Company's statements of operations as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2013	2013	2014
			(unaudited)	
Research and development	\$ 46	\$ 106	\$ 84	\$ 21
General and administrative	64	49	21	28
Total	<u>\$ 110</u>	<u>\$ 155</u>	<u>\$ 105</u>	<u>\$ 49</u>

A following table summarizes stock option activity for employees and non-employees (intrinsic value in thousands):

	Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	613,590	\$ 2.06	6.2	\$ 12,370
Granted	3,150	\$ 22.22		
Exercised	(9,298)	\$ 2.06		
Cancelled or forfeited	(425)	\$ 2.54		
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	607,017	\$ 2.22	5.2	\$ 1,627
Granted (unaudited)	527,718	\$ 4.92		
Exercised (unaudited)	(473)	\$ 1.27		
Cancelled or forfeited (unaudited)	—	\$ —		
Outstanding at June 30, 2014 (unaudited)	1,134,262	\$ 3.49	7.2	\$ 1,722
Exercisable at December 31, 2013	509,609	\$ 2.22	4.7	\$ 1,307
Vested or expected to vest at December 31, 2013(1)	583,625	\$ 2.22	5.1	\$ 1,561
Exercisable at June 30, 2014 (unaudited)	536,583	\$ 2.22	4.4	\$ 1,483
Vested or expected to vest at June 30, 2014 (unaudited)(1)	1,062,276	\$ 3.33	7.0	\$ 1,668

- (1) This represents the number of vested options at December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2014 (unaudited), plus the number of unvested options expected to vest at December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2014 (unaudited), based on the unvested options outstanding at December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2014 (unaudited).

During the year ended December 31, 2013 and the six months ended June 30, 2013 (unaudited), the Company granted stock options to purchase an aggregate of 3,150 shares of its Common Stock with a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$16.50. During the six months ended June 30, 2014 (unaudited) the Company granted stock options to purchase an aggregate of 527,718 shares of its Common Stock with a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$3.38.

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

11. Stock-based Compensation (Continued)

The total intrinsic value of options exercised in the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013 and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 (unaudited), was \$0, \$0.1 million, \$0 and \$2,000, respectively. As of June 30, 2014 (unaudited), there was \$1.7 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to employee non-vested stock options granted under the Plan. As of June 30, 2014 (unaudited), the unrecognized compensation cost related to non-employee, non-vested stock options granted under the plan was \$3,000.

The total unrecognized compensation cost for employee and non-employee awards will be adjusted for future forfeitures. The Company expects to recognize that cost over a remaining weighted-average period of four years.

During 2013, the Company modified the stock option awards of one employee upon the employee's termination. In accordance with ASC 718, the Company assessed the fair value of the unvested portion of the modified awards at \$0.1 million, and recorded the amount as compensation cost on the date of termination.

The Company estimates the fair value of each employee stock award on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model based on the following assumptions regarding the fair value of the underlying Common Stock on each measurement date:

	Year Ended December 31, 2013	Six Months Ended June 30,	
		2013	2014
Weighted average expected volatility	91.12%	91.1%	80.7% - 81.5%
Expected term (in years)	5.95	5.95	5.71 - 6.11
Risk free interest rate	1.03%	1.03%	1.87% - 1.97%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%

12. 401(k) Savings Plan

In October 2007, the Company adopted a tax-qualified employee savings and retirement 401(k) Plan, covering all qualified employees. Participants may elect a salary deferral of at least 1% as a contribution to the 401(k) Plan, up to the statutorily prescribed annual limit for tax-deferred contributions. The Company may elect to make a safe harbor contribution to the Plan equal to 3% of each eligible employee's salary. Safe harbor contributions are fully vested to plan participants at all times. The Company had no safe harbor contributions for the years ended 2012 and 2013 and the six months ended June 30, 2014 (unaudited).

13. Income Taxes

For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013, the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 (unaudited) the Company has not recorded a provision for federal or state income taxes as it has had cumulative net operation losses since inception. The Company's losses before income taxes consist solely of domestic losses.

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

13. Income Taxes (Continued)

A reconciliation of income taxes computed using the U.S. federal statutory rate to that reflected in operations follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2012	2013
Income tax benefit using U.S. federal statutory rate	\$ (2,710)	\$ (2,690)
Permanent differences	38	299
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	(368)	(389)
Tax credits	(95)	(7,164)
Expiring net operating losses and tax credits	287	2,566
Change in the valuation allowance	2,988	7,286
Other	(140)	92
	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

The significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets are as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2012	2013
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 26,560	\$ 26,304
Federal and state tax credits	2,777	9,941
Deferred revenue	1,139	1,147
Accrued expenses	155	332
Patents	692	612
Other	27	300
	<u>31,350</u>	<u>38,636</u>
Valuation allowance	(31,350)	(38,636)
Net deferred tax asset	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

Management of the Company has evaluated the positive and negative evidence bearing upon the realizability of its deferred tax assets. Based on the Company's history of operating losses, management of the Company has concluded that it is more likely than not that the benefit of its deferred tax assets will not be realized. Accordingly, the Company has provided a full valuation allowance for deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2012 and 2013.

The valuation allowance increased approximately \$7.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2013, due primarily to the addition of Orphan Drug Tax credits for 2009 through 2012 as well as the generation of net operating losses during the year ended December 31, 2013, both of which are fully reserved. The valuation allowance increased approximately \$3.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, due primarily to the generation of net operating losses during the period.

Subject to the limitations described below, as of December 31, 2012 and 2013, the Company has net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$70.3 million and \$69.9 million, respectively, to offset future federal taxable income, which will expire at various times between 2026 and 2033. The Company does not have any net operating losses that are attributable to excess stock option deductions which would be

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

13. Income Taxes (Continued)

recorded as an increase in additional paid-in capital. As of December 31, 2012 and 2013, the Company has state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$50.4 million and \$45.4 million, respectively, to offset future state taxable income, which will expire at various times between 2014 and 2033. As of December 31, 2012 and 2013, the Company has tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$3.1 million and \$10.3 million, respectively, to offset future federal and state income taxes, which will expire at various times between 2022 and 2033.

Net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards are subject to review and possible adjustment by the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") and may become subject to an annual limitation in the event of certain cumulative changes in the ownership interest of significant shareholders over a three-year period in excess of 50% as defined under Sections 382 and 383 in the Internal Revenue Code. This could substantially limit the amount of tax attributes that can be utilized annually to offset future taxable income or tax liabilities. The amount of the annual limitation is determined based on the Company's value immediately prior to the ownership change. Subsequent ownership changes may further affect the limitation in future years.

The Company had no unrecognized tax benefits or related interest and penalties accrued during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013. The Company will recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense.

The Company is subject to U.S. federal income tax and primarily Massachusetts state income tax. The statute of limitations for assessment by the IRS and state tax authorities is open for tax years ending December 31, 2010 through 2013, although carryforward attributes that were generated prior to tax year 2010 may still be adjusted upon examination by the IRS or state tax authorities if they either have been or will be used in a future period. Currently, no federal or state income tax returns are under examination by the respective taxing authorities.

14. Net loss Per Share Attributable to Common Stockholders

As described in Note 2, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*, the Company computes basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share using a methodology that gives effect to the impact of outstanding participating securities (the "two-class method"). As the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013 and the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2014 (unaudited) resulted in net losses, there is no income allocation required under the two-class method or dilution attributed to weighted average shares outstanding in the calculation of diluted loss per share.

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

14. Net loss Per Share Attributable to Common Stockholders (Continued)

The following Common Stock equivalents, presented on an as converted basis, were excluded from the calculation of net loss per share for the periods presented, due to their anti-dilutive effect (in thousands):

	December 31,		June 30,	
	2012	2013	2013	2014
			(unaudited)	
Convertible preferred stock	4,254	4,254	4,254	7,581
Common stock warrants	660	660	660	660
Outstanding stock options	614	607	617	1,134
Convertible notes	—	243	—	—
	<u>5,528</u>	<u>5,764</u>	<u>5,531</u>	<u>9,375</u>

15. Subsequent Events

On August 4, 2014, the Company entered into an Amendment (the "Lease Amendment") to the existing Lease Agreement dated July 13, 2009 (the "Lease Agreement"), with Boston Properties Limited Partnership ("Lessor") pursuant to which the Company has agreed to extend the lease for approximately 5,000 square feet of property to be used for office space (the "Leased Property") located at 200 West St., Waltham, Massachusetts. The term of the Lease Amendment commences on January 1, 2015 (the "Commencement Date") and expires approximately three years and six months from the Commencement Date. The Company has the option to extend the term for one additional one-year period upon the Company's written notice to the Lessor at least nine months in advance of the extension.

The total cash obligation for the base rent over the three year and six month term of the Lease Agreement is approximately \$0.6 million. In addition to the base rent, the Company is also responsible for its share of operating expenses and real estate taxes, in accordance with the terms of the Lease Agreement. The Company will provide a security deposit in the amount of \$14,000 to the Lessor.

In connection with preparing for its initial public offering, on October 1, 2014, the Board of Directors and, on October 3, 2014, the stockholders approved a 1-for-15.87 reverse stock split of the Company's Common Stock and a proportional adjustment to the existing conversion ratios for each series of Preferred Stock. The stock split became effective on October 6, 2014. The stockholders entitled to fractional shares as a result of the reverse stock split will receive a cash payment in lieu of receiving fractional shares. The Company's historical share and per share information presented in these consolidated financial statements and notes thereto has been retroactively adjusted to give effect to this reverse stock split. The shares of Common Stock underlying outstanding stock options were proportionately reduced and the respective exercise prices were proportionately increased. Shares of Common Stock reserved for future issuance were presented on an as converted basis and the financial statements disclose the adjusted conversion ratios.

On August 21, 2014, the Board of Directors adopted the Proteon Therapeutics, Inc. 2014 Incentive Plan, the 2014 Employee Stock Purchase Plan and the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and restated, and on October 3, 2014, the stockholders approved such plans.

The 2014 Equity Incentive Plan, which will become effective immediately prior to effectiveness of the Company's initial public offering, provides for the grant of incentive stock option and nonstatutory stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and stock unit awards, performance units, stock grants

Notes to Financial Statements (Continued)

15. Subsequent Events (Continued)

and qualified performance-based awards. The number of shares initially reserved for issuance under the 2014 Equity Incentive Plan is 704,000 shares of Common Stock and will be increased each January 1 starting in 2015 by an amount equal to the lesser of (i) four percent (4%) of our outstanding Common Stock on a fully diluted basis as of the end of our immediately preceding fiscal year, and (ii) any lower amount determined by our Board of Directors prior to each such January 1.

The 2014 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, which will become effective upon the completion of the Company's initial public offering, authorizes the issuance of up to 140,500 shares of Common Stock. The number of shares will be increased each January 1, commencing on January 1, 2015 and ending on (and including) January 1, 2024, by an amount equal to the lesser of (i) one percent (1%) of outstanding shares as of the end of the immediately preceding fiscal year, (ii) 281,000, and (iii) any lower amount determined by our Board of Directors prior to each such January 1.

6,110,000 Shares

Common Stock



October 21, 2014

Stifel

JMP Securities

Baird

Oppenheimer & Co.

Until November 15, 2014 (25 days after the date of this prospectus), all dealers that effect buy, sell or trade shares of our common stock, whether or not participating in this offering, may be required to deliver a prospectus. This is in addition to the dealers' obligation to deliver a prospectus when acting as underwriter and with respect to their unsold allotments or subscriptions.

Neither we nor any of the underwriters have authorized anyone to provide information different from that contained in this prospectus. When you make a decision about whether to invest in our common stock, you should not rely upon any information other than the information in this prospectus. Neither the delivery of this prospectus nor the sale of our common stock means that information contained in this prospectus is correct after the date of this prospectus. This prospectus is not an offer to sell or solicitation of an offer to buy these shares of common stock in any circumstances under which the offer or solicitation is unlawful.
